

# Preventive methods for reducing propofol-induced pain by intravenous administration

---

**Volpe, Thomas**

**Master's thesis / Diplomski rad**

**2018**

*Degree Grantor / Ustanova koja je dodijelila akademski / stručni stupanj:* **University of Zagreb, School of Medicine / Sveučilište u Zagrebu, Medicinski fakultet**

*Permanent link / Trajna poveznica:* <https://um.nsk.hr/um:nbn:hr:105:973400>

*Rights / Prava:* [In copyright](#)/[Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

*Download date / Datum preuzimanja:* **2024-09-04**



*Repository / Repozitorij:*

[Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine Digital Repository](#)



**UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB  
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE**

**Volpé Thomas**

**Preventive methods for reducing  
propofol-induced pain by intravenous  
administration**

**Graduate thesis**



**Zagreb, 2018.**

This graduate thesis was made at the department of anesthesiology and reanimation KBC Rebro University Hospital, mentored by Prof. Dr. Sc. Dinko Tonković and was submitted for evaluation in 2017/2018

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

POPI: Pain On Propofol Injection

I.V.: Intra-Venous

LCT: Long-Chain Triglycerides

MCT: Middle-Chain Triglycerides

TRPA1: Transient Receptor Potential Ankyrin 1

TRPV1: Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid 1

CGRP: Calcitonine Gene Related Peptide

## Table of contents

1. Summary	4
2. Sažetak	5
3. Introduction	6
4. Propofol	6
5. Pain on propofol injection	7
6. Pain incidence	8
7. Mechanism of pain	8
8. Factors influencing the pain	10
9. Preventive measures against pain on propofol injection	10
9.1. Non-pharmacological prevention	11
9.1.1. Patient information	11
9.1.2. Speed injection	11
9.1.3. Propofol concentration	11
9.1.4. Temperature	12
9.1.5. Site of injection	12
9.1.6. Blood aspiration	12
9.1.7. Formulation of propofol	13
9.2. Pharmacological prevention	13
9.2.1. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	14
9.2.1.1. Aspirine	14
9.2.1.2. Ketorolac	14
9.2.1.3. Diclofenac	14
9.2.1.4. Flurbiprofen	14
9.2.2. Opioids	15
9.2.2.1. Fentanyl and Alfentanil	15
9.2.2.2. Remifentanil	15
9.2.2.3. Tramadol	16
9.2.3. Ketamine	16
9.2.4. Metoclopramide	17
9.2.5. Inhalation agents	17
9.2.6. Lidocaine	18
9.2.6.1. Pretreatment	18
9.2.6.2. Admixture	19
9.2.6.3. Comparison between pretreatment and admixture	20
9.2.7. Other drugs	20
10. Conclusion	21
11. Acknowledgement	22
12. References	23
13. Biography	30

## **1. Summary**

**Title: Preventive methods for reducing propofol-induced pain by intravenous administration**

**Author: Volpé Thomas**

Propofol is the most widely used intra-venous (IV) anesthetic for induction and maintenance of anesthesia. Its pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics properties make it an almost ideal anesthetic agent, but paradoxically it is painful on injection.

Pain on propofol injection (POPI) is mostly a minor problem but its high incidence and its potentially high intensity of pain makes it important to solve in order to improve the practice of anesthesiology.

This review article highlights the causes and solutions to POPI with a chronological approach, from the discovery of propofol to the latest remedies of the current practice.

The mechanism of pain is still under investigation even though recent researches have achieved a huge step toward its understanding. It is probable that its comprehension will result in better preventive methods.

As for now, IV lidocaine seems to be the best pharmacological option in the prevention of POPI considering its availability, price and rare adverse effects.

On the other hand, recommended non-pharmacological measure to prevent POPI is rapid injection of propofol into a large vein.

Latest recommendation on the subject promote usage of a combination of local anesthetic with a central sedative and rapid injection into large vein.

**Key words: Propofol, pain, prevention**

## 2. Sažetak

**Naslov: Preventivne metode za smanjivanje boli izazvane intravenskom primjenom propofola**

**Autor: Volpé Thomas**

Propofol je najčešće korišten intra-venski (IV) anestetik za indukciju i održavanje anestezije. Njegova farmakokinetička i farmakodinamična svojstva čine ga gotovo idealnim sredstvom za anesteziju, ali paradoksalno je bolno kod injekcije.

Bol na injekciji propofola (POPI) je uglavnom manji problem, ali njegova visoka učestalost i njezin potencijalno visok intenzitet boli čine važnim pronaći rješenje kako bismo poboljšali praksu anesteziologije.

Ovaj pregledni članak ističe uzroke i rješenja POPI-a kronološkim pristupom, od otkrića propofola do najnovijih lijekova u praksi.

Mehanizam boli još je pod istragom, iako su nedavna istraživanja postigla veliki korak prema njenom razumijevanju. Vjerojatno će njegovo razumijevanje rezultirati boljim preventivnim metodama.

Kao i za sada, lidokain IV čini se najboljom farmakološkom opcijom u sprječavanju POPI-a s obzirom na njegovu dostupnost, cijenu i rijetke nuspojave.

S druge strane, preporučena nefarmakološka mjera za sprječavanje POPI je brzo injektiranje propofola u veliku venu.

Najnovija preporuka na temu promovira korištenje kombinacije lokalnog anestetika sa središnjim sedativom i brzo ubrizgavanje u veliku venu.

**Ključne riječi : Propofol, bol, prevencija**

### **3. Introduction**

According to the oxford medical dictionary, anesthesia is the (1) “loss of feeling or sensation in a part or all of the body.”

The main goal of anesthesia is the temporary and reversible suppression of pain sensation and consciousness that is essential to both patient and surgeons in order to carry out surgical procedure.

This “state” is achieved in medical practice with the use of drugs, and notably propofol.

Propofol is nowadays the most widely used IV anesthetic for induction and maintenance of anesthesia.

