

The ChoCO-W prospective observational global study: Does COVID-19 increase gangrenous cholecystitis?

De Simone, Belinda; Abu-Zidan, Fikri M.; Chouillard, Elie; Di Saverio, Salomone; Sartelli, Massimo; Podda, Mauro; Gomes, Carlos Augusto; Moore, Ernest E.; Moug, Susan J.; Ansaloni, Luca; ...

Source / Izvornik: **World Journal of Emergency Surgery, 2022, 17**

Journal article, Published version

Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-022-00466-4>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:566537>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](#)/[Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-11-23**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine
Digital Repository](#)



RESEARCH

Open Access



The ChoCO-W prospective observational global study: Does COVID-19 increase gangrenous cholecystitis?

Belinda De Simone^{1*}, Fikri M. Abu-Zidan², Elie Chouillard¹, Salomone Di Saverio³, Massimo Sartelli⁴, Mauro Podda⁵, Carlos Augusto Gomes⁶, Ernest E. Moore⁷, Susan J. Moug⁸, Luca Ansaloni⁹, Yoram Kluger¹⁰, Federico Coccolini¹¹, Aitor Landaluce-Olavarria¹², Begoña Estraviz-Mateos¹², Ana Uriguen-Etxeberria¹², Alessio Giordano¹³, Alfonso Palmieri Luna¹⁴, Luz Adriana Hernández Amín¹⁴, Adriana María Palmieri Hernández¹⁴, Amanda Shabana¹⁵, Zakaria Andee Dzulkarnaen¹⁶, Muhammad Asyraf Othman¹⁶, Mohamad Ikhwan Sani¹⁶, Andrea Balla¹⁷, Rosa Scaramuzza¹⁷, Pasquale Lepiane¹⁷, Andrea Bottari¹⁸, Fabio Staderini¹⁸, Fabio Cianchi¹⁸, Andrea Cavallaro¹⁹, Antonio Zanghi¹⁹, Alessandro Cappellani¹⁹, Roberto Campagnacci²⁰, Angela Maurizi²⁰, Mario Martinotti²¹, Annamaria Ruggieri²¹, Asri Che Jusoh²², Karim Abdul Rahman²², Anis Suraya M. Zulkifli²², Barbara Petronio²³, Belén Matías-García²⁴, Ana Quiroga-Valcárcel²⁴, Fernando Mendoza-Moreno²⁴, Boyko Atanasov²⁵, Fabio Cesare Campanile²⁶, Ilaria Vecchioni²⁶, Luca Cardinali²⁷, Grazia Travaglini²⁷, Elisa Sebastiani²⁷, Serge Chooklin²⁸, Serhii Chuklin²⁸, Pasquale Cianci²⁹, Enrico Restini²⁹, Sabino Capuzzolo²⁹, Giuseppe Currò³⁰, Rosalinda Filippo³⁰, Michele Rispoli³⁰, Daniel Aparicio-Sánchez³¹, Virginia Durán Muñoz-Cruzado³¹, Sandra Dios Barbeito³¹, Samir Delibegovic³², Amar Kesetovic³², Diego Sasia³³, Felice Borghi³³, Giorgio Giraudo³³, Diego Visconti³⁴, Emanuele Doria³⁴, Mauro Santarelli³⁴, Davide Luppi³⁵, Stefano Bonilauri³⁵, Ugo Grossi³⁶, Giacomo Zanus³⁶, Alberto Sartori³⁷, Giacomo Piatto³⁷, Maurizio De Luca³⁷, Domenico Vita³⁶, Luigi Conti³⁸, Patrizio Capelli³⁸, Gaetano Maria Cattaneo³⁸, Athanasios Marinis³⁹, Styliani-Aikaterini Vederaki³⁹, Mehmet Bayrak⁴⁰, Yasemin Altintas⁴¹, Mustafa Yener Uzunoglu⁴², Iskender Eren Demirbas⁴², Yuksel Altinel⁴³, Serhat Meric⁴³, Yunus Emre Aktimur⁴³, Derya Salim Uymaz⁴⁴, Nail Omarov⁴⁴, Ibrahim Azamat⁴⁴, Eftychios Lostoridis⁴⁵, Eleni-Aikaterini Nagorni⁴⁵, Antonio Pujante⁴⁵, Gabriele Anania⁴⁶, Cristina Bombardini⁴⁶, Francesco Bagolini⁴⁶, Emre Gonullu⁴⁷, Baris Mantoglu⁴⁷, Recayi Capoglu⁴⁷, Stefano Cappato⁴⁸, Elena Muzio⁴⁸, Elif Colak⁴⁹, Suleyman Polat⁴⁹, Zehra Alan Koylu⁴⁹, Fatih Altintoprak⁵⁰, Zülfü Bayhan⁵⁰, Emrah Akin⁵⁰, Enrico Andolfi⁵¹, Sulce Rezart⁵¹, Jae Il Kim⁵², Sung Won Jung⁵², Yong Chan Shin⁵², Octavian Enciu⁵³, Elena Adelina Toma⁵³, Fabio Medas⁵, Gian Luigi Canu⁵, Federico Cappellacci⁵, Fabrizio D'Acapito⁵⁴, Giorgio Ercolani⁵⁴, Leonardo Solaini⁵⁴, Francesco Roscio⁵⁵, Federico Clerici⁵⁵, Roberta Gelmini⁵⁶, Francesco Serra⁵⁶,

