Accessory parotid gland tumours: 24 years of clinical experience

Lukšić, Ivica; Suton, Petar; Rogić, Mate; Dokuzović, Stjepan

Source / Izvornik: International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2012, 41, 1453 - 7

Journal article, Accepted version Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2012.09.016

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:040080

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-03-20



Repository / Repozitorij:

Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine Digital Repository







Središnja medicinska knjižnica

Lukšić I., Suton P., Rogić M., Dokuzović S. (2012) *Accessory parotid gland tumours: 24 years of clinical experience.* International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 41 (12). pp. 1453-7. ISSN 0901-5027

http://www.elsevier.com/locate/issn/09015027

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09015027

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2012.09.016

http://medlib.mef.hr/1962

University of Zagreb Medical School Repository http://medlib.mef.hr/

Accessory parotid gland tumours: A 24-year of clinical experience

Ivica Lukšić, MD, PhD¹, Petar Suton, MD², Mate Rogić, MD³, Stjepan Dokuzović MD⁴

¹University of Zagreb School of Medicine, Department of Maxillofacial Surgery,

University Hospital Dubrava, Ave. Gojko Šušak 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

² Emergency Medical Centre of Krapinsko-Zagorska County, M. Crkvenca 1, 49 000

Krapina, Croatia

³ University of Rijeka School of Medicine, Department of Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery,

University Hospital Centre Rijeka, Tome Strižića 3, 31000 Rijeka, Croatia

⁴ University Hospital Dubrava, Department of Orthopedics, Ave. Gojko Šušak 6, 10000

Zagreb, Croatia

Corresponding Author:

Ivica Lukšić, MD, PhD Department of Maxillofacial Surgery University Hospital Dubrava Ave. Gojko Šušak 6, 10000 Zagreb Croatia Phone: +385 1 290 3067 Fax: +385 1 286 4250 E-mail: <u>luksic@kbd.hr</u>

Keywords: Accessory parotid gland tumours; Mid-cheek mass; Parotidectomy; Facial

nerve; Neck dissection

Running head: Accessory parotid gland tumours

Abstract

Accessory parotid gland (APG) is salivary tissue that is anterior and anatomically separate from the parotid gland. Although the APG is a common anatomical variation, APG tumours are extremely rare. We report on 6 patients having APG tumours with emphasis on diagnosis, clinical features, indications and rationales for different treatment approaches. Patients with primary tumours of the parotid gland or APG tumours that underwent surgical treatment were included. APG tumours comprised 1.23% of overall parotid tumours (6/488) and had a malignancy rate of 33.3% (2/6). There were three males and three females with mean age of 39 (range, 14-70). A total of 5 of 6 parotidectomies entailed superficial lobectomy, while one was total parotidectomy with composite resection of masseter muscle. Concomitant selective lymphadenectomy was carried out in 3 of 6 patients. At 5 years disease-free survival was 83.3%. Mean follow-up was 161 months (range, 14-253). Although nonsalivary diagnoses frequently occur in the buccal area, APG tumours should be considered in every differential diagnosis in patients presenting with a mid-cheek mass. From oncosurgical, cosmetic and functional standpoint, treatment by facelift parotidectomy or "S-incision" with concomitant superficial lobectomy is recommended surgical approach, while high-grade malignancies require total parotidectomy with regional lymphadenectomy.

INTRODUCTION

The evaluation of a mid-cheek mass represents a significant diagnostic and therapeutic challenge. Benign and malignant lesions in this area may arise from soft tissues of the face, including the skin, lymphatics, skin adnexa, neural, and salivary structures¹.

The accessory parotid gland (APG) has been described as salivary tissue that is anterior and anatomically separate from the main body of the parotid gland and adjacent to Stensen's duct by way of one or multiple secondary connections. Frommer², in his study of cadaveric dissections, found an APG in 21% of individuals, although some authors reported incidences as high as 56%³. Although the APG is a common anatomical variation, APG tumours are extremely rare, with a reported incidence of 1 to 7.7% of all parotid gland tumours, and a malignancy rate from 26 to 50%^{4,5}. So far, 157 lesions arising from accessory parotid gland have been reported in the English-language literature, including 54 malignant tumours, 80 benign tumours, and 23 nontumour lesions^{6,7}. There are few larger series describing APG tumours⁴⁻⁹. To our knowledge, this is second largest European series regarding APG tumours. We report on 6 patients having APG tumours with emphasis on diagnosis and clinical features, as well as the indications and rationale for different treatment approaches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients were identified through a database search of salivary gland tumours at the Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Zagreb School of Medicine, University Hospital Dubrava, for the period between January 1, 1985 and December 31, 2008. Patients with primary tumours of the parotid gland or APG tumours that underwent surgical treatment were included. Among these tumours, patients with primary parotid gland tumours and patients with anterior process or extension of the main parotid gland were analyzed separate from patients with primary APG tumours. Patients with normal salivary tissue in accessory parotid lobes and patients with heterotopic (ectopic) salivary gland tumours were excluded. The medical records of patients who were surgically treated for APG tumours were reviewed. Radiotherapy was indicated in cases of malignant disease of the APG. Follow-up intervals were calculated in months from the date of first treatment at our Department to the date of last follow-up or death. The follow-up interval was concluded on December 31, 2011.