Indeed, its anxiolytic, antiemetic and hypnotic effects combined with a very rapid onset, short half time of elimination and a favorable side effect profile make propofol a very advantageous drug for anesthesia.

During my medical studies I encountered an obvious paradox: the most widely used drug for anesthesia which by definition is used to suppress sensations can be painful itself.

Beyond that simple yet true paradox, pain on propofol injection (POPI) can be distressing to patients and bothers anesthesiologist in their practice.

Although people suffer from pain temporarily after injection of propofol, the level of pain may be severe since about half the patient who experienced POPI recall it in the recovery room (2).

The goal of this thesis is thus to review some of the preventive measure that have been studied in order to reduce POPI.

### **4. Propofol**

Propofol is the fruit of researches carried out in the early seventies on the alkyl derivatives of phenols group that had shown hypnotic effect in animal. Shortly after, a new molecule: 2,6-di (propan-2-yl) phenol was discovered.

The first article reporting the use of propofol in human is from 1977, where propofol (IC35868) was used for induction and maintenance of anesthesia during minor gynecological surgeries and bronchoscopies (3).



The pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics properties of this new drug greatly favored its global-scale utilization for induction and maintenance of anesthesia.

By depressing subcortical functions, antiemetic and anxiolytic properties are added to the main sedative-hypnotic effect of propofol.

The antiemetic effect is particularly relevant in anesthesiology considering the risk of aspiration of gastric content during intubation and the common use of opioids.

Propofol also presents the advantage of being fast acting, achieving hypnosis within forty second from the start of injection (4) with therapeutic dose. Its short half time of elimination enable a quick recovery from the anesthesia, which explain its common use for ambulatory surgery.

Only three years after its first use in vivo, in 1980, propofol is compared to methohexitone to investigate further its effect as an intra-venous anesthetic agent.

During their study, (5) the authors noted and reported pain on injection of propofol. According to the data, fifteen out of forty patient who received propofol experienced pain.

Adverse effects of propofol are rare but among them, pain on injection appears to be frequent.

It is only in 1982 (6), that prevention of POPI is first investigated which definitely shows the interest of the scientific community to counter this problem.

## **5. Pain on propofol injection**

The injection of a drug can typically be a source of pain which presents two components. First is the pain of the puncture strictly speaking and second is the pain that may result from the injection of medicine.

Propofol is a painful agent that bothers anesthesiologist in their everyday practice.

Propofol is not the only painful drug among the intra-venous anesthetic but it is the one which aroused the most interest in research, obviously due to its wide range of indication in anesthesiology and the fact that it is a recent and safe drug.

The pain induced by propofol injection can be felt as a discomfort to an intense burning sensation that can spread from the site of injection to the entire arm.

The pain is most commonly moderate but is real because often recalled by the patient after the surgery.

Overall, it is a source of anxiety that interfere with the patient's comfort at a time of high emotional stress.

The most feared complication of pain on injection are cardiovascular event in the adult population and laryngospasm and agitation in the pediatric population.

Among 33 low-morbidity clinical outcomes assessed by expert anesthesiologists considering clinical importance and frequency, POPI was ranked seventh (7).

## **6. Pain incidence**

It is difficult to give a precise value to the incidence of pain on propofol injection because of the wide variation of results in the scientific database.

This variation of incidence can be partly explained by the difference in age of the patients (children vs adults), different pre-treatment guidelines that may prevent pain on injection of propofol and different methods to report and characterize the eventual pain on injection.

Nevertheless, most if not all of the authors noticed the pain on injection of propofol and it is widely accepted as a common unwanted side effect.

In 2011 (8), a systematic review and meta-analysis on prevention of pain on propofol injection including more than 25000 adults patients reported the overall risk of POPI alone to be 60%.

In 2016 (9), another systematic review reported the incidence of high intensity POPI to be 38,1%.

## **7. Mechanism of pain**

The mechanism of POPI has been investigated quite early since the discovery of propofol and is still today not fully understood.

In 1985, Briggs *et al.* noticed that POPI can be immediate on injection of propofol and/or delayed 10-20 seconds after injection (10).

Pain immediately after injection of propofol may be caused either by direct stimulation of nociceptors and free nerve endings in the venous wall or indirectly by the release of mediators, such as bradykinin, which stimulate afferent nerve endings, leading to a delayed onset of pain (11–14)

The immediate pain is easily attributable to the fact that propofol is an alkylphenol and thus a natural irritant to venous endothelium.

The delayed pain is much more complex but recent researches have brought a lot more understanding to it which gives great hope for the future of pain prevention in anesthesiology.

The first step in the understanding of the delayed pain mechanism was achieved by Matta *et al.* when they discovered the key ion channels stimulated by general anesthetics including propofol. According to their researches, TRPA1 and TRPV1 are the predominant membrane receptors mediating the activation of peripheral nerves endings by general anesthetics (15). Matta *et al.* also showed that TRPA1 specifically mediates propofol-induced pain.

TRPV1 (Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid 1) is a non-selective cation channel that is found on nociceptive neurons of the peripheral nervous system. TRPV1 can be activated by several endogenous or exogenous stimuli like high temperature, acidic condition and pungent compounds leading to a painful and burning sensation.

TRPA1 (Transient Receptor Potential Ankyrin 1) is non-selective ligand gated cation channel present on the plasma membrane of many cell and well-known as a sensor for environmental irritant, pain, cold and stretch. TRPA1 can be activated by a large number of noxious chemical and is thus considered as the “chemosensor” of the body (16).

In addition, endogenous inflammatory mediators can also bind to these channels. Indeed, both receptors contribute to neurogenic inflammation and pain signaling; disruption of the TRPV1 gene abolishes thermal hyperalgesia (17,18) whereas deletion of TRPA1 impairs bradykinin-induced nociception (16,19).

Fischer *et al.* deepened the researches of the delayed pain and decided to perform a study on the effects of propofol on human and mouse TRPA1. The study also identified both

TRPA1 and TRPV1 as key molecules for propofol-induced pain as well as for the release of neuropeptides (20).

