The association between clinical and laboratory findings of bullous pemphigoid and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in the elderly: a retrospective study

Bukvić Mokos, Zrinka; Petković, Mikela; Balić, Anamaria; Marinović, Branka

Source / Izvornik: Croatian Medical Journal, 2020, 61, 93 - 99

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

https://doi.org/10.3325/cmj.2020.61.93

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:598782

Rights / Prava: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International/Imenovanje-Nekomercijalno-Bez prerada 4.0 međunarodna

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2024-04-17



Repository / Repozitorij:

Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine Digital Repository





Croat Med J. 2020;61:93-9 https://doi.org/10.3325/cmj.2020.61.93

The association between clinical and laboratory findings of bullous pemphigoid and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in the elderly: a retrospective study

Aim To evaluate the association between the use of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4I) and clinical and laboratory findings of bullous pemphigoid (BP) in patients treated at the European Reference Network – Skin Reference Centre in Croatia.

Methods This retrospective study enrolled 82 patients treated for BP at the Department of Dermatovenereology, University Hospital Center Zagreb from January 2015 to December 2019. Clinical features of BP, presence of comorbidities, and laboratory findings of anti-BP antibodies and eosinophilia were analyzed in three groups of BP patients: 1) diabetes mellitus (DM) type II patients treated with DP-P4I, 2) DM type II patients not treated with DPP4I, and 3) non-DM type II patients.

Results The average age and anti-BP180 titer were similar in all three groups. DPP4I group had a slightly lower eosinophil level in both peripheral blood (4.89%) and biopsy specimens (87.5%), but the difference was not significant. The prevalence of inflammatory BP in DPP4I group was 76.5%. DPP4I group had significantly higher percentage of patients with chronic renal failure and dementia (52.9% and 11.8%, respectively) compared with non-DPP4I DM (14.3% and 0%, respectively) and non-DM type II patients (15.7% and 0%, respectively).

Conclusion BP patients treated with DPP4I and those not treated with DPP4Is did not significantly differ in laboratory findings. However, DPP4I treatment was associated with an inflammatory subtype of BP and a higher prevalence of dementia and chronic renal failure. These findings warrant further research into the association of BP and DM with dementia and chronic renal failure.

Zrinka Bukvić Mokos^{1,2,3}, Mikela Petković^{1,2,3}, Anamaria Balić^{1,2,3}, Branka Marinović^{1,2,3}

¹University of Zagreb School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

²Department of Dermatovenereology, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

³European Reference Network-Skin Centre, University of Zagreb School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

Received: January 4, 2020 Accepted: March 1, 2020

Correspondence to:

Branka Marinović
Department of
Dermatolovenereology
University Hospital Centre Zagreb
School of Medicine, University of
Zagreb
Šalata 4
10000 Zagreb, Croatia
branka.marinovic@kbc-zagreb.hr

94 RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:93-9

Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is a skin-directed autoimmune blistering disease, which most commonly affects the elderly during the eighth decade of life (1). The incidence of BP varies from 2.5 to 42.8 cases per million a year and is increasing (1-4). The reason for the increasing incidence is unknown, but it is presumed to be population aging (1,2). In the population older than 80, the incidence is nearly 150-330 cases/million/y (3,5,6), while in the population older than 90 it is 398 cases/ million/y among men and 87 new cases/million among women (5,7). Current data show no gender preponderance - some authors found an increased incidence among women and some among men (4,5,7). BP is characterized by the presence of autoantibodies against structural hemidesmosomal proteins BP180 (BPAG 2) and BP230 (BPAG1), which are part of the basement membrane zone (BMZ). Most autoantibodies bind to the extracellular, non-collagenous NC16A domain of BP180, which is the dominant antigenic epitope in BP. Autoantibodies are mostly of IgG type, with predominantly IgG1 and IgG3 subtype, followed by IgG4 subtype. IgA and IgE autoantibodies can also be detected (6). In the early, non-bullous, phase of the disease, IgE antibody exerts its pathogenic role through the well-known mechanism of mast cell degranulation, which clinically presents as urticarial lesions and pruritus (8). High IgE levels were found in 70% of BP patients before starting the therapy and are linked to BP resistance to classical therapeutic options (8,9).