RESULTS

During the 24-year period, 488 patients with primary parotid tumours underwent surgical treatment. APG tumours comprised 1.23% of overall parotid tumours (6/488) and had a malignancy rate of 33.3% (2/6). The clinical features, diagnosis, treatments and outcomes are summarized in **Table 1**.

There were three males and three females with a mean age of 39 years (range, 14-70). All patients except one had undergone no previous treatment. This latter patient is a 48-yearsold woman who received surgical treatment for a pleomorphic adenoma elsewhere (midcheek incision directly over the tumour) and has been referred to our Department because of local recurrence (**Figure 1**).

Three patients presented with a painless, progressively enlarging buccal mass, while two patients had painful lesions at the same location. One patient had painless mid-cheek lesion with numbness of the cheek and upper lip.

All patients had undergone FNAB preoperatively and the results were identical to those provided by patohistological analysis following operation. Histologically, of the 6 APG tumours, there were three pleomorphic adenomas (one of which was multilocular), one myoepithelioma, one adenoid cystic carcinoma and one high-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Two patients with malignant disease underwent adjuvant postoperative irradiation what included 27 fractions of 2 Gy given over 4 weeks. A total of 5 out of 6 parotidectomies entailed a superficial lobectomy, while one was a total parotidectomy with composite resection of the masseter muscle in case of high-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma. In four cases of superficial parotidectomy buccal branches were in intimate

relationship with the APG tumour and were sacrificed in order to achieve macroscopic tumour clearance. Apart from these patients in whom the facial nerve was partially sacrificed, two patients developed a facial palsy which fully recovered. Concomitant neck dissection was carried out in 3 of the 6 patients (50%), and comprised two selective neck dissections of level 2 and one selective neck dissection of levels 1 and 2.

Lymphadenectomies were electively performed in suspicious cases, though they yielded negative neck nodes. No postoperative complications were detected.

At the time of follow-up, five patients were disease-free, while one patient developed a locoregional recurrence with distant metastases of a high-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma 8 months after initial surgical intervention and died 6 months later. At 5 years disease-free survival was 83.3%. Mean follow-up was 161 months (range, 14-253).

DISCUSSION

The differential diagnosis of a mid-cheek masses includes parotid gland epidermoid cyst or arterivenous malformation, Stensen's duct stone, lipoma, neoplasm, hemangioma or adnexal tumours, neural tumours, hematoma, benign and malignant adenopathy, metastatic disease, and benign and malignant tumours of the accessory parotid tissue^{1,10}. The accessory parotid gland is an isolated cluster of salivary tissue which lies between the buccal and zygomatic branches of the facial nerve on the masseter muscle and occurs typically around the midpoint of an imaginary line drawn from the tragus of the ear to a point halfway between the ala of the nose and the vermillion border of the upper lip¹¹. Johnson and Spiro⁴ retrospectively reviewed 2 261 parotid gland tumours during a 40 year period, and found that 1% arose in APGs. Of these APG tumours, more than half proved malignant. Perzik et al.⁵ reported a 7.7% incidence of APG tumours among all parotid gland tumours with a malignancy rate of 26%. The only study of a metastatic spread to the APG has been reported by Goldberg et al.¹². Combined with previously reported 157 cases, there are 84 benign tumours, 56 malignant tumours, and 23 nontumours lesions in the total 163 cases (**Table 2**).

According to the literature, APG tumours most often present as an asimptomatic progressively enlarging cheek mass and usually occur in patients with a mean age ranging from 45 to 64.4 years at the time of presentation^{1,6,7,9}. In contrast, in this study group, patients were younger, with a mean age of 39 and 50% of patients presented with simptomatic lesions which included different head and neck complaints such as pain and numbness in mid-cheek area. Similar results were reported by Sun et al.¹³. Patients were

evaluated through careful physical examination and additional diagnostic tools including fine-needle aspiration (FNA), computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR). Neck dissection was performed in cases of malignant or recurrent APG tumours. In this study, the incidence of APG tumours was 1.23% with a malignacy rate of 33.3% which is in range with prior reports^{4,5}. One patient with a malignant lesion of the APG died due to locoregional recurrence and distant disease from high grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Similar biological behavior of mucoepidermoid carcinoma arising from APG tissue has been described before¹⁴.