Their major discovery was the release of neuropeptides such as Calcitonine Gene Related Peptide (CGRP) upon stimulation of TRPA1 receptors by propofol.

Neuropeptides release then induces vascular leakage and dilation and is believed to contribute to neurogenic inflammation in the periphery and central sensitization in the spinal horn, ultimately resulting in delayed pain.

The exact and precise mechanism is still under investigation as these data could suggest that selective TRPA1 antagonists could be an effective treatment for prevention of pain upon propofol injection.

## **8. Factors influencing the pain**

Before the study of the exact mechanism of pain upon propofol injection, other factors though to influence the pain where reported and studied.

Thos the speed of injection, the size of the vein where propofol is injected and the concentration of propofol.

Scott *et al.* have noticed back in 1988 that there was less pain when propofol is injected in a large vein compared to small ones (arm versus hand veins), this is probably due to injection in the midstream leading to minimal contact of propofol with the endothelium lining of the vessel.

In the same way, they reported that slower injection increases the pain since it prolong the exposure of propofol in the vein (21).

It was also demonstrated several times that an increased concentration of propofol in the aqueous phase is associated with an increase pain (12,21).

This was confirmed by Doenicke *et al.* who revealed that an increase in the lipid content of propofol could reduce pain due to decreased concentration of propofol in aqueous phase (13,22).

## **9. Preventive measures against pain on propofol injection**

Pain prevention on injection of propofol is based on the different mechanism and factors resulting in pain. Several strategies have been hypothesized and tried throughout time, the first researches dating from 1982.

On the other hand, two systematic reviews on the subject have also been published in 2000 and 2011 with interesting results even though pain prevention has never been reported to be 100% efficient so far.

### **9.1. Non-pharmacological prevention**

#### **9.1.1. Patient information**

POPI is a possible source of anxiety for patients, as it could be suggestive of a life threatening cardiac event.

Anxiety associated with the pain on injection can most likely be reduced if the patient is well informed and knows what to expect.

Unfortunately, no researches have been conducted for this essential aspect of prevention that is information of the patient.

#### **9.1.2. Speed injection**

Slow injection prolongs the contact of propofol with the endothelium which favors release of pain mediators and increases the intensity of pain perceived by the patient.

On the contrary, a fast injection will reduce the pain on injection as propofol is flushed and rapidly replaced by blood in the vein. (12,21,23)

#### **9.1.3. Propofol concentration**

Klement W. and Arndt J.O. suggested that pain on propofol injection was directly linked to propofol concentration in the free aqueous phase.

Since propofol is highly lipophilic, the free concentration in aqueous phase depends on the proportion of lipids in the emulsion.

Thus, to test their hypothesis, Klement *et al.* studied the effect of propofol when diluted in 5% glucose and 10% intralipids.

Dilution of propofol into 10% intralipids significantly caused less pain than 5% glucose, proving that pain is related to the aqueous concentration of propofol. Pain occurred earlier and lasted longer with increasing concentration of propofol.

Those results led the authors to the conclusion that adding intralipids to propofol formulation could be a great mean of reducing pain on injection (12).

With the same idea, Soltesz *et al.* showed a significant reduction in the incidence of POPI when the concentration of propofol is reduced from 1% to 0,5% (24).

#### **9.1.4. Temperature**

McCrirrick and Hunter noted that administration of 4°C propofol could significantly reduce pain on injection (incidence from 46% to 23%) while not affecting propofol's action and efficacy (25).

On the other hand, Fletcher *et al.* found out that 37°C propofol significantly reduces pain on injection (incidence from 59% to 22%). However, the process of propofol warming up is really challenging as the risk of contamination of propofol with the water used to warm it up is high (26).

In a recent study, Terada *et al.* showed that topical cooling decreased the incidence of pain from 39% to 17% but overall, warming and cooling methods are not practical solutions and can be time consuming because of the extra care that is needed (27).

#### **9.1.5. Site of injection**

Many studies have investigated the site of injection and related pain. As said earlier, the size of the vein appears to be an important factor influencing the pain.

The fact that injection in large vein is less painful than in small veins was noted already back in 1985 by Brigg L.P. and White M., at the time the authors found out a pain incidence of 39% when propofol is injected into the dorsum of the hand compared to 3% when injected into antecubital vein (28).

It also interesting to mention here the meta-analysis from 2011 dealing with preventive measures for pain on propofol injection. They concluded that the use of antecubital vein

instead of a hand vein was the most effective intervention, having the lowest relative risk of all the methods and drugs reviewed (RR=0,14) (8).

However, the use of antecubital vein is quite unpopular because IV lines are easily dislodged when patient moves their arm, and the hand vein proves more comfortable to the patient than the antecubital vein does (9).

#### **9.1.6. Blood aspiration**

McDonald and Jameson thought about a possible buffer effect of the blood to reduce pain on propofol injection. They discovered that 2 mL aspiration of blood in the syringe prior to injection was significantly more efficient than dilution of propofol into 2mL of saline suggesting that blood may buffer or reduce the free aqueous concentration of propofol (pain incidence 58% with saline compared to 13% with blood aspiration).

On the other hand, this technic was not significantly better than adjunction of 20 mg of lidocaine to propofol (pain incidence 18% with lidocaine compared to 13% with blood aspiration) (29).

#### **9.1.7. Formulation of propofol**

Since the concentration of propofol in the aqueous phase is one the most important factor of pain on injection (12) and the fact that this concentration can be decreased by increasing the fat content of the solvent, several formulation of propofol have been tried and studied (13).

The initial formulation named Diprivan was emulsified propofol in Long Chain Triglycerides (LCT). Doenicke *et al.* noticed that propofol concentration in aqueous phase in Diprivan is relatively high suggesting that it is not completely dissolved in the lipid vehicle (22).

This led to a new formulation of propofol; lipuro-propofol: emulsified propofol in LCT and Middle Chain Triglycerides (MCT) whit lower free propofol content.