*Correspondence: desimone.belinda@gmail.com

¹ Department of Emergency, Digestive and Metabolic Minimally Invasive Surgery, Poissy and Saint Germain en Laye Hospitals, Poissy, France
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Elena Giulia Rossi⁵⁶, Francesco Fleres⁵⁷, Guglielmo Clarizia⁵⁷, Alessandro Spolini⁵⁷, Francesco Ferrara⁵⁸, Gabriela Nita⁵⁹, Jlenia Sarnari⁵⁹, Mahir Gachabayov⁶⁰, Abakar Abdullaev⁶⁰, Gaetano Poillucci⁶¹, Gian Marco Palini⁶², Simone Veneroni⁶², Gianluca Garulli⁶², Micaela Piccoli⁶³, Gianmaria Casoni Pattacini⁶³, Francesca Pecchini⁶³, Giulio Argenio⁶⁴, Mariano Fortunato Armellino⁶⁴, Giuseppe Brisinda⁶⁵, Silvia Tedesco⁶⁵, Pietro Fransvea⁶⁵, Giuseppe Ietto⁶⁶, Caterina Franchi⁶⁶, Giulio Carcano⁶⁶, Gennaro Martines⁶⁷, Giuseppe Trigiante⁶⁷, Giulia Negro⁶⁷, Gustavo Machain Vega⁶⁸, Agustín Rodríguez González⁶⁸, Leonardo Ojeda⁶⁸, Gaetano Piccolo⁶⁹, Andrea Bondurri⁷⁰, Anna Maffioli⁷⁰, Claudio Guerci⁷⁰, Boo Han Sin⁷¹, Zamri Zuhdi⁷¹, Azlanudin Azman⁷¹, Hussam Mousa⁷², Shadi al Bahri⁷², Goran Augustin⁷³, Ivan Romic⁷³, Trpimir Moric⁷³, Ioannis Nikolopoulos⁷⁴, Jacopo Andreuccetti⁷⁵, Giusto Pignata⁷⁵, Rossella D'Alessio⁷⁵, Jakub Kenig⁷⁶, Urszula Skorus⁷⁶, Gustavo Pereira Fraga⁷⁷, Elcio Shiyoi Hirano⁷⁷, Jackson Vinícius de Lima Bertuol⁷⁸, Arda Isik⁷⁹, Eray Kurnaz⁷⁹, Mohammad Sohail Asghar⁸⁰, Ameer Afzal⁸⁰, Ali Akbar⁸⁰, Taxiarchis Konstantinos Nikolouzakis⁸¹, Konstantinos Lasithiotakis⁸¹, Emmanuel Chrysos⁸¹, Koray Das⁸², Nazmi Özer⁸², Ahmet Seker⁸², Mohamed Ibrahim⁸³, Hytham K. S. Hamid⁸³, Ahmed Babiker⁸³, Konstantinos Bouliaris⁸⁴, George Koukoulis⁸⁴, Chrysoula-Christina Kolla⁸⁴, Andrea Lucchi⁸⁵, Laura Agostinelli⁸⁵, Antonio Taddei⁸⁶, Laura Fortuna⁸⁶, Carlotta Agostini⁸⁶, Leo Licari⁸⁷, Simona Viola⁸⁸, Cosimo Callari⁸⁹, Letizia Laface⁹⁰, Emmanuele Abate⁹⁰, Massimiliano Casati⁹⁰, Alessandro Anastasi⁹¹, Giuseppe Canonico⁹¹, Linda Gabellini⁹¹, Lorenzo Tosi⁹³, Anna Guariniello⁹², Federico Zanzi⁹², Lovenish Bains⁹⁴, Larysa Sydorчук⁹⁵, Oksana Iftoda⁹⁵, Andrii Sydorчук⁹⁵, Michele Malerba⁹⁶, Federico Costanzo⁹⁶, Raffaele Galleano⁹⁶, Michela Monteleone⁹⁷, Andrea Costanzi⁹⁷, Carlo Riva⁹⁷, Maciej Wałędzia⁹⁸, Andrzej Kwiatkowski⁹⁸, Łukasz Czyżykowski⁹⁸, Piotr Major⁹⁹, Marcin Strzałka⁹⁹, Maciej Matyja⁹⁹, Michal Natkaniec⁹⁹, Maria Rosaria Valenti¹⁰⁰, Maria Domenica Pia Di Vita¹⁰⁰, Maria Sotiropoulou¹⁰¹, Stylianos Kapiris¹⁰¹, Damien Massalou¹⁰², Massimiliano Veroux¹⁰³, Alessio Volpicelli¹⁰³, Rossella Gioco¹⁰³, Matteo Uccelli¹⁰⁴, Marta Bonaldi¹⁰⁴, Stefano Olmi¹⁰⁴, Matteo Nardi¹⁰⁵, Giada Livadoti¹⁰⁵, Cristian Mesina¹⁰⁶, Theodor Viorel Dumitrescu¹⁰⁶, Mihai Calin Ciorbagiu¹⁰⁶, Michele Ammendola¹⁰⁷, Giorgio Ammerata¹⁰⁷, Roberto Romano¹⁰⁷, Mihail Slavchev¹⁰⁸, Evangelos P. Misiakos¹⁰⁹, Emmanouil Pikoulis¹⁰⁹, Dimitrios Papaconstantinou¹⁰⁹, Mohamed Elbahnasawy¹¹⁰, Sherief Abdel-elsalam¹¹¹, Daniel M. Felsenreich¹¹², Julia Jedamzik¹¹², Nikolaos V. Michalopoulos¹¹³, Theodoros A. Sidiropoulos¹¹³, Maria Papadoliopoulou¹¹³, Nicola Cillara¹¹⁴, Antonello Deserra¹¹⁴, Alessandro Cannavera¹¹⁴, Ionuț Negoii¹¹⁵, Dimitrios Schizas¹¹⁶, Athanasios Syllaios¹¹⁶, Ilias Vagios¹¹⁶, Stavros Gourgiotis¹¹⁷, Nick Dai¹¹⁷, Rekha Gurung¹¹⁷, Marcus Norrey¹¹⁷, Antonio Pesce¹¹⁸, Carlo Vittorio Feo¹¹⁸, Nicolo' Fabbri¹¹⁸, Nikolaos Machairas¹¹⁹, Panagiotis Dorovinis¹¹⁹, Myrto D. Keramida¹¹⁹, Francesk Mulita¹²⁰, Georgios Ioannis Verras¹²⁰, Michail Vailas¹²⁰, Omer Yalkin¹²¹, Nidal Iflazoglu¹²¹, Direnc Yigit¹²¹, Oussama Baraket¹²², Karim Ayed¹²², Mohamed hedi Ghalloussi¹²², Parmenion Patias¹²³, Georgios Ntokos¹²³, Razrim Rahim¹²⁴, Miklosh Bala¹²⁵, Asaf Kedar¹²⁵, Robert G. Sawyer¹²⁶, Anna Trinh¹²⁶, Kelsey Miller¹²⁶, Ruslan Sydorчук¹²⁷, Ruslan Knut¹²⁷, Oleksandr Plehutsa¹²⁷, Rumeysa Kevser Liman¹²⁸, Zeynep Ozkan¹²⁸, Saleh Abdel Kader¹²⁹, Sanjay Gupta¹³⁰, Monika Gureh¹³⁰, Sara Saeidi¹³¹, Mohsen Aliakbarian¹³¹, Amin Dalili¹³¹, Tomohisa Shoko¹³², Mitsuaki Kojima¹³², Raira Nakamoto¹³², Semra Demirli Atici¹³³, Gizem Kilinc Tuncer¹³³, Tayfun Kaya¹³³, Spiros G. Delis¹³⁴, Stefano Rossi¹³⁵, Biagio Picardi¹³⁵, Simone Rossi del Monte¹³⁵, Tania Triantafyllou¹³⁶, Dimitrios Theodorou¹³⁶, Tadeja Pintar¹³⁷, Jure Salobir¹³⁷, Dimitrios K. Manatakis¹³⁸, Nikolaos Tasis¹³⁸, Vasileios Acheimastos¹³⁸, Orestis Ioannidis¹³⁹, Lydia Loutzidou¹³⁹, Savvas Symeonidis¹³⁹, Tiago Correia de Sá¹⁴⁰, Mónica Rocha¹⁴⁰, Tommaso Guagni¹⁴¹, Desiré Pantalone¹⁴¹, Gherardo Maltinti¹⁴¹, Vladimir Khokha¹⁴², Wafaa Abdel-elsalam¹⁴³, Basma Ghoneim¹⁴³,

José Antonio López-Ruiz¹⁴⁴, Yasin Kara¹⁴⁵, Syaza Zainudin¹⁴⁶, Firdaus Hayati¹⁴⁶, Nornazirah Azizan¹⁴⁶, Victoria Tan Phooi Khei¹⁴⁷, Rebecca Choy Xin Yi¹⁴⁷, Harivinthan Sellappan¹⁴⁷, Zaza Demetrashvili¹⁴⁸, Nika Lekiasvili¹⁴⁸, Ana Tvaladze¹⁴⁸, Caterina Froiio¹⁴⁹, Daniele Bernardi¹⁴⁹, Luigi Bonavina¹⁴⁹, Angeles Gil-Olarte¹⁴⁴, Sebastiano Grassia¹⁵⁰, Estela Romero-Vargas¹⁴⁴, Francesco Bianco¹⁵⁰, Andrew A. Gumbs¹, Agron Dogjani¹⁵¹, Ferdinando Agresta¹⁵², Andrey Litvin¹⁵³, Zsolt J. Balogh¹⁵⁴, George Gendrikson¹⁵³, Costanza Martino¹⁵⁵, Dimitrios Damaskos¹⁵⁶, Nikolaos Pararas¹⁵⁷, Andrew Kirkpatrick¹⁵⁸, Mikhail Kurtenkov¹⁵³, Felipe Couto Gomes⁶, Adolfo Pisanu⁵, Oreste Nardello⁵, Fabrizio Gambarini²¹, Hager Aref¹, Nicola de' Angelis¹⁵⁹, Vanni Agnoletti¹⁶⁰, Antonio Biondi¹⁶², Marco Vacante¹⁶², Giulia Griggio¹⁶², Roberta Tutino¹⁶³, Marco Massani¹⁶³, Giovanni Bisetto¹⁶⁴, Savino Occhionorelli¹⁶⁵, Dario Andreotti¹⁶⁵, Domenico Lacavalla¹⁶⁵, Walter L. Biffi¹⁶¹ and Fausto Catena¹⁶⁰

Abstract

Background: The incidence of the highly morbid and potentially lethal gangrenous cholecystitis was reportedly increased during the COVID-19 pandemic. The aim of the ChoCO-W study was to compare the clinical findings and outcomes of acute cholecystitis in patients who had COVID-19 disease with those who did not.


Methods: Data were prospectively collected over 6 months (October 1, 2020, to April 30, 2021) with 1-month follow-up. In October 2020, Delta variant of SARS CoV-2 was isolated for the first time. Demographic and clinical data were analyzed and reported according to the STROBE guidelines. Baseline characteristics and clinical outcomes of patients who had COVID-19 were compared with those who did not.

Results: A total of 2893 patients, from 42 countries, 218 centers, involved, with a median age of 61.3 (SD: 17.39) years were prospectively enrolled in this study; 1481 (51%) patients were males. One hundred and eighty (6.9%) patients were COVID-19 positive, while 2412 (93.1%) were negative. Concomitant preexisting diseases including cardiovascular diseases ($p < 0.0001$), diabetes ($p < 0.0001$), and severe chronic obstructive airway disease ($p = 0.005$) were significantly more frequent in the COVID-19 group. Markers of sepsis severity including ARDS ($p < 0.0001$), PIPAS score ($p < 0.0001$), WSES sepsis score ($p < 0.0001$), qSOFA ($p < 0.0001$), and Tokyo classification of severity of acute cholecystitis ($p < 0.0001$) were significantly higher in the COVID-19 group. The COVID-19 group had significantly higher postoperative complications (32.2% compared with 11.7%, $p < 0.0001$), longer mean hospital stay (13.21 compared with 6.51 days, $p < 0.0001$), and mortality rate (13.4% compared with 1.7%, $p < 0.0001$). The incidence of gangrenous cholecystitis was doubled in the COVID-19 group (40.7% compared with 22.3%). The mean wall thickness of the gallbladder was significantly higher in the COVID-19 group [6.32 (SD: 2.44) mm compared with 5.4 (SD: 3.45) mm; $p < 0.0001$].