After differential diagnosis, the second important question concerns the extent of surgical treatment. There are four surgical approaches in management of APG tumours: the standard "S-incision" or facelift parotidectomy approach, intraoral approach and cheek incision directly over the tumour. The latter one is associated with an increased incidence of facial nerve injury because of the superficial location of the buccal and zygomatic branches of the seventh cranial nerve. Johnson and Spiro⁴ reported a 40% incidence of facial nerve injury for tumours approached via a direct skin incision over the mass. Furthermore, a limited incision is associated with a high incidence of local recurrence brought on by inadequate excision margins and an unsightly scar. The intraoral approach also places the facial nerve at high risk because of difficulty in optimal exposure¹⁵. In the context of the nerve-tumour relationship, a standard facelift parotidectomy approach is advisable. Once a standard incision is made, a concomitant superficial parotidectomy is recommended. This allows identification of the main facial nerve trunk with tracing of all its branches. Furthermore, this surgical technique provides excellent exposure which minimizes the risk of complications during excision of these tumours (**Figure 2**). Johnson and Spiro⁴ reported no

postoperative facial nerve palsies in 13 patients undergoing a standard parotidectomy approach. Perzik et al.⁵ reported no facial nerve injuries in 20 modified preauricular approaches. In addition, this approach hides unsightly scars within natural skin creases and hairlines, giving the best cosmetic result (Figure 3). Most importantly, this treatment modality provides adequate resection which minimizes the risk of local recurrence. In our experience, the standard parotidectomy approach (facelift incision) with superficial parotidectomy in conjunction with excision of the APG tumour is the recommended surgical approach (Figure 4). According to the recent publications, Xie et al.¹⁶ introduced a minimally invasive endoscopic approach via a small preauricular incision performed on 5 patients with benign APG tumours and no postoperative complications and recurrences were found. Primarily due to oncological reasons, we doubt that the minimally invasive endoscopic resection is the best method of treatment for tumours of the APG. This opinion is supported by previous studies which reported reduced recurrence rates (less than 4%) associated with extended surgical techniques compared with the high incidence of recurrence (25-40%) resulting from enucleation among patients with pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland¹⁷⁻¹⁹. In addition, surgery of recurrent parotid gland tumours carries considerable risk of facial nerve injury which varies from 15 to 30% and the chance of recurrence is high ranging from 15 to $75\%^{20-25}$. Moreover, one year of follow-up is not a sufficient time interval for recurrence detection since recurrent benign parotid gland tumours occur 3 to 9 years after initial surgical treatment^{21,25-27}.

A clear weakness of this retrospective study is the small sample size. However, given the rarity of this entity, it's difficult to find larger series. Indications for elective neck dissection

in patients with malignant parotid and APGT tumours remains unclear. Further investigations are necessary in order to improve our better understanding of the subject. In conclusion, APG tumours are extremely rare and represent a considerable diagnostic problem. Although nonsalivary diagnoses frequently occur in the buccal area, APG tumours should be considered in every differential diagnosis in patients presenting with a mid-cheek mass. In this study group, patients with APG tumours were younger than most reports in the literature state and half of them presented with a symptomatic mid-cheek mass unlike the typical asymptomatic cases from the literature. In order to establish the correct diagnosis, we advocate proper preoperative evaluation which includes a detailed physical examination, FNA and imaging techniques (CT and MR scans). Surgical resection remains the mainstay of treatment for both parotid and APG tumours. From oncosurgical, cosmetic, and functional standpoints, treatment by facelift parotidectomy approach or "Sincision" with concomitant superficial lobectomy is the recommended surgical approach, while a high-grade histological appearance requires total parotidectomy.

Conflict of interest statement:

The authors declare that they are not in any conflict of interest.

Funding:

No author nor any member of their families received any material or financial gain or personal advancement in the production of this manuscript.

Ethical Approval:

This work has been approved by the ethical committee of the University Hospital Dubrava and all patients gave informed consent for their inclusion in the article.

REFERENCES

1. Klotz DA, Coniglio JU. Prudent management of the mid-cheek mass: revisiting the accessory parotid gland tumor. Laryngoscope 2000: 110: 1627-1632.

Frommer J. The human accessory parotid gland: its incidence, nature, and significance.
Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1977: 43: 671-676.

3. Toh H, Kodama J, Fukuda J, Rittman B, Mackenzie I. Incidence and histology of human accessory parotid glands. Anat Rec 1993: 236: 586-590.

 Johnson FE, Spiro RH. Tumors arising in accessory parotid tissue. Am J Surg 1979: 138: 576-578.