Several studies have compared both formulation (30–33), and all unanimously concluded on the superiority of LCT/MCT propofol on LCT propofol on several aspect: significant decrease

in the incidence of discomfort on injection, significant reduction in both intensity and severity of pain during target controlled infusion induction with propofol and overall reduction in POPI.

## **9.2. Pharmacological prevention**

A vast array of drugs has been tried and are used today in the prevention of POPI. Among them lidocaine and ketamine are the most used today, but newly tried drugs are emerging as possible solutions in the prevention of POPI. It is also important to mention older trials that have permitted to understand better the mechanism of POPI.

### **9.2.1. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs**

#### **9.2.1.1. Aspirine**

This was among the first drugs studied for prevention of POPI. Bahar *et al.* have shown in 1982 that intra-venous administration of 1000 mg of aspirine 15 minutes prior to propofol injection significantly reduced incidence of POPI, from 70% to 20% (6).

#### **9.2.1.2. Ketorolac**

Ketorolac has been tried in this indication, in their study, Huang *et al.* suggested that intra-venous pretreatment with 15 and 30 mg ketorolac could reduce POPI. The study also concluded on no venous sequelae 7 days following the procedure (34).

#### **9.2.1.3. Diclofenac**

Through a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial; Mohta *et al.* noted a significant reduction in moderate to severe pain following propofol injection for the patient who received pretreatment with 25 mg diclofenac. However, diclofenac itself was associated with mild pain in some patients (35).

#### **9.2.1.4. Flurbiprofen**

The study conducted by Nishiyama T. about the effectiveness of flurbiprofen in the prevention of POPI is particularly interesting. Indeed, according to the results, POPI was



completely abolished when 50 mg of flurbiprofen was injected intra-venously immediately before propofol (36).

More researches have thus investigated flurbiprofen in this indication considering the astonishing previous results, but results of published articles were inconsistent.

As a consequence, a meta-analysis was conducted in 2014 to appraise the efficacy and safety of flurbiprofen in reducing POPI.

They concluded that Flurbiprofen given at doses over 50mg and preceded by venous occlusion was effective in preventing or relieving POPI.

Flurbiprofen seem to be a promising prophylactic agent in the control of propofol pain although more studies are required to assess its adverse effects (37).

### **9.2.2. Opioids**

#### **9.2.2.1. Fentanyl and Alfentanil**

Several studies have been done on utilization of opioids for the prevention of POPI.

Helmers *et al.* have shown that pretreatment with 0,5 mg alfentanil before propofol injection reduces pain incidence from 40% to 16% (38).

Nathanson *et al.* have tried 1mg alfentanil pretreatment injected 15 and 30 seconds prior to propofol with significant reduction on POPI : 84% to 36% and 67% to 24% respectively (2).

Fletcher *et al.* in 1994 had also shown that 1mg alfentanil injection 15 seconds before injection of propofol reduced POPI significantly.

Like aspirin, fentanyl was studied by Bahar *et al.* back in 1982. They have noted a reduction in severity of POPI but not in the overall pain incidence after injection of 0,1 mg 3 to 5 minutes prior to propofol injection (6).

#### **9.2.2.2. Remifentanil**

Remifentanil has been subjected to many clinical trials for prevention of POPI.

In one study, pretreatment with remifentanil and premixed propofol with 1% lidocaine was compared with either treatment alone in the prevention of POPI.

Pretreatment with remifentanil and premixed propofol and lidocaine had equivalent incidence of POPI (37,8%). However the combination therapy achieved very low incidence of POPI (only 8,7%) (39).

Aouad *et al.*, also have studied pretreatment with remifentanil and lidocaine-propofol premixture and the combination of both. The result obtained in this study were very similar to the previous one with a decrease in the incidence of POPI in the remifentanil group (36% pain incidence) and lidocaine premixture (35% pain incidence) as well as very good results in the combination group (9,6% pain incidence). The authors also noted that moderate and severe pain were completely abolished when both drugs were combined while mild pain was reduced significantly (40).

### **9.2.2.3. Tramadol**

Some studies have assessed the probable local anesthetic effect of tramadol for the prevention of POPI.

In two studies, Tramadol was given intra-venously at the dose of 50mg after occlusion of the vein with a tourniquet and was kept for 1 minute before re-opening of the venous system and injection of propofol.

Both studies concluded in a significant reduction of pain incidence on propofol injection (41,42).

### **9.2.3. Ketamine**

Ketamine is a frequently studied drug given its efficacy in our indication of interest.

In 1999, Tan *et al.* have shown that pretreatment with 10mg ketamine injected 30 seconds before propofol could reduce significantly pain incidence of propofol from 84% to 26%.

They have postulated a peripheral local anesthetic mechanism rather than a central anesthetic because of the low dose used in their study (0,2 mg/kg) (43).

Other dosage and techniques for prevention of POPI with use of ketamine are reported.

Small dosage of ketamine (0,1mg/kg) have been reported effective in several studies (44,45); higher dosage (0,3mg/kg) was found to be effective too (46,47).

Another study highlighted the efficacy of ketamine at higher doses; they concluded that pretreatment with 1mg/kg completely eliminated pain associated with injection of propofol while pretreatment with 0,5 mg/kg only reduced the incidence and intensity of pain (48).

One of the goal of the study was also to take into account the side effects associated with higher dose of ketamine: with a dose of 1mg/kg, hemodynamics was not affected but they reported increased secretion.

Although ketamine's results are satisfactory for the prevention of POPI, a study comparing the efficacy of pretreatment with various drug have concluded that lidocaine and metoclopramide pretreatment were superior to ketamine (0,1mg/kg dosage) and remifentanyl (49).

#### **9.2.4. Metoclopramide**

Metoclopramide is an antiemetic drug with a peripheral local anesthetic-like effect given the fact that its structure is analog to procaine.

One of the first study on prevention of POPI with use of metoclopramide dates from 1992. The authors, Ganta and Fee, have shown that administration of 5mg metoclopramide immediately before propofol injection in the dorsum of the hand could significantly reduce pain incidence on propofol injection (50).