Conclusions: The incidence of gangrenous cholecystitis is higher in COVID patients compared with non-COVID patients admitted to the emergency department with acute cholecystitis. Gangrenous cholecystitis in COVID patients is associated with high-grade Clavien-Dindo postoperative complications, longer hospital stay and higher mortality rate. The open cholecystectomy rate is higher in COVID compared with non-COVID patients. It is recommended to delay the surgical treatment in COVID patients, when it is possible, to decrease morbidity and mortality rates. COVID-19 infection and gangrenous cholecystitis are not absolute contraindications to perform laparoscopic cholecystectomy, in a case by case evaluation, in expert hands.

Keywords: Acute cholecystitis, Cholecystectomy, Gangrene, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Laparoscopy, Surgery, Pandemic, Gangrenous cholecystitis

Graphical abstract



Introduction

Acute cholecystitis (AC) is a common cause of emergency hospital admission that should be managed according to international guidelines [1, 2]. It can be classified into 3 grades of severity (mild, moderate, and severe). These grades affect the length of hospital stay, conversion to open surgery, medical costs, and prognosis [1]. Gangrenous cholecystitis (GC) is a severe form of AC. It occurs in approximately 15% of the patients (range 2–30%) and is associated with an increased risk of postoperative morbidity and mortality [3, 4]. During the COVID-19 pandemic, we observed an increased number of AC patients who presented with gangrenous acute cholecystitis. An early case series showed that COVID-19 infection and pneumonia were associated with GC with increased morbidity and mortality, mainly in elderly and frail patients [5–9].

GC requires prompt surgical management to reduce hospital stay and improve the clinical outcome. Several retrospective studies focused on the management of AC patients in the first period of COVID-19 pandemic. They reported increased non-operative management (NOM) in those patients. This was associated with increased conservative management failure, morbidity, and length of hospital stay (LOS). This was attributed to the limited access to the operating theaters in attempt to reduce the in-hospital spreading of the virus. Age, COVID-19 infection, AC severity, and NOM failure contributed to the increased death rate [10]. The aim of the ChoCO-W global prospective study is to compare the clinical course, biological and radiological findings, and clinical outcome of AC in patients who have COVID-19 disease with those who do not have it.

Patients and methods

Ethical considerations

Ethical committee approval was obtained from the CPP Sud-Méditerranée 3, University Hospital of Nimes-France (2021.03.05 ter _ 21.01.16.09406). The ChoCO-W prospective study met and followed the standards outlined in the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki [11]. It did not change or modify the usual clinical practices of the participating acute care surgeons.

Study protocol

The ChoCO-W study was registered in the ClinicalTrials.gov (ID: NCT04542312). The details of the protocol were published [12]. This study was conceived and designed to run over 12 months (October 2020–October 2021). It is a global collaborative, prospective cohort study, including consecutive adult patients admitted to emergency departments with AC who were screened for SARS-CoV-2 using quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) swab test. The recruitment period was for 6 months (October 1, 2020, to April 30, 2021) with 1 month of postoperative follow-up. Two hundred and eighteen ChoCO collaborating centers joined the project and participated in the study. Each international center constituted a ChoCO team (1 local investigator and 2 collaborators) which was linked to an ID number for entering data anonymously in a secured web database. All local investigators were responsible of patients recruitment, data collection, and research ethical issues according to their local standards. All ChoCO collaborators who collected and entered the data were included in the ChoCO-collaborative authorship. The prospectively collected data were reported according to the STROBE guidelines [13].

Patients

A total of 2893, with a mean age of 61.3 years (SD 17.3), were prospectively included in the study. A total of 1481 (51%) patients were male. Three hundred and one patients did not have RT-PCR swab test for COVID-19 infection, or their results were non-conclusive, and they were excluded from the analysis. Out of the remaining 2592 patients with known PCR test result, 180 (6.9%) were proven to be COVID-19 positive and 2412 (93.1%) were COVID-19 negative. These two groups were compared. Concerning SARS-CoV-2 type, multiple variants emerged in the fall of 2020 and the most circulating in the recruitment period of the ChoCO-W study was the Delta variant (B.1.617.2), isolated firstly in India in October 2020. This variant showed higher virulence compared with wild-type SARS-CoV-2 [<https://www.who.int/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants#cms>].

Study variables

Demography, clinical, laboratory, radiological, surgical, microbiological, and histopathological data were prospectively collected. These included gender, age, details of clinical presentation, preoperative diagnosis, radiological workup, markers of inflammation, surgical procedures, critical care support, complications, need for surgery, histopathological findings, hospital stay, and clinical outcomes. Clinical severity of the disease was assessed with the qSOFA score [14], PIPAS severity score [15], WSES sepsis severity score [16], while the severity of AC was assessed with the Tokyo severity classification [1]. Postoperative complications were reported according to the Clavien-Dindo classification [17].

Statistical analysis

Data were downloaded from the web database to Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 365, USA). Data were imported to an SPSS program, sorted, cleaned, and recoded as numbers. Missing data were not imputed, and the analysis was performed on all available data.

Patients were divided into 2 groups according to COVID-19 infection: non-COVID group and COVID group.

Data are presented as number (%) for categorical data, median (range) for ordinal data, and mean (SD) for continuous data. Data were presented as both median (range) and mean (SD) when there was statistically significant difference in the ranks which did not show in the median (range) numbers. This was meant for clarification as some may not appreciate the significant difference between the two groups despite having the same median (range). The reported valid percentages were calculated from the available data and not as percentage of the study population.

Nonparametric methods were used for the analysis as they are more protective and demanding than parametric methods; moreover, nonparametric methods can be used for small numbers and do not need a normal distribution. Fisher's exact test was used to compare categorical data of independent groups, while Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the ordinal or continuous data of two independent groups. A *p* value of less than 0.05 was accepted as significant.

Results

There were 180 patients in the COVID group and 2412 patients in the non-COVID group. Demography of the patients is shown in Table 1. There was no statistical difference of age and gender between the two groups. The rate of concomitant preexisting diseases including cardiovascular diseases ($p < 0.0001$), diabetes ($p < 0.0001$),

Table 1 Epidemiological and clinical features of the ChoCO-w population study

Epidemiological and clinical features	Non-COVID 2412	COVID N = 180	p
Age	61.97 (17.3)	63.93(15.8)	0.21
Gender			012
Male	1268 (52.7%)	84 (46.7%)	
Female	1140 (47.3%)	96 (53.3%)	
Setting of acquisition			0.01
Community based	2027 (89.5%)	143(82.7%)	
Hospital based	239 (10.5%)	30 (17.3%)	
Immunodeficiency	101 (4.2%)	12 (6.7%)	0.13
Malignancy	167 (7%)	13 (7.3%)	0.88
Severe cardiovascular disease	490 (20.4%)	58 (32.2%)	$p < 0.0001$
Diabetes			$p < 0.0001$
No diabetes	1856 (77%)	126 (70%)	
Prediabetes	37 (1.5%)	11 (6.1%)	
History of diabetes	123 (5.1%)	16 (8.9%)	
Diabetes without complications	321 (13.3%)	19 10.6%)	
Diabetes with complication	74 (3.1%)	8 (4.4%)	
Severe CKD	91 (3.8%)	8 (4.5%)	0.55
Severe COPD	155 (6.4%)	22 (12.4%)	0.005
ARDS	24 (1%)	27 (15.2%)	$p < 0.0001$
PIPAS score	0 (0–7)	1 (0–6)	$p < 0.0001$
WSES score	1 (0–15)	2 (0–16)	$p < 0.0001$
qSOFA score	0 (0–5)	0 (0–8)	$p < 0.0001$
Tokyo classification of severity of AC	1.62 (0.66)	1.87 (0.75)	$p < 0.0001$
Patients having complications	282 (11.7%)	57 (32.2%)	$p < 0.0001$
Clavien-Dindo complication score	1 (1–4)	2 (1–4)	$p < 0.0001$
Hospital stay (days)	6.51 (5.6)	13.21 (12.6)	$p < 0.0001$
Mortality	40 (1.7%)	24 (13.4%)	$p < 0.0001$

AC acute cholecystitis, CKD chronic kidney disease, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome

and severe chronic obstructive airway disease ($p = 0.005$) was significantly higher in the COVID group. Markers of sepsis severity including ARDS ($p < 0.0001$), PIPAS score ($p < 0.0001$), WSES sepsis score ($p < 0.0001$), qSOFA ($p < 0.0001$), and Tokyo classification of severity of AC ($p < 0.0001$) were significantly higher in the COVID group (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

Patients who had COVID-19 had significantly higher complications (32.2% compared with 11.7%, $p < 0.0001$), longer mean hospital stay (13.21 compared with 6.51 days, $p < 0.0001$), and higher mortality (13.4% compared with 1.7%, $p < 0.0001$) compared with non-COVID patients.