The diagnosis of BP is based on histopathology, direct immunofluorescence (DIF), indirect immunofluorescence, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). ELISA for the detection of circulating anti-BP 180 and anti-BP 230 autoantibodies can be used not only for the diagnosis but also for disease activity follow-up. Nowadays, assays for IgE anti-BP antibodies detection are commercially available for ELISA, which opens new possibilities for modern treatment modalities (10).

The etiology of BP is still unclear. Autoreactivity in BP is linked to the genetic factor HLA-DQß1*0301 (11-14). Factors that also participate in the pathogenesis of BP are Th1 and Th2 immune responses, as well as Th17 pathway activation (10). Only in a minority of predisposed individuals, the disease onset is connected to risk factors, such as older age, neurological disease, viral infections, physical factors (like sunburns or ionizing radiation), and drugs (11-13).

Since BP most commonly affects elderly patients, it is associated with a higher number of comorbidities (cardiovascular disease, neurological diseases, ie, dementia and Parkinson disease, and diabetes mellitus) and a higher

mortality rate (2,13-18). Also, the elderly population, owing to multiple comorbidities and malignancies, is also exposed to polypharmacy, which can trigger the development of BP.

The relationship between BP and diabetes mellitus (DM) has been well known for decades (14,19,20). DM is one of the most common comorbidities, as well as a significant risk factor of one-year mortality (14). Still, the number of DM patients with BP has been increasing (19,21), and the increase has been attributed to an increased use of dipeptidyl peptidase-4-inhibitors (DPP4I or gliptins) (14,22,23). DPP4 is a cell-surface serine exopeptidase, a part of prolyl oligopeptidase family, found in various tissues (24). In the immune system, DPP4 is found on T lymphocytes and on activated NK cells, and it is clustered as CD26 (14,24,25). DPP4 inactivates many proinflammatory cytokines, and the inhibition of DPP4 may be implicated in complex regulation of inflammatory response (25). The exact mechanism of BP development induced by DPP4I is unknown, but there are some drug-dependent differences in clinical and pathological features (14). Published studies showed data inconsistency regarding predominant inflammatory vs non-inflammatory clinical features of BP induced by DPP4I (14,25,26).

DPP4I include vildagliptin, sitagliptin, saxagliptin, linagliptin, and alogliptin. Vildagliptin is most commonly associated with the development of BP, followed by linagliptin (22,23,26-28). Thus, the relationship between BP and those two gliptins was mostly studied, while other drugs from the family have been investigated to a lesser extent.

Since the differences between patients with DPP4I-induced BP and those with DPP4I-non-induced BP are still not clear, the aim of our study was to evaluate the association between the use of DPP4I and clinical and laboratory findings of BP in patients treated at the European Reference Network – Skin Reference Centre in Croatia.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This retrospective study enrolled all patients (N=82) treated for BP from January 2015 to December 2019 at the Department of Dermatovenereology, University Hospital Centre Zagreb. Patients' records were obtained from the Hospital Information System. Patients were diagnosed according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision, code L12.0.

The definite diagnosis of BP was made by a dermatologist based on clinical findings, histopathology, DIF, and ELISA



testing for antibodies against BP 180 and BP 230. For further analyses we used data for anti-BP 180 only as it has higher importance in diagnosis and follow-up than anti-BP 230. The anti-BP 180 (anti-BP 180 NC 16A) antibody titer positivity was defined as ≥20 RU/mL (RU = relative unit).

The collected data included the age at BP onset, sex, anti-BP180 antibodies, the presence of DM type II, chronic renal failure, dementia or neurological disease, and DPP4I treatment before the onset of BP.

We divided BP patients into three groups: 1) DM type II patients treated with DPP4I (DPP4I group); 2) DM type II patients not treated with DPP4I (non-DPP4I group); and 3) non-DM type II patients. Based on clinical data, we distinguished between inflammatory and non-inflammatory BP type. Also, based on diagnostic evaluation, we assessed peripheral eosinophilia and eosinophilia in histopathology specimens to examine if DPP4I-induced BP differed from non-DPP4I induced BP, as shown by other studies (8,26,29). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of University Hospital Centre Zagreb (02/21A) and was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis

The normality of the distribution of quantitative variables was tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Kruskal-

Wallis test was used to assess the difference in the age of onset, peripheral eosinophilia, and anti-BP180 antibody titer between the groups. The Pearson χ^2 test was used to test the differences in the frequencies of patients older than 65 years at the time of diagnosis, sex, hospitalization, systemic steroid use, eosinophils in the biopsy, positive anti-BP180 antibody, chronic renal failure, dementia, and neurodegenerative diseases between the groups. The level of significance was set at P < 0.05. The statistical analysis was performed with TIBCO Statistica 13 (TIBCO Software Inc, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