5. Perzik SL, White IL. Surgical management of preauricular tumors of the accessory parotid apparatus. Am J Surg 1966: 112: 498-503.

6. Yang X, Ji T, Wang LZ, Yang WJ, Hu YJ, Zhong LP, Zhang CP, Zhang ZY. Clinical management of masses arising from the accessory parotid gland. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2011: 112: 290-297.

7. De Riu G, Meloni SM, Massarelli O, Tullio A. Management of midcheek masses and tumors of the accessory parotid gland. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2011: 111: e5-11.

8. Rodino W, Shaha AR. Surgical management of accessory parotid tumors. J Surg Oncol 1993: 54: 153-156.

9. Lin DT, Coppit GL, Burkey BB, Netterville JL. Tumors of the accessory lobe of the parotid gland: a 10-year experience. Laryngoscope 2004: 114: 1652-1655.

10. McGuirt FW Sr. Differential diagnosis of neck masses. In: Cummings CW, ed.

Otolaryngology: Head Neck Surgery. St Luis: Mosby-Year Book, 1998: 1686.

11. Nemecek JR, Marzek PA, Young VL. Diagnosis and treatment of accessory parotid gland masses. Ann Plast Surg 1994: 33: 75-79.

12. Goldberg JA, Georgiade GS. Accessory parotid glands as a site of metastases from outside the head and neck: case report. Head Neck 1990: 12: 421-425.

13. Sun G, Hu Q, Tang E, Yang X, Huang X. Diagnosis and treatment of accessory parotidgland tumors. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009: 67: 1520-1523.

14. Gomes M, Pepe G, Bomanji J, Al-Salihi O, Du Y, Gacinovic S, Ell P. High-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the accessory parotid gland with distant metastases identified by 18F-FDG PET-CT. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2008: 50: 395-397.

Polayes IM, Rankow RM. Cysts, masses, and tumors of the accessory parotid gland.
Plast Reconstr Surg 1979: 64: 17-23.

16. Xie L, Zhang D, Lu MM, Gao BM. Minimally invasive endoscopic-assisted resection of benign tumors in the accessory parotid gland: 5 case studies. Head Neck 2011. doi: 10.1002/hed.21751. [Epub ahead of print]

17. Donovan DT, Conley JJ. Capsular significance in parotid tumor surgery: reality and myths of lateral lobectomy. Laryngoscope 1984: 94: 324-329.

18. Leverstein H, Tiwari RM, Snow GB, van der Wal JE, van der Waal I. The surgical management of recurrent or residual pleomorphic adenomas of the parotid gland. Analysis and results in 40 patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1997: 254: 313-317.

 Witt RL. The significance of the margin in parotid surgery for pleomorphic adenoma. Laryngoscope 2002: 112: 2141-2154. 20. Wittekindt C, Streubel K, Arnold G, Stennert E, Guntinas-Lichius O.

Recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland: analysis of 108 consecutive patients. Head Neck 2007: 29: 822-828.

21. Glas AS, Vermey A, Hollema H, Robinson PH, Roodenburg JL, Nap RE, Plukker JT. Surgical treatment of recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland: a clinical analysis of 52 patients. Head Neck 2001: 23: 311-316.

22. Maran AGD, Mackenzie IJ, Stanley RE. Recurrent pleomorphic adenomas of the parotid gland. Arch Otolaryngol 1984: 110: 167–171.

23. Phillips PP, Olsen KD. Recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland: report of 126 cases and a review of the literature. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1995: 104: 100–104.

24. O'Dwyer PJ, Farrar WB, Finkelmeier WR, McCabe DP, James AG. Facial nerve sacrifice and tumor recurrence in primary and recurrent benign parotid tumors. Am J Surg 1986: 152: 442–445.

25. Zbären P, Tschumi I, Nuyens M, Stauffer E. Recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland. Am J Surg 2005: 189: 203-207.

26. Yugueros P, Goellner JR, Petty PM, Woods JE. Treating recurrence of parotid benign pleomorphic adenomas. Ann Plast Surg 1998: 40: 573-576.

27. Redaelli de Zinis LO, Piccioni M, Antonelli AR, Nicolai P. Management and prognostic factors of recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland: personal experience and review of the literature. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2008: 265: 447-452.

CAPTIONS TO ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURE (1) Preoperative photograph showing scar (arrow) from mid-cheek incision.



FIGURE (2) Intraoperative photograph.



FIGURE (3) Postoperative photograph (27 months after surgery).



FIGURE (4) Intraoperative specimen showing superficial lobe of the parotid gland (dashed arrow), Stensen's duct (dotted arrow) and masseter muscle with APG tumour (solid arrow).