Table 2 shows the clinical presentation of the two groups. COVID patients had significantly more generalized abdominal pain compared with non-COVID patients (20.1% compared with 12.4%, $p < 0.0001$). The COVID group had also significantly higher mean (SD) core body temperature [(37.32 (0.92)°C compared with 36.87 °C (0.81) °C, $p < 0.0001$), heart rate [(89.7 (14.8

bpm compared with 84.3 (16.6) bpm, $p < 0.0001$], lower systolic blood pressure [(124 (23.4) mmHg compared with 131.5 (23.4) mmHg, $p < 0.0001$], higher respiratory rate [(19.3 (3.73) breaths/min compared with 17.1 (3.25) breaths/min, $p < 0.0001$], lower SpO₂ [(94% (80–100) compared with 97% (97–100), $p < 0.0001$), and higher incidence of shock (11.2% compared with 3.5%). There was no statistical difference in the modality of preoperative diagnosis between the two groups.

Table 3 compares the laboratory tests results between the two groups. The mean white blood cell count and CRP were significantly higher in the COVID group [(8156 (8266)/mm³ compared with 7501 (18 690)/mm³ and 89.44 (98.3) mg/L compared with 80.15 (102.5); $p = 0.04$ and 0.002, respectively]. The most striking significant differences were in the total bilirubin and conjugated bilirubin which were almost doubled in the COVID group [9.07 (19.99) mg/dL compared with 5.38 (26.24) mg/dL and 5.38 (15.89) mg/dL compared with 2.31 (8.14), < 0.0001 in both]. Although there was statistical




Fig. 1 Box-and-whiskers plot of severity markers WSES score (A), PIPAS score (B), and qSOFA score (C), comparing the COVID and the non-COVID patients who were globally treated for acute cholecystitis in 42 countries from 234 centers over the period October 2020–April 2021. The box resembles the 25th percentile and the 75th percentile interquartile range (IQR), while the line within the box resembles the median. p value = Mann–Whitney U test

significance in the mean value of AST and ALT, the difference did not seem to impact on clinical features and outcomes. D-dimer was significantly higher, and arterial lactates were significantly lower in the COVID group [(858.5 (2382) nmol/L compared with 456.8 (1644); $p=0.02$)] and [(3.52 (12.73) mmol/L compared with

16.96 (79), $p=0.03$, respectively]. APTT time was significantly longer in the COVID patients [(31.52 (8.94) sec compared with 26.39 (11.54); $p<0.0001$)].

The difference in mean value of INR in COVID and non-COVID groups [1.24 (SD 4.1) versus 1.4 (SD 0.71)] was not statistically significant ($p=0.017$).

The management of patients admitted in ED with AC during the COVID-19 pandemic, without distinction of positivity to RT-PCR swab test for COVID infection, is shown in Table 4.

Table 5 compares the management between the COVID and non-COVID groups. There was highly significant difference in the surgical management between the two groups, $p<0.0001$. Laparoscopic total cholecystectomy was performed less frequently in the COVID group (58.1% compared with 76.6%; $p<0.0001$), while open total cholecystectomy was significantly higher in the COVID group (22.5% compared with 6.7%; $p<0.0001$). Open total cholecystectomy after conversion was significantly decreased in the COVID group (0.7% compared with 5.4%; $p<0.0001$). Reoperation was significantly higher in the COVID group (14.6% compared with 2.6%; $p=0.011$).

COVID patients needed significantly more mechanical ventilatory support (16.8% compared with 2.8%, $p<0.0001$) and parenteral nutrition support (22.2% compared with 6.1%, $p<0.0001$).

The COVID group had significantly higher postoperative complications compared with the non-COVID group (32% compared with 11%, respectively, $p<0.0001$), including SSI, pulmonary infections, bleeding, and biliary generalized peritonitis (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6). The Clavien-Dindo complication score was significantly higher in the COVID group [median (range) 2 (1–4) compared with 1 (1–4), $p<0.0001$, Fig. 2]. The incidence of diffuse biliary peritonitis, biliary fistula, and common bile duct injury was 2.7% (5/180), 1.1% (2/180), and 0.6% (1/180), respectively, in the COVID group.

Mortality rate was 13.4% (24/180) in the COVID group and 1.7% (40/2412) in non-COVID group ($p<0.0001$).

The detailed postoperative complications of the two groups are shown in Table 6.

Table 7 shows the histopathological results in non-COVID and COVID groups. A statistical difference was shown between the two groups ($p<0.0001$). The incidence of GC was doubled in the COVID group compared with the non-COVID group (40.7% compared with 22.3%). Gallbladder wall was significantly thicker in the COVID group [6.32 (2.44) mm compared with 5.4 (3.45) mm; $p<0.0001$] (Fig. 3).

Table 2 Clinical findings in COVID and non-COVID patients

Clinical findings	Non-COVID group n = 2412	COVID group n = 180	p
Duration of symptoms (days)	3.66 (7.52)	3.71 (6.85)	0.88
Abdominal findings			0.006
No pain	53 (2.2%)	2 (1.1%)	
Localized pain	1510 (62.8%)	93 (52%)	
Localized pain and rigidity	541 (22.5%)	48 (26.8%)	
Diffuse abdominal pain	299 (12.4%)	36 (20.1%)	
Peritonitis			0.002
Localized	1520 (95.1%)	127 (88.2%)	
Generalized	78 (4.9%)	17 (11.8%)	
Core temperature (°C)	36.87 (0.81)	37.32 (0.92)	p < 0.0001
Heart rate (bpm)	84.3 (16.6)	89.7 (14.8)	p < 0.0001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	131.5 (23.4)	124 (23.4)	p < 0.0001
Respiratory rate (breaths/min)	17.1 (3.25)	19.3 (3.73)	p < 0.0001
SpO ₂ (%)	97 (97–100)	94 (80–100)	p < 0.0001
Shock	85 (3.5%)	20 (11.2%)	p < 0.0001
Preoperative diagnosis			p = 0.18
Gallstone cholecystitis	2177 (90.8%)	161 (92%)	
Acalculous cholecystitis	93 (3.9%)	8 (4.6%)	
Biliary pancreatitis	19 (0.8%)	2 (1.1%)	
Gallbladder mucocele	18 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	
CBD stones	85 (3.5%)	3 (1.7%)	
Cholangitis	4 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	
Others	1 (0.04%)	1 (0.6%)	

The COVID-19 group has more generalized abdominal pain (20.1% compared with 12.4%)

CBD common bile duct

Table 3 Laboratory tests results in COVID and non-COVID patients

Laboratory tests results	Non-COVID group n = 2412	COVID group n = 180	p value
WBC (count/mm ³)	7 501 (18 690)	8156 (8266)	0.04
Platelets (mm ³)	119 882 (141 627)	118 550 (130 685)	0.38
C reactive protein (mg/L)	80.15 (102.5)	89.44 (98.35)	0.002
AST U/L value	90.9 (174)	87.7 (108.4)	< 0.0001
ALT U/L value	95.5 (150.3)	94.6 (128.1)	0.001
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	5.38 (26.24)	9.07 (19.99)	< 0.0001
Conjugated bilirubin (mg/dL)	2.31 (8.14)	5.83 (15.89)	< 0.0001
Indirect bilirubin (mg/dL)	2.43 (15.78)	3.66 (6.39)	0.001
GGT U/L value	141.92 (201.64)	131.5 (156.3)	0.21
Procalcitonin (µg/L)	4.05 (16.52)	4.32 (12.8)	0.29
Lactate (mmol/L)	16.96 (79)	3.52 (12.73)	0.03
Fibrinogen (g/L)	307.34 (569.49)	254.1 (322.2)	0.29
D-dimer (nmol/L)	456.8 (1644)	858.5 (2382)	0.02
Prothrombin time (s)	18.1 (20.54)	17.46 (16.29)	0.5
APTT (s)	26.39 (11.54)	31.52 (8.94)	< 0.0001
INR	1.4 (4.13)	1.24 (0.71)	0.017

WBC white blood count cells, AST aspartate aminotransferase, ALT alanine aminotransferase, GGT gamma-glutamyl transferase

Table 4 Management of patients admitted with acute cholecystitis during the COVID-19 pandemic, without distinction of RT-PCR swab test for COVID infection result

Management	Count	%
Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) ± sphincterotomy and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy	183	6
Open intervention in urgent setting + antibiotics	250	8
Conservative approach (antibiotics alone) and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy	335	11
Laparoscopic intervention in urgent setting + antibiotics	1474	51
Conservative approach (antibiotics alone)	414	14
Interventional radiology/cholecystostomy/percutaneous drainage of gallbladder	211	7
Conservative approach (antibiotics) + Cholecystectomy/ERCP + delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy	1	0
Conservative approach with antibiotic treatment-delayed intervention due to patient deterioration-percutaneous cholecystostomy	1	0
	2869	100

Table 5 In-hospital management of ChoCO patients: comparison between COVID and non-COVID patients