RESULTS

DPP4I group included 17 patients (20.7%), non-DPP4I DM group 14 patients (17.1%), and non-DM group 51 patients (62.2%). The groups did not differ in the median age (75.5 years in non-DPP4I, 74.0 in DPP4I group, and 74.0 in the non-DM group; P=0.555). All patients in the DPP4I group were older than 65 years (100%). DPP4I group had a slightly lower level of eosinophils in both peripheral blood (median 2.6%, IQR 1.0-7.0) and biopsy specimens (87.5%) in comparison with the other two groups, but the difference was not significant (P=0.384).

DPP4I group had significantly higher percentage of patients with chronic renal failure and dementia (52.9% and 11.8%, respectively) compared with non-DPP4I DM group (14.3% and 0%, respectively) and non-DM type II patients (15.7% and 0%, respectively) (P < 0.005) (Table 1).

Non-DM

Non-DPP4I DM DPP4I treated DM

TABLE 1. Clinical characteristics of patients with bullous pemphigoid without and with type-2 diabetes mellitus, treated and not treated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4I)^{II}

	HOIT DIT II DIVI	Di i ii ticatea Divi	TTOIT DIVI	
	patients ($n = 14$)	patients ($n = 17$)	patients ($n = 51$)	р
Average age of onset in years (median, interquartile range)	75.5 (69-81)	74.0 (71-81)	74.0 (66-80)	0.555*
Older than 65 years at the time of diagnosis, n (%)	13 (92.9)	17 (100.0)	45 (88.2)	0.316 [†]
Sex ratio (male:female)	7:7	8:9	18:33	0.496 [†]
Hospitalization, n (%)	9 (64.3%)	13 (76.5)	35 (68.6)	0.745 [†]
Systemic steroid use, n (%)	14 (100.0)	17 (100.0)	51 (100.0)	0.144 [†]
Eosinophils in biopsy, n (%)‡	10 (90.9)	14 (87.5)	43 (89.6)	0.957 [†]
Percent of peripheral eosinophilia (median, interquartile range)	7.4 (2.3-12.2)	2.6 (1.0-7.0)	6.2 (1.4-13.4)	0.384*
Anti-BP180 antibody positive, n (%)§	13 (100.0)	15 (93.8)	46 (92.0)	0.573 [†]
Anti-BP180 antibody titer (median, interquartile range), U/mL	142.9 (103.9-270.2)	139.9 (45.4-264.2)	168.2 (88.0-266.6)	0.798*
Chronic renal failure, n (%)	2 (14.3)	9 (52.9)	8 (15.7)	0.005†
Dementia, n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (11.8)	0 (0.0)	0.020 [†]
Neurological disorders, n (%)	2 (14.3)	4 (23.5)	10 (19.6)	0.811 [†]

*Kruskal-Wallis test.

†Pearson χ² test.

‡Data on 7 patients in the non-DM group, 3 patients in the non-DPP4I DM group, and 2 patients in the DPP4I group were missing. §Data on 5 patients in the non-DM group, 1 patient in the non-DPP4I DM group, and 2 patients in the DPP4I group were missing. IIAII of our groups were heterogeneous with high variability and a small number of participants, so the comparison of each group with another would not contribute to statistical significance. RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:93-9

The median age of onset was 74.0 years. The most commonly used drug was vildagliptin, in 47.06% of patients, and 94.12% of patients concomitantly received another antidiabetic drug. The median period from starting DPP4I therapy to BP onset was 8.27 months. In seven patients, data on treatment duration were lost. In this group, 93.75% of patients had positive BP180 NC16A autoantibody, with a median titer of 139.9 RU/mL (IQR 45.4-264.2 RU/mL). Only 23.5% of DPP41 patients had the non-inflammatory subtype (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

96

This study showed a higher prevalence of chronic renal failure and dementia in patients receiving DPP4ls. These results are contradictory to the results of other studies, which showed that DPP4l treatment was associated with a lower incidence of dementia and may have a protective effect on renal disease progression (30,31). Our findings might be explained by a slightly older age in our DPP4l group in comparison to the other two groups and a small number of patients. In addition, older patients in our study might have neglected DM for some time and started treatment at a later stage, which is connected with the development of comorbidities.