Later in 1999, Liaw *et al.* decided to compare three different technique of administration of metoclopramide: administered intra-venously immediately before injection of propofol, after mixing with propofol, or after a rubber tourniquet for 1 minute before propofol injection. Metoclopramide was compared to lidocaine and saline to evaluate the most effective method.

They concluded that Intra-venous retention of 10 mg metoclopramide for 1 minute was great in regard of prevention of POPI, achieving incidence of pain as low as 36%. There was no significant difference between metoclopramide and lidocaine which permitted to legitimate metoclopramide as an useful alternative in the prevention of POPI (51).

Finally and as mentioned before, Polat *et al.*, have noted that pretreatment with 10mg metoclopramide was among the best pharmacological prevention method with pain reduction as high as 76% (49).

### **9.2.5. Inhalation agents**

Nitrous oxide and sevoflurane have also been used to prevent POPI since both have central analgesic effects.

In one study, the authors proposed a triple actions of sevoflurane toward POPI elimination: central mild analgesic action combined with a sedative action and a vasodilation on the peripheral blood vessels (52). The study concluded in no significant difference in the reduction of POPI between sevoflurane alone and lidocaine alone but when combined together with a premedication of fentanyl POPI was completely abolished in all patients.

Regarding nitrous oxide, Kim *et al.* found out that pretreatment with inhaled 67% nitrous oxide reduced POPI; moreover, nitrous oxide with or without lidocaine was better than lidocaine alone (53).

### **9.2.6. Lidocaine**

Lidocaine is the most widely used drug in the practice for the prevention of POPI being both effective and available, and thus has been the most widely studied drug in this indication too.

Various concentration and dosages of lidocaine, or combination with other measures for reducing POPI has been extensively evaluated and seems to be one of the most promising drug in this condition.

To reduce POPI, lidocaine is administered either by mixing with the propofol and injecting both together, or by injecting separately, as an intravenous pretreatment prior to the propofol injection.

#### **9.2.6.1. Pretreatment**

The use of lidocaine as pretreatment is based on its supposed local anesthetic effect on the vein. Venous occlusion up to 120 seconds permits to increase the contact of lidocaine with venous intima responsible for the inhibition of the enzymatic cascade responsible for the liberation of mediators such as kinin.

Several studies have investigated this technique with different dosage of lidocaine and different timing of injection.

McCulloch and Lees have shown back in 1985 that administration of 10 mg lidocaine immediately before injection of propofol into the dorsum of the hand could reduce pain incidence from 37,5% to 17,5% (54).

Genta and Fee reported a pain incidence reduction from 49,4% to 21,1% with the same dosage and timing (50). Lyons *et al.* have noted that 10mg lidocaine pretreatment injected 10 seconds before propofol could reduce pain incidence from 64% to 44% (55).

Nicol *et al.* have concluded on a pain incidence reduction from 51% to 35% with 10mg lidocaine pretreatment injected 15 seconds before propofol (56).

Interestingly, Massad *et al.* recommended a 60 seconds venous-occlusion time before 20 mg propofol injection in one report in 2006 (57), while 2 years later in 2008 they found no difference with different timing of venous occlusion (15, 30 or 60 seconds) (58).

Another recent randomized, double-blind, controlled study have investigated pretreatment technique with 20 mg lidocaine and different vein occlusion timing (0, 15, 30, 60 seconds). They concluded that pretreatment with 20 mg with or without venous occlusion significantly reduces incidence and intensity of POPI; in addition, 60 seconds occlusion of the vein with 20 mg lidocaine was found to be the most effective (59).

Despite the wide array of results in term of dosage and venous occlusion timing, it is clear that pretreatment technique is among the best prevention technique for POPI.

This is notably well illustrated by a quantitative systemic review conducted in 2000 by Picard and Tramèr which concluded that IV lidocaine pretreatment (0,5 mg/kg) 30-120 seconds before propofol injection could prevent POPI in approximately 60% of patients (60), being the best prevention measure reviewed at the time.

In 2011, another systematic review placed lidocaine pretreatment with hand vein occlusion as one of the best two techniques available for the prevention and reduction of POPI (8).

#### **9.2.6.2. Admixture**

The mechanism of this technique which consist of mixing lidocaine and propofol together and then inject the mixture is not fully understood today.

One hypothesis is that lidocaine mixed with propofol could reduce the free aqueous concentration of propofol and thus reduce pain (61). Just as pretreatment technique, admixture technique was subjected to various results but again all showing a reduction in POPI.

Back in 1990, Newcombe *et al.* already reported the significant drop in severity and frequency of POPI (from 86,9% to 48,9%) when 10mg lidocaine is mixed to propofol (62). Many researches have also concluded in reduction of POPI with admixture techniques, with dosage from 10 to 40mg of lidocaine (61,63,64).

A possible problem of the mixture is linked to its physicochemical instability. According to Masaki *et al.* the addition of lidocaine to propofol results in an increase in oil droplet diameter emulsion. This reaction is time- and dose-dependent and may cause pulmonary embolism depending on the dose of lidocaine (65).

#### **9.2.6.3. Comparison between pretreatment and admixture**

Picard and Tramèr suggested that pretreatment with venous occlusion was more effective than admixture to reduce POPI (60). Indeed, venous occlusion with a tourniquet allowed high concentration of lidocaine to be retained locally thus extending the analgesic time (9).

Also, the pretreatment technique involves much more steps and take much more time than admixture which makes it inappropriate in some circumstances such as rapid induction, encouraging anesthesiologist to prefer admixture over pretreatment technique.

Nevertheless, the efficacy of both techniques has been proved and a 2016 systematic review including 84 randomized controlled trials for a total of 10 460 adult patients concluded in no significant difference between the two techniques.

The analyzed data permitted to confirm that both techniques were equivalently effective in reducing POPI. Overall pain incidence is reduced from 64% to 30,2% and high intensity pain is reduced from 38,1% to 11,8%.