Management	Non-COVID group n = 2412	COVID group n = 180	p
Primary radiological diagnosis			0.19
Ultrasound	1604 (66.9%)	110 (61.8%)	
CT scan	795 (33.1%)	68 (38.2%)	
Delay in intervention (h)	45.9 (110.1)	63.44 (201.4)	0.89
Surgery			p < 0.0001
Laparoscopic total cholecystectomy	1401 (76.6%)	75 (58.1%)	
Laparoscopic total cholecystectomy and intraoperative cholangiography	135 (7.4%)	10 (7.8%)	
Laparoscopic partial cholecystectomy	21 (1.1%)	1 (0.8%)	
Open total cholecystectomy	123 (6.7%)	29 (22.5%)	
Open total cholecystectomy and intraoperative cholangiography	17 (0.9%)	2 (1.6%)	
Open partial cholecystectomy after conversion	18 (1%)	1 (0.8%)	
Open partial cholecystectomy	17 (0.9%)	2 (1.6%)	
Open total cholecystectomy after conversion	98 (5.4%)	9 (0.7%)	
Adequate source control	2206 (94.6%)	158 (93.5%)	0.48
Adequate empirical antibiotics	2317 (97.9%)	169 (95.5%)	0.48
Reoperation	55 (2.6%)	10 (14.6%)	0.011
Strategy for reoperation			0.11
Laparoscopy	16 (23.9)	2 (15.4)	
On demand laparotomy	16 (23.9)	3 (23.1)	
Planned laparotomy	7 (10.4)	5 (38.5)	
Radiological intervention	28 (41.8)	3 (23.1)	
Ventilation	67 (2.8%)	30 (16.8%)	p < 0.0001
Ventilation time (days)	5 (6.6)	4.55 (4.1)	0.67
Parenteral nutrition	145 (6.1%)	39 (22.2%)	p < 0.0001
Parenteral nutrition time (days)	4.01 (4.78)	6.95 (6.5)	p = 0.001

CT computer tomography

Discussion

To our knowledge, the ChoCO-W study is the largest global prospective study comparing COVID and non-COVID patients admitted with the diagnosis of


AC. Recently, the CHOLECOVID study was published [18]. The methodology and aim of this study are different from ours. The CHOLECOVID study retrospectively compared the management of AC during the COVID

Table 6 Postoperative complications in the COVID and non-COVID-19 patients

Postoperative complications	Non-COVID group n = 2412	COVID group n = 180
Localized biliary peritonitis	51 (2.1%)	9 (5%)
Pulmonary	44 (1.82%)	12 (6.6%)
Wound infection	39 (1.61%)	15 (8.3%)
Bleeding	32 (1.32%)	5 (2.7%)
Intra-abdominal abscess	26 (1.07%)	1 (0.6%)
Diffuse biliary peritonitis	25 (1.03%)	5 (2.7%)
Biliary fistula	19 (0.8%)	2 (1.1%)
Sepsis/septic shock	16 (0.07%)	4 (2.2%)
CBD stones	14 (0.6%)	1 (0.6%)
Gastrointestinal	9 (0.04%)	1 (0.6%)
Cardiac	8 (0.03%)	2 (1.1%)
CBD injury	7 (0.03%)	1 (0.6%)
Fever of unknown source	7 (0.03%)	2 (1.1%)
Bowel perforation	7 (0.03%)	0 (0%)
Localized collection	5 (0.02%)	0 (0%)
Pancreatitis	5 (0.02%)	1 (0.6%)
Renal	3 (0.01%)	1 (0.6%)
Delerium/neurological	3 (0.01%)	3 (1.7%)
Others	14 (0.6%)	1 (0.6%)

The patients may have more than one complication. The percentage of complications are calculated separately from the whole population

CBD common bile duct



pandemic with the pre-pandemic period. Instead we prospectively compared the characteristics and outcomes of patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 during the episode of AC with those who did not.

Furthermore, in the ChoCO-W study recruitment period, Delta SARS-CoV-2 variant (B.1.617.2) was the most circulating virus and it was associated with higher transmissibility compared with wild-type SARS-CoV-2 and decreased vaccine effectiveness with higher incidence of secondary attack than the Alpha variant (B.1.1.7) [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/992983/21_May_2021_Risk_assessment_for_SARS-CoV-2_variant_VOC-21APR-02_B.1.617.2_.pdf].

During this first part of COVID-19 pandemic, health facilities were collapsing and people was recommended to stay home to limit human contact and the spreading of the virus.

The access to emergency departments was limited to patients with respiratory failure and acute abdomen with sepsis and septic shock.

Operating theaters were converted in ICUs and health-care staff reallocated to manage patients with ventilatory support; consequently, access to OR was restricted to surgical patients non-eligible for NOM or after medical treatment failure in keeping the adequate personal protective equipment availability and decreasing the in-hospital circulation of the virus.

RT-PCR swab test result was mandatory to be admitted in OR.

The reported mortality of patients having GC is high mortality rate, and it increases in elderly and diabetic patients [19, 20].

Our study showed that COVID-19 patients with AC have an increased risk of presenting GC with higher postoperative complications and mortality rate.

This can be attributed to the associated comorbidity and frailty of COVID-19 patients, needing more frequently ventilatory mechanical support and parenteral nutrition and presenting with higher sepsis scores.

However, the environment may have contributed to enroll the most comorbid and severe patients in our study and probably to increase delay in surgical management (delay to ED admission + delay to OR admission) with negative outcomes and longer hospital stay.

Our data did not confirm an higher delay to surgical management; in fact, the mean (hours) delay from admission to surgical management was 63.44 (SD 201.4) and 45.9 (SD 110.1), respectively, for COVID and non-COVID groups (*p* = 0.89).

COVID patients had lower arterial lactate values compared to non-COVID patients [(3.52 (12.73) mmol/L compared with 16.96 (79), *P* = 0.03, respectively].

This is an unexpected result, since COVID patients had higher sepsis scores and signs of shock compared with non-COVID patients.

Table 7 Histopathologic findings in COVID and non-COVID patients

Histopathology	Non-COVID group	COVID group
Acute cholecystitis	899 (47.8%)	58 (43%)
Chronic cholecystitis	489 (26%)	18 (13.3%)
Cholecystitis with necrosis/gangrene	419 (22.3%)	55 (40.7%)
Acute on chronic cholecystitis	46 (2.4%)	1 (0.7%)
Perforated cholecystitis/abscess formation	11(0.6%)	2 (0.15%)
Malignancy	10 (0.5%)	1 (0.7%)
Hydrocele	2 (0.11%)	0 (0%)
Adenosis	2 (0.11%)	0 (0%)
Normal	1 (0.05%)	0 (0%)
Total	1879 (100%)	135 (100%)

Carpenè et al. [20] reviewed 19 studies about hyperlactatemia and severe COVID disease, with 6459 patients included. They reported that COVID-19 patients with worse outcome have usually higher lactate values than those with better outcome, but most COVID-19 patients did not show hyperlactatemia, even if critically ill.

The association between blood lactate values and clinical outcome remains unclear in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. COVID-19 pathogenesis is multifactorial, in some way independent from severe ischemia and hyperlactatemia; in fact, patients with COVID-19 pneumonia or ARDS are reported with lower blood lactate values compared to those with non-COVID-19 pneumonia or ARDS of different etiologies [21].

Moreover, hyperlactatemia in COVID patients could be induced by medications such as metformin, propofol, acetaminophen [22–24], and catecholamines.

Iepsen et al. [25] reviewed the literature to assess if pathophysiology of lactate metabolism in sepsis and COVID patients is different from non-COVID septic patients. Evidence supports that elevated blood


lactate value is strongly associated with mortality in septic patients. Lactatemia value seems unrelated to tissue hypoxia but likely reflects mitochondrial dysfunction and high adrenergic stimulation. Patients with severe COVID-19 exhibit near-normal blood lactate, indicating preserved mitochondrial function, despite a systemic hyperinflammatory state similar to sepsis.[25].

There is a need for further studies to assess this outcome. Nevertheless, serum lactate values monitoring in COVID patients may be useful for early identification of higher risk COVID-19 illness progression, but hyperlactatemia in severe COVID patients may not be present [22].

Our COVID-19 patients had higher total serum bilirubin, mostly conjugated, supporting the hypothesis that SARS-CoV-2 has a tropism for hepatic cells [26–28]. Several mechanisms were proposed to explain SARS-CoV-2 hepatic injury in critically ill patients including hypoxic hepatitis due to shock, high levels of positive end-expiratory pressure leading to hepatic congestion, and medications such as lopinavir/ritonavir. Most of our patients were not supported by mechanical ventilation. Despite that, they had abnormal liver functions most likely because of the hepatic ACE2 receptors which interact with SARS-CoV-2 causing direct cytopathic effects [26]. Patients with abnormal liver functions have at higher risk of progressing to severe COVID disease [28].

The COVID group showed a longer aPTT time and lower INR value compared with the non-COVID group in our study, and this would suggest intrinsic clotting factor deficiency.

This evidence supports published data about coagulability disorders of COVID-19 patients, characterized by significantly elevated D-dimer and fibrinogen (hypercoagulability), mild thrombocytopenia and a mildly prolonged PT/aPTT (hypo-coagulability), based mainly on immunothrombosis mechanism which is triggered by



hyperinflammatory response and diffuse endotheliopathy. This endothelial derangement most often manifests as an early hypercoagulable state with high risk of venous and arterial thromboembolic events and then results in a hemostatic derangement known as fibrinolytic shutdown [29, 30].