DPP4I group in this study had a median age of 74.0 years, which is slightly lower than in other studies

(14,22,23,26,32,33). All the patients in this group were older than 65 years. Our results showed a slight, but not significant, preponderance of women, which is in concordance with other findings (27).

The higher incidence of BP in the elderly may be partly explained by an altered immune system. Immunosenescence, defined as age-related changes in the immune system, and its role in the development of autoimmune diseases among the elderly, is an important subject of scientific research (34). Innate and adaptive immune systems change with aging. Besides immunosenescence, there is also cellular senescence, a process connected with the development of some age-related diseases. A distinct phenotype change of the cell is the acquisition of senescence-associated secretion phenotype (SASP), which includes secreted inflammatory, growth-regulating, and remodeling factors. Interestingly, some of the cytokines up-regulated by SASP are the same cytokines involved in the pathomechanism of BP: interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, and IL-8, tumor necrosis factor α, and matrix metalloproteinases (11,35,36). Inflamm-aging can be defined as low-grade inflammation combined with older age. It is characterized by an increase in pro-inflammatory markers and circulating cytokines and is associated with dementia, atherosclerosis, and DM type II (36). All of these age-related alterations explain why BP is more frequent in the older

TABLE 2. Characteristics of elderly diabetic patients treated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4I) who developed bullous pemphigoid

Age	DPP4I	Period from DPP4I to onset of BP (months)	Concomitant diabetic drugs			Eosinophils in skin biopsy (yes/no)	BP subtype (inflammatory or non-inflammatory)
72	alogliptin	12	I	yes	131.54	yes	inflammatory
81	vildagliptin	ND	I + M	yes	144.35	yes	inflammatory
80	vildagliptin	12	M	yes	139.9	yes	inflammatory
79	vildagliptin	1	M + S + T	yes	233.54	yes	inflammatory
80	vildagliptin	ND	S + T	yes	403.47	no	inflammatory
84	sitagliptin	ND	S	yes	353.04	yes	inflammatory
87	linagliptin	14	M + S	yes	141.95	ND	non-inflammatory
69	vildagliptin	ND	M	yes	45.43	yes	inflammatory
73	linagliptin	0	M + S	yes	35.69	no	inflammatory
69	linagliptin	12	T	no		yes	non-inflammatory
73	vildagliptin	3	M + I	yes	264.24	yes	inflammatory
81	vildagliptin + linagliptin	7	M + I	yes	33.19	yes	non-inflammatory
74	linagliptin	ND		ND	ND	yes	inflammatory
69	vildagliptin	16	I	yes	50.59	yes	inflammatory
73	alogliptin	13	S	yes	138.31	yes	non-inflammatory
68	sitagliptin	1	М	yes	333.10	yes	inflammatory
81	linagliptin	ND	SGLT2-I	yes	24.7	yes	inflammatory
- 1 8 8 8 6 - 1 8 6 - 1 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	72 331 330 330 334 337 73 359 773 331 74 569 773	alogliptin vildagliptin vildagliptin vildagliptin vildagliptin vildagliptin vildagliptin sitagliptin vildagliptin ilinagliptin ilinagliptin ilinagliptin vildagliptin sitagliptin sitagliptin sitagliptin sitagliptin sitagliptin	Age DPP4I of BP (months) 72 alogliptin 12 31 vildagliptin 12 30 vildagliptin 12 79 vildagliptin 1 80 vildagliptin ND 84 sitagliptin ND 87 linagliptin ND 88 linagliptin 0 99 linagliptin 12 73 vildagliptin 12 73 vildagliptin 7 74 linagliptin 7 74 linagliptin ND 59 vildagliptin 16 40 vildagliptin 16 41 alogliptin 13 48 sitagliptin 1	Age DPP4I to onset of BP (months) diabetic drugs 72 alogliptin 12 I 81 vildagliptin ND I + M 80 vildagliptin 12 M 79 vildagliptin 1 M + S + T 80 vildagliptin ND S + T 84 sitagliptin ND S 87 linagliptin ND M 89 vildagliptin ND M + S 89 linagliptin 12 I 73 vildagliptin 3 M + I 81 vildagliptin + linagliptin 7 M + I 74 linagliptin ND ND 79 vildagliptin 16 I 70 vildagliptin 13 S 80 sitagliptin 1 M	Age DPP4I of BP (months) diabetic drugs tibody positive (yes/no) 72 alogliptin 12 I yes 81 vildagliptin 12 I + M yes 80 vildagliptin 12 M yes 79 vildagliptin 1 M + S + T yes 80 vildagliptin ND S + T yes 80 vildagliptin ND S yes 84 sitagliptin 14 M + S yes 87 linagliptin ND M yes 89 vildagliptin 12 I no 73 vildagliptin 3 M + I yes 81 vildagliptin + linagliptin 7 M + I yes 74 linagliptin 16 I yes 73 alogliptin 13 S yes 88 sitagliptin 1 M yes	DPP4 DPP4 Of BP (months) DPP4 Of BP (months) Of BP (months) DPP4 Of BP (months) DPP4 Of BP (months) Of BP (months)	DPP4I