The authors thus recommend lidocaine administration by any method, admixture or pretreatment depending on the anesthesiologists' circumstances and appropriateness.

On top of that, thrombophlebitis, a potential adverse effect of lidocaine was rare and only reported in 2 studies (9).

The results of this systematic review is probably placing lidocaine as the best single drug for the prevention of POPI, being available worldwide, cheap and with rare adverse effects.

### **9.2.7. Other drugs**

Many other drugs have been tried with variable success, notably oral and IV paracetamol (66), clonidine-ephedrine combination (66), dexamethasone with or without lidocaine (67) but also magnesium sulfate (68) and methylene blue (69).

## **10. Conclusion**

Despite many trials and researches POPI is still a problem today.

The mechanism of pain is still under investigation and will probably greatly help in solving the problem when eluded.

Until our understanding of the mechanism improve and/or new drug are developed; lidocaine seems to be the best option at the moment, being cheap, available, safe and offering great results.

According to a recent review, our approach in the prevention of POPI should be multimodal, with recommended use of drug regimen with local anesthetic effect combined with central sedative/analgesic and rapid injection into a large vein (70).

## **11. Acknowledgement**

I would first like to acknowledge the University of Zagreb, school of Medicine who gave me the opportunity to pursue medical studies in the beautiful country of Croatia. I am forever grateful.

Likewise, I would like to thank my mentor Professor Dinko Tonkovic from the department of Anesthesiology and resuscitation medicine for his patience and availability.

I would also like to thank Dr. Arthur Neuschwander for his infallible help during my internship of anesthesiology.

My final gratitude goes to my life partner and my family, they are the backbone to my success, thank you for your support in all aspects of life.



## 12. References

1. Concise Medical Dictionary [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: <http://www.oxfordreference.com/view/10.1093/acref/9780199557141.001.0001/acref-9780199557141>
2. Nathanson MH, Gajraj NM, Russell JA. Prevention of pain on injection of propofol: a comparison of lidocaine with alfentanil. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 1996 Mar;82(3):469–71. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8623944>
3. Kay B, Rolly G. I.C.I. 35868, a new intravenous induction agent. *Acta Anaesthesiol Belg* [Internet]. 1977;28(4):303–16. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/613708>
4. National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubChem Compound Database for ICD4943 [Internet]. [cited 2018 May 16]. Available from: <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/4943>
5. Rutter D V, Morgan M, Lumley J, Owen R. ICI 35868 (Diprivan): a new intravenous induction agent. A comparison with methohexitone. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1980 Dec;35(12):1188–92. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6970007>
6. Bahar M, McAteer E, Dundee JW, Briggs LP. Aspirin in the prevention of painful intravenous injection of disoprolol (ICI 35,868) and diazepam (Valium). *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1982 Aug;37(8):847–8. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2044.1982.tb01821.x>
7. Macario A, Weinger M, Truong P, Lee M. Which clinical anesthesia outcomes are both common and important to avoid? The perspective of a panel of expert anesthesiologists. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 1999 May;88(5):1085–91. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10320175>
8. Jalota L, Kalira V, George E, Shi Y-Y, Hornuss C, Radke O, et al. Prevention of pain on injection of propofol: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2011 Mar 15;342:d1110. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21406529>
9. Euasobhon P, Dej-Arkom S, Siriussawakul A, Muangman S, Sriraj W, Pattanittum P, et al. Lidocaine for reducing propofol-induced pain on induction of anaesthesia in adults. *Cochrane database Syst Rev* [Internet]. 2016 Feb 18;2:CD007874. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26888026>
10. Briggs LP, Clarke RS, Dundee JW, Moore J, Bahar M, Wright PJ. Use of di-isopropyl phenol as main agent for short procedures. *Br J Anaesth* [Internet]. 1981 Nov;53(11):1197–202. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6976790>
11. Stokes DN, Robson N, Hutton P. Effect of diluting propofol on the incidence of pain on

- injection and venous sequelae. *Br J Anaesth* [Internet]. 1989 Feb;62(2):202–3. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2784320>
12. Klement W, Arndt JO. Pain on injection of propofol: effects of concentration and diluent. *Br J Anaesth* [Internet]. 1991 Sep;67(3):281–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1911014>
  13. Doenicke AW, Roizen MF, Rau J, Kellermann W, Babl J. Reducing pain during propofol injection: the role of the solvent. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 1996 Mar;82(3):472–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8623945>
  14. Nakane M, Iwama H. A potential mechanism of propofol-induced pain on injection based on studies using nafamostat mesilate. *Br J Anaesth* [Internet]. 1999 Sep;83(3):397–404. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10655909>
  15. Matta JA, Cornett PM, Miyares RL, Abe K, Sahibzada N, Ahern GP. General anesthetics activate a nociceptive ion channel to enhance pain and inflammation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* [Internet]. 2008 Jun 24;105(25):8784–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18574153>
  16. Tai C, Zhu S, Zhou N. TRPA1: the central molecule for chemical sensing in pain pathway? *J Neurosci* [Internet]. 2008 Jan 30;28(5):1019–21. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18234879>
  17. Caterina MJ, Leffler A, Malmberg AB, Martin WJ, Trafton J, Petersen-Zeitz KR, et al. Impaired nociception and pain sensation in mice lacking the capsaicin receptor. *Science* [Internet]. 2000 Apr 14;288(5464):306–13. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10764638>
  18. Davis JB, Gray J, Gunthorpe MJ, Hatcher JP, Davey PT, Overend P, et al. Vanilloid receptor-1 is essential for inflammatory thermal hyperalgesia. *Nature* [Internet]. 2000 May 11;405(6783):183–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10821274>
  19. Bautista DM, Jordt S-E, Nikai T, Tsuruda PR, Read AJ, Poblete J, et al. TRPA1 mediates the inflammatory actions of environmental irritants and proalgesic agents. *Cell* [Internet]. 2006 Mar 24;124(6):1269–82. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16564016>
  20. Fischer MJM, Leffler A, Niedermirtl F, Kistner K, Eberhardt M, Reeh PW, et al. The general anesthetic propofol excites nociceptors by activating TRPV1 and TRPA1 rather than GABAA receptors. *J Biol Chem* [Internet]. 2010 Nov 5;285(45):34781–92. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20826794>
  21. Scott RP, Saunders DA, Norman J. Propofol: clinical strategies for preventing the pain of injection. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1988 Jun;43(6):492–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3261547>