Elevated D-dimer levels in COVID patients are consistently reported, whereas their gradual increase during disease course is particularly associated with disease progression. PT and aPTT prolongation and fibrin degradation products' increase with severe thrombocytopenia are correlated with life-threatening disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) [31–33].

Tang et al. [34] reported early that high D-dimer and fibrin degradation product (FDP) levels are risk factors for DIC and death in severe COVID-19 patients. Their study showed a significantly higher D-dimer and FDP levels and longer PT and aPTT in non-survivors compared to survivors on admission ($p < 0.05$) [34].

Venous or arterial thrombotic complications are reported in one-third of ICU COVID-19 patients despite pharmacological thrombo-prophylaxis [29, 35].

COVID-19 disease is associated with hypo-fibrinolysis as shown by thromboelastogram assays, but due to the costs of this laboratory exam, we did not collect sufficient data for analysis. Elevated D-dimer suggests hyper-fibrinolysis. This increases the risk of thrombotic events and renal failure which increases mortality rate [29]. SARS-CoV-2 may lead to direct endothelial injury and increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as tumor necrosis factor- α , interleukin-1, and interleukin-6 leading to a cytokine storm). This has been associated with micro- and macrovascular thrombosis and organ failure [31]. The WSES was the first society to recommend early administration of prophylactic anticoagulation with LMWH in COVID-19 surgical patients to reduce the risk of thromboembolism [36]. The CORIST (Italian retrospective multicentric observational) study [37], which enrolled 2574 patients, showed that in-hospital heparin treatment was associated with a lower mortality, particularly in severely ill COVID-19 patients and in those with strong coagulation activation.

The International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis recommended measuring D-dimers, prothrombin time, and platelet count in all patients who present with COVID-19 infection in stratifying patients who may need admission and close monitoring or not [38].

The COVID-induced micro-angiopathy and hypercoagulability could be correlated with the high incidence of GC in COVID-19 patients, but the ChoCO-W study cannot confirm this. Nevertheless, our study showed that the incidence of GC was doubled in COVID patients group compared with non-COVID (40.7% compared

with 22.3%; $p > 0.0001$) and gallbladder wall was significantly thicker in COVID patients.

This was previously considered as a risk factor for "difficult gallbladder" surgery associated with higher conversion rate. In contrast, our data have shown that laparoscopic cholecystectomy, performed in 58% (75/180) of COVID-19 patients, is a safe and reproducible procedure in expert hands with a conversion rate of only 0.7% (compared with 5.4% in non-COVID group; $p < 0.0001$), that is, lower than the reported conversion rates for GC (ranging from 18 to 25%) [39, 40].

Open total cholecystectomy in our study was performed in 22.5% of the COVID-19 patients compared with 6.7% of the non-COVID patients. This is probably due to the hemodynamic instability and respiratory failure of COVID patients enrolled in our study: Nobody will perform a laparoscopic approach in hemodynamic unstable patients and in surgical patients presenting hypoxic respiratory failure.

Furthermore, several international surgical societies recommended against performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy because of the potential risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission correlated with surgical smoke and artificial pneumoperitoneum: This may have led surgeons to reduce the use of laparoscopy in COVID patients.

To our knowledge, there are no data confirming increased risk of contamination among healthcare providers during laparoscopy and laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the golden standard treatment for cholecystitis in all patients [2].

However, in our study (laparoscopic and open) cholecystectomy showed a slightly higher rate of biliary leakage in COVID patients (1.1%) compared with non-COVID patients (0.8%) although not statistically significant. These data are slightly higher than biliary leakage rates reported in the literature [41–43].

Subtotal cholecystectomy, which was reported to be useful in the management of difficult gallbladders [44], was performed laparoscopically in 1.1% of the non-COVID patients and 0.8% of the COVID patients in our study.

Open partial cholecystectomy after conversion was performed in 1% of the non-COVID patients and 0.8% of the COVID patients. A second surgical exploration was required for 5.5% of the COVID patients compared with 2.6% of the non-COVID patients. COVID-19 patients had statistically higher postoperative complications, higher mean hospital stay (13.21 days compared with 6.51 days), and higher mortality (13.4% compared to 5.4%), similar to other studies [45].

The COVID group had more SSI, pulmonary infections, postoperative bleeding, and diffuse biliary peritonitis, compared with the non-COVID group.

This evidence supports the recommendation to delay surgical management in COVID patients having AC, according to their comorbidities, frailty, severity of pneumonia, and surgical risk in order to decrease postoperative complications and mortality rate, when it is possible [36, 46].

Several early retrospective studies reported an increased use of NOM and percutaneous cholecystostomy (PC) in treating both COVID and non-COVID patients presenting with AC during the early phase of the pandemic because of concerns about the safety of laparoscopy, artificial pneumoperitoneum, and biological fluids in spreading the virus in the operating rooms, and because of limited access to the operating rooms. This approach was associated with increased hospital stay, NOM failure, and increased in-hospital COVID infection [10, 47, 48].

In our study, laparoscopic cholecystectomy was performed in 1474/2869 (51%); NOM including antibiotics alone was used in 14% (414/2869) of COVID and non-COVID patients. The overall open cholecystectomy rate was 8% (250/2869), and PC was performed for 7% of (COVID and non-COVID) patients (211/2869).

To our knowledge, this confirms that PC is not an alternative to laparoscopic cholecystectomy in stable, non-critically ill patients, when an early and safe laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be performed. PC can be considered as a bridge to surgery in unstable, high risk, and unfit patients for surgery [49].

Strengths and limitations of the study

We enrolled prospectively all the COVID and non-COVID patients admitted with acute cholecystitis in ED in a 6-month period from October 2020 to April 2021. In this first period of Delta variant (higher virulence compared with wild-type SARS-CoV-2) COVID pandemic, only comorbid patients with acute abdominal pain and signs of sepsis were addressed and admitted to ED, overcrowded by severe COVID patients requiring ventilatory support and admission in ICU, because of governments lockdown and limited resources (beds, personal protective equipment, ventilators, operating rooms, and health-care personnel).

Furthermore, several emergency surgeons opted for open cholecystectomy, when a safe laparoscopy was not possible in limit the spreading of the virus in OR.

We have to acknowledge that the COVID cohort is small and sicker and that the follow-up period of 1 month is short.

The long-term follow-up especially in those who had COVID-19 would be of interest in a future study.

However, this study has a wholistic approach looking for the global outcome without having a specific management

protocol despite the major variation between the different countries. This is useful for the generalizability of the study.

To our knowledge, the ChoCO-W study is the first global study about AC comparing COVID and non-COVID patients during the ongoing pandemic.

Conclusions

The incidence of gangrenous cholecystitis is higher in COVID patients, and it is associated with high-grade Clavien-Dindo postoperative complications, higher length of hospital stay and higher mortality.

When it is possible, it is recommended to delay the surgical treatment in COVID-19 patients to decrease morbidity and mortality rates. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the golden standard treatment for acute cholecystitis in all patients. In expert hands, laparoscopic cholecystectomy is a safe and reproducible surgical procedure for acute cholecystitis, without significant increase in biliary leakage rate in COVID and non-COVID patients.

The rate of open cholecystectomy is higher in COVID patients compared with non-COVID patients, without statistically significant difference. To our knowledge, the laparoscopic approach is not associated with an increased biological risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in operating room, in presence of adequate protective personal equipment, protocols and skilled staff to manage COVID patients. Gangrenous cholecystitis is not an absolute contraindication to the laparoscopic approach in COVID and non-COVID patients.

Abbreviations

AC: Acute cholecystitis; GC: Gangrenous cholecystitis; LC: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy; NOM: Non-operative management; PC: Percutaneous transhepatic cholecystostomy; WSES: World Society of Emergency Surgery; CKD: Chronic kidney disease; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome; CBD: Common bile duct; SSI: Surgical site infection; LOS: Length of hospital stay; ED: Emergency department; PT: Prothrombin time; APTT: Activated partial thromboplastin time.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the ChoCO teams contributors for their commitment.

Author contributions

BDS conceived and designed the study, obtained the Ethical Committee approval, collected the data, supervised the progress of the study, communicated with the collaborators, and downloaded the data. FAZ cleaned, coded, and made the statistical analysis of the data. BDS wrote the first version of the manuscript. FC, EC, and FAZ read and revised the manuscript. BDS revised the manuscript according to comments and suggestions. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Declarations

Ethics approval

Sud-Méditerranée Ethics Committee, Nîmes, France (2021.03.05 ter_21.01.16.09406).

Consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Authors have no competing interests for this study.