 $[*]ND-not\ determined; M-metformin; I-insulin; S-sulfonylurea; T-thiazolidinedione; SGLT2-I-sodium-glucose\ transport\ protein\ 2\ inhibitor.$



TABLE 3. Characteristics of eligible gliptin-associated bullous pemphigoid studies

Study	Country	Cases/controls	Latency time (months)	Age (years)	Sex, male (%)	Mono/multi
Varpuluoma et al (22)	Finland	3397/12941	14.72	76.6	40.3	multicentric
Kawaguchi et al (23)	Japan	32/136	48.4	78.9	46.8	monocentric
Kridin et al (26)	Israel	82/328	/	79.1	55.3	monocentric
Benzaquen et al (32)	France	61/122	8.2	79.1	49.2	multicentric
Lee et al (33)	Korea	670/670	/	75.3	51.0	multicentric
Lindgren et al (38)	Finland	10/17	13.4	80.3	40.0	monocentric

population but also give us more insight into the connection of BP with different co-morbidities.

The disease is caused by autoantibodies directed against the structural components of the BMZ, particularly BP180, and specifically the anti-NC16A domain, which contains a dominant pathogenic epitope (23). It is still unknown what triggers the development of auto-antibodies against BP180. There are many gaps in the understanding of the etiopathogenesis of the disease, but the association of gliptin therapy with the development of BP has been well confirmed (14,22,23,26,28,32,33,37,38) (Table 3).

DPP4, or CD26, can act anti-inflammatory, by tearing and inactivating proinflammatory cytokines. Hence, DPP4I could promote an inflammatory response by activating cytokines, resulting in tissue damage and blister formation (37). It is known that eosinophils, along with neutrophils, produce serine proteases that damage the BMZ. Some authors showed a sparse infiltration of eosinophils in BP patients treated with DPP4I (28). The exact mechanism is still unclear, but it has been proposed that decreased eotaxin-1 production may act via the inhibition of eosinophil-recruiting cytokines, eg, IL-13, and transforming growth factor-\(\beta\)1 (28). We found a slightly lower level of eosinophils in both peripheral blood (4.89%) and biopsy specimens (87.5%) in the DPP4I group in comparison with the other two groups, but the result was not significant. The majority of DPP4I patients in our study (76.5%) had inflammatory BP clinical subtype. These results are in concordance with the studies that did not find a significant difference between BP patients who were and were not treated with DPP4I (26.38.39).

The combination of DPP4l proinflammatory effect with inflamm-aging may act as a major trigger in BP pathogenesis and its onset. Contrary to the above, it seems that autoantibodies in DPP4l-induced BP are directed against other portions of BP180 antigen besides the NC16A domain (40). A recent study found that more DM patients treated with DPP4l had BP180-full-length and BP180 NC16A ELISAs

than patients not treated with DPP4I. Patients with anti-full length BP180 IgG-positive ELISA were significantly older than those with anti-full length BP180 IgG-negative ELISA, which indicates that older age in combination with DPP4I treatment may be a risk factor for the development of anti-full length BP180 IgG antibodies. On the other hand, a higher percentage of anti-full length BP 180 may indicate that DPP4I induce the development of BP by some still unknown mechanism other than the BP180 NC16A epitope spreading (40). Our results showed no significant difference in both percentage of positive anti-BP180 antibodies and titer range between all three groups of patients, which is similar to the results of other studies (26,38,39).

There was no significant difference in hospitalization rate and systemic steroid administration rate between all three groups, which is in concordance with previous studies (23).