22. Doenicke AW, Roizen MF, Rau J, O'Connor M, Kugler J, Klotz U, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of propofol in a new solvent. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 1997 Dec;85(6):1399–403. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9390616>
23. Shimizu T, Inomata S, Tanaka M. Rapid injection of propofol reduces vascular pain and facilitates Laryngeal Mask Airway insertion. *J Clin Anesth* [Internet]. 2011 Nov;23(7):540–3. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22050796>
24. Soltész S, Diekmann M, Mitrenga-Theusinger A, Keilen M, Molter GP. Reduced pain on injection with a 0.5% propofol emulsion during induction of anesthesia. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* [Internet]. 2012 Mar;29(3):162–3. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22012178>
25. McCrirrick A, Hunter S. Pain on injection of propofol: the effect of injectate temperature. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1990 Jun;45(6):443–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2200300>
26. Fletcher GC, Gillespie JA, Davidson JA. The effect of temperature upon pain during injection of propofol. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1996 May;51(5):498–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8694170>
27. Terada N, Takubo I, Fujinaka W, Takatori M. [Effectiveness of local cooling and lidocaine administration for prevention of pain upon injection of propofol]. *Masui* [Internet]. 2014 Aug;63(8):836–40. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25199313>
28. Briggs LP, White M. The effects of premedication on anaesthesia with propofol ('Diprivan'). *Postgrad Med J* [Internet]. 1985;61 Suppl 3:35–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3877291>
29. D. S. McDonald, FRCA, Registrar, P. Jameson, FRCA SR. Injection pain with propofol - Reduction with aspiration of blood. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1996;51:878–80. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1365-2044.1996.tb12624.x>
30. Sundarathiti P, Boonthom N, Chalacheewa T, Jommaroeng P, Rungsithiwan W. A comparison of propofol-LCT with propofol-LCT/MCT on pain of injection. *J Med Assoc Thai* [Internet]. 2007 Dec;90(12):2683–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18386721>
31. Suzuki H, Miyazaki H, Andoh T, Yamada Y. Propofol formulated with long-/medium-chain triglycerides reduces the pain of injection by target controlled infusion. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* [Internet]. 2006 May;50(5):568–71. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16643226>
32. Allford MA, Mensah JA. Discomfort on injection: a comparison between two

- formulations of propofol. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* [Internet]. 2006 Nov;23(11):971–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16824242>
33. Rau J, Roizen MF, Doenicke AW, O'Connor MF, Strohschneider U. Propofol in an emulsion of long- and medium-chain triglycerides: the effect on pain. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 2001 Aug;93(2):382–4 , 3rd contents page. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11473865>
  34. Huang YW, Buerkle H, Lee TH, Lu CY, Lin CR, Lin SH, et al. Effect of pretreatment with ketorolac on propofol injection pain. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* [Internet]. 2002 Sep;46(8):1021–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12190806>
  35. Mohta M, Agarwal D, Sethi AK, Sandhu K. Effect of diclofenac pretreatment on pain during propofol injection. *Anaesth Intensive Care* [Internet]. 2004 Dec;32(6):765–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15648985>
  36. Nishiyama T. How to decrease pain at rapid injection of propofol: effectiveness of flurbiprofen. *J Anesth* [Internet]. 2005;19(4):273–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16261462>
  37. Zhang L, Zhu J, Xu L, Zhang X, Wang H, Luo Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of flurbiprofen axetil in the prevention of pain on propofol injection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Sci Monit* [Internet]. 2014 Jun 17;20:995–1002. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24935068>
  38. Helmers JH, Kraaijenhagen RJ, v Leeuwen L, Zuurmond WW. Reduction of pain on injection caused by propofol. *Can J Anaesth* [Internet]. 1990 Mar;37(2):267–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2311157>
  39. Kwak K, Kim J, Park S, Lim D, Kim S, Baek W, et al. Reduction of pain on injection of propofol: combination of pretreatment of remifentanil and premixture of lidocaine with propofol. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* [Internet]. 2007 Sep;24(9):746–50. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17261216>
  40. Aouad MT, Siddik-Sayyid SM, Al-Alami AA, Baraka AS. Multimodal analgesia to prevent propofol-induced pain: pretreatment with remifentanil and lidocaine versus remifentanil or lidocaine alone. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 2007 Jun;104(6):1540–4, table of contents. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17513655>
  41. Pang WW, Huang PY, Chang DP, Huang MH. The peripheral analgesic effect of tramadol in reducing propofol injection pain: a comparison with lidocaine. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* [Internet]. 24(3):246–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10338176>
  42. Wong WH, Cheong KF. Role of tramadol in reducing pain on propofol injection. *Singapore Med J* [Internet]. 2001 May;42(5):193–5. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11513054>