Author details

¹Department of Emergency, Digestive and Metabolic Minimally Invasive Surgery, Poissy and Saint Germain en Laye Hospitals, Poissy, France. ²The Research Office, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates, United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, UAE. ³Department of General Surgery, Santa Maria del Soccorso Hospital, San Benedetto del Tronto, Ascoli Piceno, Italy. ⁴Department of General Surgery, Macerata Hospital, Macerata, Italy. ⁵Department of Surgical Science, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy. ⁶Faculdade de Ciências Médicas e da Saúde de Juiz de Fora, Hospital Universitario Terezinha de Jesus (SUPREMA), Juiz de Fora, Brazil. ⁷Ernest E. Moore Shock Trauma Center at Denver Health, Denver, CO, USA. ⁸Department of Surgery, Royal Alexandra Hospital, Paisley and Golden Jubilee National Hospital, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland. ⁹Department of General Surgery, University Hospital of Pavia, Pavia, Italy. ¹⁰Department of General Surgery, The Rambam Academic Hospital, Haifa, Israel. ¹¹Department of General and Emergency Surgery, University Hospital of Pisa, Pisa, Italy. ¹²Alfredo- Espinosa Urduliz Hospital, Urduliz, Spain. ¹³General Surgery Unit ASL Toscana Centro, Santo Stefano Hospital, Prato, Italy. ¹⁴Universidad de Sucre, Clínica Santa María, Sincelejo, Colombia. ¹⁵Emergency Surgery Department, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK. ¹⁶Department of Surgery, School of Medical Sciences and Hospital USM, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia. ¹⁷UOC of General and Minimally Invasive Surgery, Hospital "San Paolo", Largo Donatori del Sangue 1, 00053 Civitavecchia, Rome, Italy. ¹⁸SOD Chirurgia dell'Apparato Digerente, AOU Careggi, Florence, Italy. ¹⁹Department of Surgery, University of Catania, Policlinico "G. Rodolico - San Marco" Hospital, Catania, Italy. ²⁰U.O.C. of General Surgery, "Carlo Urbani" Hospital, Jesi, AN, Italy. ²¹Hospital "Istituto Città di Pavia", Pavia, Italy. ²²Department of General Surgery, Hospital Sultan Ismail Petra, 18000 Kuala Krai, Kelantan, Malaysia. ²³Chirurgia Generale e Mininvasiva, San Polo Monfalcone, Monfalcone, GO, Italy. ²⁴Hospital Universitario Principe de Asturias, Alcalá de Henares, Spain. ²⁵RIMU, Medical University of Plovdiv, UMHAT Eurohospital, Plovdiv, Bulgaria. ²⁶Ospedale San Giovanni Decollato Andosilla - ASL, Civita Castellana, Viterbo, VT, Italy. ²⁷UOC Chirurgia Generale, Ospedale "Madonna del Soccorso", San Benedetto del Tronto, AP, Italy. ²⁸Lviv Regional Clinical Hospital, Lviv, Ukraine. ²⁹Lorenzo Bonomo Hospital, ASL BAT, Andria, Puglia, Italy. ³⁰General Surgery Unit, Science of Health Department, "Mater Domini" Hospital, University "Magna Graecia" Medical School, Viale Europa, 88100 Germaneto, Catanzaro, Italy. ³¹Emergency Surgery Unit, Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Seville, Spain. ³²Clinic for Surgery, University Clinical Center Tuzla, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina. ³³Santa Croce and Carle Hospital, Cuneo, Italy. ³⁴Chirurgia Generale d'Urgenza e PS - AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy. ³⁵General and Emergency Surgery, ASMN IRCCS REGGIO EMILIA, Reggio Emilia, Italy. ³⁶Surgery Unit 2, Regional Hospital Treviso, DISCOG, University of Padua, Treviso, Italy. ³⁷U.O. Chirurgia Generale e d'Urgenza Ospedale San Valentino, Montebelluna, Treviso, Italy. ³⁸Department of Surgery, G. Da Saliceto Hospital, AUSL Piacenza, Piacenza, Italy. ³⁹Styliani-Aikaterini Vederaki, Third Department of Surgery, "Tzaneio" General Hospital, Piraeus, Greece. ⁴⁰Mehmet Bayrak, Clinic for Surgery, Private Ortadogu Hospital, Adana, Turkey. ⁴¹Clinic for Radiology, Private Medline Hospital, Adana, Turkey. ⁴²Department of General Surgery, Kestel State Hospital, Bursa, Turkey. ⁴³Department of General Surgery, Bagcilar Training and Research Hospital, University of Health Science, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁴⁴General Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine, Koc University, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁴⁵1st Department of Surgery, Kavala General Hospital, Kavala, Greece. ⁴⁶UO Chirurgia 1, Dipartimento Chirurgico, Arcispedale Sant'Anna, Azienda

Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy. ⁴⁷Sakarya Training and Research Hospital, Sakarya, Turkey. ⁴⁸Department of General Surgery ASL 4, Lavagna Hospital, Genoa, Italy. ⁴⁹Samsun Training and Research Hospital, University of Samsun, Samsun, Turkey. ⁵⁰Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Sakarya University, Serdivan, Turkey. ⁵¹General and Emergency Surgery Unit, San Donato Hospital, Arezzo, Italy. ⁵²Department of Surgery, Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital, Goyang, South Korea. ⁵³Elias University Emergency Hospital, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania. ⁵⁴General and Oncologic Surgery, Morgagni-Pierantoni Hospital, AUSL Romagna, Via C. Forlanini 34, 47121 Forlì, Italy. ⁵⁵Emergency Surgery, ASST Valle Olona, Busto Arsizio, Italy. ⁵⁶Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences for Children and Adults, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia School of Medicine AOU Policlinico Di Modena, Modena, Italy. ⁵⁷UOC General Surgery, Hospital Civil of Sondrio, ASST Valtellina e Alto Lario, Sondrio, Italy. ⁵⁸Department of Surgery, San Carlo Borromeo Hospital, ASST Santi Paolo e Carlo, Milan, Italy. ⁵⁹AUSL Reggio Emilia, Ospedale Sant'Anna, Castelnovo ne Monti, Reggio Emilia, Italy. ⁶⁰Department of Abdominal Surgery, Vladimir City Emergency Hospital, Vladimir, Russia. ⁶¹Policlinico Universitario Umberto I, Rome, Italy. ⁶²Chirurgia generale e d'urgenza, Ospedale Infermi di Rimini, AUSL Romagna, Rimini, Italy. ⁶³Department of General Surgery, Emergencies and New Technologies, Baggiovara Civil Hospital, Modena, Italy. ⁶⁴UOC Chirurgia d'Urgenza, AOU San Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona, Salerno, Italy. ⁶⁵Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy. ⁶⁶General, Emergency and Transplant Surgery Department, ASST-Settelaghi and University of Insubria, Varese, Italy. ⁶⁷General Surgery Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Policlinico Bari - Italy, Bari, Italy. ⁶⁸Department of Surgery, Hospital de Clinicas, Universidad Nacional de Asunción, San Lorenzo, Paraguay. ⁶⁹Unit of HepatoBilioPancreatic and Digestive Surgery, Department of Health Sciences, San Paolo Hospital, University of Milan, Via Di Rudini 8, 20142 Milan, Italy. ⁷⁰Department of General Surgery, Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences Luigi Sacco, Luigi Sacco University Hospital, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy. ⁷¹HPB Unit, Department of Surgery, Hospital Canselor Tuanku Muhriz, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ⁷²College of Medicine, Tawam Hospital, UAE University, Al-Ain, UAE. ⁷³Department of Surgery, University Hospital Centre, Zagreb, Croatia. ⁷⁴Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust, London, UK. ⁷⁵2nd Department of General Surgery, ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy. ⁷⁶Department of General, Gastrointestinal, Oncologic Surgery and Transplantology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland. ⁷⁷Division of Trauma Surgery, School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas (Unicamp), Campinas, Brazil. ⁷⁸Division of General Surgery, Western Paraná University Hospital (Huop-Unioeste), Cascavel, Brazil. ⁷⁹School of Medicine, Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey. ⁸⁰King Edward Medical University, Lahore, Pakistan. ⁸¹Department of General Surgery, University General Hospital of Heraklion, 71110 Heraklion, Crete, Greece. ⁸²Department of General Surgery, Adana City Training and Research Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Adana, Turkey. ⁸³Kuwaiti Specialized Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan. ⁸⁴Surgical Department, Koutlimbaneio and Triantafylleio General Hospital of Larissa, Larisa, Greece. ⁸⁵U.O. Chirurgia Generale Ospedale "Ceccarini" Riccione, Riccione, Italy. ⁸⁶Hepatobiliary Surgery, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Florence, AOU Careggi, Florence, Italy. ⁸⁷Department of Surgical, Oncological and Oral Sciences (DICHIRONS), Policlinico P. Giaccone, University of Palermo, Via Liborio Giuffrè 5, 90127 Palermo, Italy. ⁸⁸University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy. ⁸⁹Department of Surgery, Buccheri La Ferla Hospital, Via Messina Marine, 197, 90123 Palermo, Italy. ⁹⁰Department of General Surgery, Vittorio Emanuele III Hospital, Carate Brianza - ASST Brianza, Carate Brianza, Italy. ⁹¹Chirurgia Generale, Ospedale San Giovanni Di Dio, Florence, Italy. ⁹²Section of Emergency Surgery, Department of Surgery, S.Maria delle Croci Hospital Ravenna, Ravenna, Italy. ⁹³Residency Program in General Surgery, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ⁹⁴Department of Surgery, Maulana Azad Medical College and Nayak Hospital, New Delhi 110002, India. ⁹⁵Bukovinian State Medical University, Chernivtsi, Ukraine. ⁹⁶Ospedale Santa Corona, ASL 2, Savona, Italy. ⁹⁷Andrea Costanzi, Carlo Riva, O.U. of General Surgery, San Leopoldo Mandic Hospital, Merate, ASST, Lecco, Italy. ⁹⁸Department of General, Oncological, Metabolic and Thoracic Surgery, Military Institute of Medicine, Warsaw, Poland. ⁹⁹Department of General and Emergency Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland. ¹⁰⁰U.O. General Surgery, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria "Policlinico - San Marco", Catania, Italy. ¹⁰¹3Rd Surgical Department, Evangelismos General