A meta-analysis by Phan et al (27) demonstrated a significant association between DPP4I and BP. Among gliptins, vildagliptin was most strongly associated with BP development and had the highest odds ratio, although this DPP4I was least frequently prescribed (22,23,26,27,30,33,41). This can be explained by the fact that vildagliptin is the least selective DPP4I and more strongly inhibits the activity of other DPP isoenzymes, such as DPP8 and DPP9, in a way that is still unknown (23). The usage of other antidiabetic drugs has not been shown to increase the risk for BP, so we did not analyze this factor (42). However, 94.12% of our patients treated with DPP4I received concomitant antidiabetic therapy. Our study showed a mean period of 8.27 months from starting DPP4I treatment to BP onset, which is slightly lower than in other studies (27).

The present study has some limitations, most notably a small number of patients and lack of control group.

In conclusion, we found no significant differences in the laboratory findings (including ELISA tests and eosino-phil count in skin biopsy and peripheral blood) of

98 RESEARCH ARTICLE Croat Med J. 2020;61:93-9

BP patients treated with DPP4I and those not treated with DPP4Is. However, DPP4I treatment was associated with an inflammatory subtype of BP and a higher prevalence of dementia and chronic renal failure. This result warrants future studies on the association of BP and DM with dementia and chronic renal failure.

Acknowledgment We thank Prof Mirjana Kujundžić-Tiljak, who performed statistical analysis and helped with the interpretation of results.

Funding None.

Ethical approval given by the Ethics Committee of University Hospital Centre Zagreb (02/21A).

Declaration of authorship ZBM and BM conceived and designed the study; MP acquired the data; AB analyzed and interpreted the data; MP and AB drafted the manuscript; ZBM and BM critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content; all authors gave approval of the version to be submitted; all authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Competing interests All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Langan SM, Smeeth L, Hubbard R, Fleming KM, Smith CJP, West J. Bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris - incidence and mortality in the UK: Population based cohort study. BMJ. 2008;337:a180. Medline:18614511 doi:10.1136/bmj.a180
- 2 Bech R, Kibsgaard L, Vestergaard C. Comorbidities and treatment strategies in bullous pemphigoid: An appraisal of the existing litterature. Front Med. 2018;5:238. Medline:30234116 doi:10.3389/ fmed.2018.00238
- 3 Miyamoto D, Santi CG, Aoki V, Maruta CW. Bullous pemphigoid. An Bras Dermatol. 2019;94:133-46. Medline:31090818 doi:10.1590/ abd1806-4841.20199007
- 4 Marinovic B, Lipozencic J, Jukic IL. Autoimmune blistering diseases: incidence and treatment in Croatia. Dermatol Clin. 2011;29:677-9. Medline:21925017 doi:10.1016/j.det.2011.07.003
- 5 Lakoš Jukić I, Jerković Gulin S, Marinović B. Blistering diseases in the mature patient. Clin Dermatol. 2018;36:231-8. Medline:29566927 doi:10.1016/j.clindermatol.2017.10.014
- Schmidt E, Zillikens D. Pemphigoid diseases. Lancet. 2013;381:320-32. Medline:23237497 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61140-4
- Jung M, Kippes W, Messer G, Zillikens D, Rzany B. Increased risk of bullous pemphigoid in male and very old patients: A populationbased study on incidence. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1999;41:266-8.
 Medline:10426901 doi:10.1016/S0190-9622(99)70061-7
- 8 Ujiie H, Nishie W, Shimizu H. Pathogenesis of bullous pemphigoid. Dermatol Clin. 2011;29:439-46. Medline:21605809 doi:10.1016/j. det.2011.03.008
- 9 Iwata Y, Komura K, Kodera M, Usuda T, Yokoyama Y, Hara T, et al. Correlation of IgE autoantibody to BP180 with a severe