43. Tan CH, Onsiong MK, Kua SW. The effect of ketamine pretreatment on propofol injection pain in 100 women. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1998 Mar;53(3):302–5. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9613278>
44. Zahedi H, Nikooseresht M, Seifrabie M. Prevention of propofol injection pain with small-dose ketamine. *Middle East J Anaesthesiol* [Internet]. 2009 Oct;20(3):401–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19950734>
45. Koo S-W, Cho S-J, Kim Y-K, Ham K-D, Hwang J-H. Small-dose ketamine reduces the pain of propofol injection. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 2006 Dec;103(6):1444–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17122220>
46. Wang M, Wang Q, Yu YY, Wang WS. An effective dose of ketamine for eliminating pain during injection of propofol: a dose response study. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* [Internet]. 2013 Sep;32(9):e103-6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23953322>
47. Zhao G, Guo Y, Bao S, Meng L, Zhang L. Prevention of propofol-induced pain in children: pretreatment with small doses of ketamine. *J Clin Anesth* [Internet]. 2012 Jun;24(4):284–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22608582>
48. Iwata M, Inoue S, Kawaguchi M, Kimura T, Tojo T, Taniguchi S, et al. Ketamine eliminates propofol pain but does not affect hemodynamics during induction with double-lumen tubes. *J Anesth* [Internet]. 2010 Feb;24(1):31–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20039078>
49. Polat R, Aktay M, Ozlü O. The effects of remifentanyl, lidocaine, metoclopramide, or ketamine pretreatment on propofol injection pain. *Middle East J Anaesthesiol* [Internet]. 2012 Jun;21(5):673–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23265029>
50. Ganta R, Fee JP. Pain on injection of propofol: comparison of lignocaine with metoclopramide. *Br J Anaesth* [Internet]. 1992 Sep;69(3):316–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1389851>
51. Liaw WJ, Pang WW, Chang DP, Hwang MH. Pain on injection of propofol: the mitigating influence of metoclopramide using different techniques. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* [Internet]. 1999 Jan;43(1):24–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9926183>
52. DeSousa K, Ali MS. Sevoflurane to alleviate pain on propofol injection. *J Anesth* [Internet]. 2011 Dec;25(6):879–83. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21881932>
53. Kim E, Kim CH, Kim HK, Kwon JY, Lee DW, Kim HY. Effect of nitrous oxide inhalation on pain after propofol and rocuronium injection. *J Anesth* [Internet]. 2013 Dec;27(6):868–73. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23982855>

54. McCulloch MJ, Lees NW. Assessment and modification of pain on induction with propofol (Diprivan). *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1985 Nov;40(11):1117–20. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3878103>
55. Lyons B, Lohan D, Flynn C, McCarroll M. Modification of pain on injection of propofol. A comparison of pethidine and lignocaine. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1996 Apr;51(4):394–5. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8686833>
56. Nicol ME, Moriarty J, Edwards J, Robbie DS, A'Hern RP. Modification of pain on injection of propofol—a comparison between lignocaine and procaine. *Anaesthesia* [Internet]. 1991 Jan;46(1):67–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1996763>
57. Massad IM, Abu-Ali HM, Abu-Halaweh SA, Badran IZ. Venous occlusion with lidocaine for preventing propofol induced pain. A prospective double-blind randomized study. *Saudi Med J* [Internet]. 2006 Jul;27(7):997–1000. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16830018>
58. Massad IM, Abu-Ali HM, Al-Ghanem SA, Badran IZ, Ammari BA, Daradkeh SS. Duration of venous occlusion with lidocaine for preventing propofol induced pain. *Saudi Med J* [Internet]. 2008 Jul;29(7):971–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18626523>
59. Kaya S, Turhanoglu S, Karaman H, Ozgün S, Basak N. Lidocaine for prevention of propofol injection-induced pain: A prospective, randomized, double-blind, controlled study of the effect of duration of venous occlusion with a tourniquet in adults. *Curr Ther Res Clin Exp* [Internet]. 2008 Feb;69(1):29–35. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24692780>
60. Picard P, Tramèr MR. Prevention of pain on injection with propofol: a quantitative systematic review. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 2000 Apr;90(4):963–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10735808>
61. Eriksson M, Englesson S, Niklasson F, Hartvig P. Effect of lignocaine and pH on propofol-induced pain. *Br J Anaesth* [Internet]. 1997 May;78(5):502–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9175962>
62. Newcombe GN. The effect, on injection pain, of adding lignocaine to propofol. *Anaesth Intensive Care* [Internet]. 1990 Feb;18(1):105–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2186654>
63. King SY, Davis FM, Wells JE, Murchison DJ, Pryor PJ. Lidocaine for the prevention of pain due to injection of propofol. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 1992 Feb;74(2):246–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1731545>
64. Helbo-Hansen S, Westergaard V, Krogh BL, Svendsen HP. The reduction of pain on injection of propofol: the effect of addition of lignocaine. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*

- [Internet]. 1988 Aug;32(6):502–4. Available from:  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3262980>
65. Masaki Y, Tanaka M, Nishikawa T. Physicochemical compatibility of propofol-lidocaine mixture. *Anesth Analg* [Internet]. 2003 Dec;97(6):1646–51. Available from:  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14633535>
  66. Borazan H, Erdem TB, Kececioglu M, Otelcioglu S. Prevention of pain on injection of propofol: a comparison of lidocaine with different doses of paracetamol. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* [Internet]. 2010 Mar;27(3):253–7. Available from:  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19696679>
  67. Kwak K-H, Ha J, Kim Y, Jeon Y. Efficacy of combination intravenous lidocaine and dexamethasone on propofol injection pain: a randomized, double-blind, prospective study in adult Korean surgical patients. *Clin Ther* [Internet]. 2008 Jun;30(6):1113–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18640467>
  68. Singh DK, Jindal P, Singh G. Comparative study of attenuation of the pain caused by propofol intravenous injection, by granisetron, magnesium sulfate and nitroglycerine. *Saudi J Anaesth* [Internet]. 2011 Jan;5(1):50–4. Available from:  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21655017>
  69. Salman AE, Salman MA, Saricaoglu F, Akinci SB, Aypar Ü. Pain on injection of propofol: a comparison of methylene blue and lidocaine. *J Clin Anesth* [Internet]. 2011 Jun;23(4):270–4. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21663809>
  70. Desousa KA. Pain on propofol injection: Causes and remedies. *Indian J Pharmacol* [Internet]. 48(6):617–23. Available from:  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28066096>

### **13. Biography**

I was born in Nice, France in 1990. After high school, with a great interest in sciences and the will to help others I decided to study medicine.

After failing the entrance exam of the University of Montpellier, I decided to look abroad in the pursue of my dream. I easily decided to move to Croatia and study medicine in Zagreb.

I now resides in Paris, France and I am preparing internship to become a surgeon.