- form of bullous pemphigoid. Arch Dermatol. 2008;144:41-8. Medline:18209167 doi:10.1001/archdermatol.2007.9
- 10 Van Beek N, Lüttmann N, Huebner F, Recke A, Karl I, Schulze FS, et al. Correlation of serum levels of ige autoantibodies against BP180 with bullous pemphigoid disease activity. JAMA Dermatol. 2017;153:30-8. Medline:27829102 doi:10.1001/ jamadermatol.2016.3357
- 11 Lo Schiavo A, Ruocco E, Brancaccio G, Caccavale S, Ruocco V, Wolf R. Bullous pemphigoid: Etiology, pathogenesis, and inducing factors: Facts and controversies. Clin Dermatol. 2013;31:391-9.
 Medline:23806156 doi:10.1016/j.clindermatol.2013.01.006
- 12 Stavropoulos PG, Soura E, Antoniou C. Drug-induced pemphigoid: A review of the literature. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2014;28:1133-40. Medline:24404939 doi:10.1111/jdv.12366
- Milani-Nejad N, Zhang M, Kaffenberger J. The association between bullous pemphigoid and neurological disorders: A systematic review. Eur J Dermatol. 2017;27:472-81. Medline:28681724 doi:10.1684/ejd.2017.3066
- 14 Tasanen K, Varpuluoma O, Nishie W. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor-associated bullous pemphigoid. Front Immunol. 2019;10:1-10. Medline;31275298 doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.01238
- 15 Amber KT, Zikry J, Hertl M. A multi-hit hypothesis of bullous pemphigoid and associated neurological disease: Is HLA-DQB1*03:01, a potential link between immune privileged antigen exposure and epitope spreading? HLA. 2017;89:127-34. Medline:28101965 doi:10.1111/tan.12960
- 16 Ren Z, Hsu DY, Brieva J, Silverberg NB, Langan SM, Silverberg JI. Hospitalization, inpatient burden and comorbidities associated with bullous pemphigoid in the U.S.A. Br J Dermatol. 2017;176:87-99. Medline:27343837 doi:10.1111/bjd.14821
- 17 Kalińska-Bienias A, Kowalczyk E, Jagielski P, Bienias P, Kowalewski C, Woźniak K. The association between neurological diseases, malignancies and cardiovascular comorbidities among patients with bullous pemphigoid: Case-control study in a specialized Polish center. Adv Clin Exp Med. 2019;28:637-42. Medline:30784240 doi:10.17219/acem/90922
- 18 Brick KE, Weaver CH, Savica R, Christine M, Pittelkow MR, Boeve BF, et al. A population-based study of the association between bullous pemphigoid and neurologic disorders. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014;71:1191-7. Medline:25174542 doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2014.07.052
- 19 Geller S, Kremer N, Zeeli T, Sprecher E. Bullous pemphigoid and diabetes mellitus: Are we missing the larger picture? J Am Acad Dermatol. 2018;79:e27. Medline:30012285 doi:10.1016/j. jaad.2018.02.080
- 20 Chuang TY, Soltani K, Clayman J, Cook J. Increased frequency of diabetes mellitus in patients with bullous pemphigoid: A case-control study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1984;11:1099-102. Medline:6392367 doi:10.1016/S0190-9622(84)70266-0
- 21 Kinyó Á. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor-associated bullous pemphigoid [Online First]. IntechOpen. 2019;10:1238.



- 22 Varpuluoma O, Försti AK, Jokelainen J, Turpeinen M, Timonen M, Huilaja L, et al. Vildagliptin significantly increases the risk of bullous pemphigoid: A Finnish Nationwide Registry Study. J Invest Dermatol. 2018;138:1659-61. Medline:29427585 doi:10.1016/j. iid.2018.01.027
- 23 Kawaguchi Y, Shimauchi R, Nishibori N, Kawashima K, Oshitani S, Fujiya A, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors-associated bullous pemphigoid: a retrospective study of 168 pemphigoid and 9,304 diabetes mellitus patients. J Diabetes Investig. 2019;10:392-8.
 Medline:29920976 doi:10.1111/jdi.12877
- 24 Abbott CA, Baker E, Sutherland GR, McCaughan GW. Genomic organization, exact localization, and tissue expression of the human CD26 (dipeptidyl peptidase IV) gene. Immunogenetics. 1994;40:331-8. Medline:7927537 doi:10.1007/BF01246674
- 25 Reinhold D, Bank U, Bühling F, Kähne T, Kunt D, Faust J, et al. Inhibitors of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DP IV, CD26) specifically suppress proliferation and modulate cytokine production of strongly CD26 expressing U937 cells. Immunobiology. 1994;192:121-36. Medline:7750986 doi:10.1016/S0171-2985(11)80412-2
- 26 Kridin K, Bergman R. Association of bullous pemphigoid with dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 inhibitors in patients with diabetes: estimating the risk of the new agents and characterizing the patients. JAMA Dermatol. 2018;154:1152-8. Medline:30090931 doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.2352
- 27 Phan K, Charlton O, Smith SD. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and bullous pemphigoid: A systematic review and adjusted meta-analysis. Australas J Dermatol. 2020. Epub ahead of print. Medline:31215644 doi:10.1111/ajd.13100
- Nishie W. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor-associated bullous pemphigoid: a recently recognized autoimmune blistering disease with unique clinical, immunological and genetic characteristics. Immunol Med. 2019;42:22-8. Medline:31169082 doi:10.1080/25785 826.2019.1619233
- 29 Witte M, Zillikens D, Schmidt E. Diagnosis of autoimmune blistering diseases. Front Med. 2018;5:296. Medline:30450358 doi:10.3389/fmed.2018.00296
- 30 Kim JY, Ku YS, Kim HJ, Trinh NT, Kim W, Jeong B, et al. Oral diabetes medication and risk of dementia in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019;154:116-23. Medline:31279960 doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2019.07.004
- 31 Gupta S, Sen U. More than just an enzyme: Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) and its association with diabetic kidney remodelling. Pharmacol Res. 2019;147:104391. Medline:31401210 doi:10.1016/j. phrs.2019.104391
- 32 Benzaquen M, Borradori L, Berbis P, Cazzaniga S, Valero R, Richard MA, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors, a risk factor for bullous pemphigoid: Retrospective multicenter case-control study from France and Switzerland. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2018;78:1090-6. Medline:29274348 doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2017.12.038

- 33 Lee SG, Lee HJ, Yoon MS, Kim DH. Association of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor use with risk of bullous pemphigoid in patients with diabetes. JAMA Dermatol. 2019;155:172-7. Medline:30624566 doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.4556
- 34 Fulop T, Larbi A, Dupuis G, Le Page A, Frost EH, Cohen AA, et al. Immunosenescence and inflamm-aging as two sides of the same coin: Friends or Foes? Front Immunol. 2018;8:1960. Medline:29375577 doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.01960
- 35 Byun HO, Lee YK, Kim JM, Yoon G. From cell senescence to agerelated diseases: Differential mechanisms of action of senescenceassociated secretory phenotypes. BMB Rep. 2015;48:549-58.
 Medline:26129674 doi:10.5483/BMBRep.2015.48.10.122
- 36 Castelo-Branco C, Soveral I. The immune system and aging: A review. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2014;30:16-22. Medline:24219599 doi: 10.3109/09513590.2013.852531
- 37 Forssmann U, Stoetzer C, Stephan M, Kruschinski C, Skripuletz T, Schade J, et al. Inhibition of CD26/dipeptidyl peptidase IV enhances CCL11/eotaxin-mediated recruitment of eosinophils in vivo. J Immunol. 2008;181:1120-7. Medline:18606664 doi:10.4049/ iimmunol.181.2.1120
- 38 Lindgren O, Varpuluoma O, Tuusa J, Ilonen J, Huilaja L, Kokkonen N, et al. Gliptin-associated bullous pemphigoid and the expression of dipeptidyl peptidase-4/CD26 in bullous pemphigoid 1. Acta Derm Venereol. 2019;99:1-8. Medline:30848289 doi:10.2340/00015555-3166
- 39 Patsatsi A, Kyriakou A, Meltzanidou P, Trigonidou A, Lamprou F, Kokolios M, et al. Bullous pemphigoid in patients with DPP-4 inhibitors at the onset of disease: does this differ from common bullous pemphigoid? Eur J Dermatol. 2018;28:711-3. Medline:30325322
- 40 Izumi K, Nishie W, Beniko M, Shimizu H. A cross-sectional study comparing the prevalence of bullous pemphigoid autoantibodies in 275 cases of type II diabetes mellitus treated with or without dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors. Front Immunol. 2019;10:1-6.
 Medline:31297116 doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.01439
- 41 Béné J, Moulis G, Bennani I, Auffret M, Coupe P, Babai S, et al.
 Bullous pemphigoid and dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors: a
 case-noncase study in the French Pharmacovigilance Database.
 Br J Dermatol. 2016;175:296-301. Medline:27031194 doi:10.1111/bjd.14601
- 42 Varpuluoma O, Försti AK, Jokelainen J, Turpeinen M, Timonen M, Tasanen K, et al. Oral diabetes medications other than dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors are not associated with bullous pemphigoid: A Finnish nationwide case-control study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2018;79:1034-1038.e5. Medline:29803903 doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2018.05.030