Hospital, Athens, Greece. ¹⁰²Department of Emergency Surgery, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice (CHU de Nice), Université Côte d'Azur, Nice, France. ¹⁰³General Surgery, Azienda Policlinico San Marco, Catania, Italy. ¹⁰⁴General and Oncological Surgery Department, San Marco Hospital GSD, Zingonia, BG, Italy. ¹⁰⁵San Giovanni Calibita Hospital- Fondazione Fatebenefratelli, Rome, Italy. ¹⁰⁶Department of Surgery, Emergency County Hospital of Craiova, Craiova, Romania. ¹⁰⁷Science of Health Department, Digestive Surgery Unit, "Mater Domini" Hospital, University "Magna Graecia" Medical School, Viale Europa, 88100 Germaneto, Catanzaro, Italy. ¹⁰⁸Department of General Surgery, University Hospital Eurohospital, Plovdiv, Bulgaria. ¹⁰⁹3rd Department of Surgery, Attikon University Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ¹¹⁰Emergency Medicine and Traumatology Department, Tanta University Faculty of Medicine, Tanta, Egypt. ¹¹¹Tropical Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt. ¹¹²Division of Visceral Surgery, Department of General Surgery, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. ¹¹³4th Department of Surgery Attikon University Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ¹¹⁴Surgery Department, Santissima Trinità Hospital, Cagliari, Italy. ¹¹⁵General Surgery Department, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Emergency Hospital of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania. ¹¹⁶First Department of Surgery, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Laiko General Hospital, 11527 Athens, Greece. ¹¹⁷Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge University, Cambridge, UK. ¹¹⁸Department of Surgery, Delta Hospital, Azienda USL of Ferrara, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy. ¹¹⁹2nd Department of Propaedeutic Surgery, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, General Hospital Laiko, Athens, Greece. ¹²⁰Department of Surgery, General University Hospital of Patras, Patras, Greece. ¹²¹Department of Surgical Oncology and Gastroenterological Surgery, Bursa City Hospital, Bursa, Turkey. ¹²²Department of General Surgery, Habib Bougatfa Hospital, University Tunis El Manar, Bizerte, Tunisia. ¹²³2nd Department of Surgery, General Hospital of Athens "G.Gennimatas", Athens, Greece. ¹²⁴Department of Surgery, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Nilai, Malaysia. ¹²⁵Department of General Surgery and Trauma, Hadassah Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel. ¹²⁶Western Michigan University School of Medicine, Kalamazoo, USA. ¹²⁷Regional Emergency Hospital, Chernivtsi, Ukraine. ¹²⁸General Surgery Clinic, Elazig Fethi Sekin City Hospital, Elazig, Turkey. ¹²⁹Egypt and NMC Specialty Hospital Al Ain, Ain Shams University, Al-Ain, UAE. ¹³⁰Government Medical College and Hospital, Chandigarh, India. ¹³¹Surgical Oncology Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ¹³²Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Acute Care Surgery Center, Adachi Medical Center, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan. ¹³³Department of General Surgery, University of Health Sciences Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, Izmir, Turkey. ¹³⁴HPB Unit Konstantopouleio Hospital St Olga, Athens, Greece. ¹³⁵Department of General and Emergency Surgery, San Filippo Neri Hospital, ASL Roma 1, Rome, Italy. ¹³⁶Department of Surgery, Hippocraton General Hospital of Athens, University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ¹³⁷Department of Abdominal Surgery, University Medical Center Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia. ¹³⁸Vasileios Acheimastos, Athens Naval and Veterans Hospital, Athens, Greece. ¹³⁹4th Department of Surgery, Medical School Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, General Hospital "George Papanikolaou", Thessaloniki, Greece. ¹⁴⁰General Surgery Department, Centro Hospitalar Do Tâmega e Sousa Penafiel, Penafiel, Portugal. ¹⁴¹Department of general surgery, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy. ¹⁴²Emergency Surgery Department, City Hospital, Mozyr, Belarus. ¹⁴³Anesthesia and Surgical Intensive Care Department, Faculty of Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt. ¹⁴⁴Angeles Gil-Olarte, Estela Romero-Vargas, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain. ¹⁴⁵General Surgery Clinic Health Sciences University Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. ¹⁴⁶Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Queen Elisabeth Hospital, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia. ¹⁴⁷Department of Surgery, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia. ¹⁴⁸N.Kipshidze Central University Hospital, Tbilisi, Georgia. ¹⁴⁹IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, University of Milan, Milan, Italy. ¹⁵⁰General Surgery Unit, S. Leonardo Hospital, Castellammare Di Stabia, Naples, Italy. ¹⁵¹Department of General Surgery, University Hospital of Tirana, Tirana, Albania. ¹⁵²Department of General Surgery, AULSS2 Trevigiana del Veneto, Ospedale di Vittorio Veneto, Vittorio Veneto, TV, Italy. ¹⁵³Department of Surgical Disciplines, Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Regional Clinical Hospital, Kaliningrad, Russia. ¹⁵⁴Department of Traumatology, John Hunter Hospital and University

of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia. ¹⁵⁵Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Umberto I Hospital, AUSL Romagna, Lugo, Italy. ¹⁵⁶Department of General and Emergency Surgery, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ¹⁵⁷Department of General Surgery, Dr. Sulaiman Al Habib Hospital, Alfaisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ¹⁵⁸General, Acute Care, Abdominal Wall Reconstruction, and Trauma Surgery, Foothills Medical Centre, Calgary, AB, Canada. ¹⁵⁹Unit of Digestive and HPB Surgery, CARE Department, Henri Mondor Hospital and University Paris-Est, Creteil, France. ¹⁶⁰Department of General and Trauma Surgery, Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy. ¹⁶¹Department of Emergency and Trauma Surgery, Scripps Clinic Medical Group, La Jolla, CA, USA. ¹⁶²Department of General Surgery and Medical-Surgical Specialties, University of Catania, Catania, Italy. ¹⁶³Chirurgia I; Dipartimento di Discipline Chirurgiche, Oncologiche e Stomatologiche (DI.CHIR.ON.S), Ospedale "Ca Foncello"; Università degli studi di Palermo, Treviso; Palermo, Italy. ¹⁶⁴Dipartimento di Scienze Chirurgiche, Oncologiche e Gastroenterologica (DI.SC.O.G.), Chirurgia I-Ospedale "Ca Foncello"- Treviso, Università degli Studi di Padova, Padua, Italy. ¹⁶⁵Department of General Surgery, Arcispedale Sant'Anna-University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy.

Received: 11 September 2022 Accepted: 15 October 2022
Published online: 16 December 2022

References

- Yokoe M, Hata J, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Asbun HJ, Wakabayashi G, et al. Tokyo Guidelines 2018: diagnostic criteria and severity grading of acute cholecystitis (with videos). *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci.* 2018;25:41–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jhbp.515>.
- Pisano M, Allievi N, Gurusamy K, Borzellino G, Cimbanassi S, Boerna D, Coccolini F, Tufo A, Di Martino M, Leung J, Sartelli M, Ceresoli M, Maier RV, Poiasina E, De Angelis N, Magnone S, Fugazzola P, Paolillo C, Coimbra R, Di Saverio S, De Simone B, Weber DG, Sakakushev BE, Lucianetti A, Kirkpatrick AW, Fraga GP, Wani I, Biffi WL, Chiara O, Abu-Zidan F, Moore EE, Leppäniemi A, Kluger Y, Catena F, Ansaloni L. 2020 World Society of Emergency Surgery updated guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute calculus cholecystitis. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2020;15(1):61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-020-00336-x>.
- Merriam LT, Kanan SA, Dawes LG, Angelos P, Prystowsky PJB, Renge RV, et al. Gangrenous cholecystitis: analysis of risk factors and experience with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surgery.* 1999;126(4):680–5.
- Weiss CA III, Lakshman TV, Schwartz RW. Current diagnosis and treatment of cholecystitis. *Curr Surg.* 2002;59(1):51–4.
- Rahimli M, Wex C, Wiesmueller F, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during the COVID-19 pandemic in a tertiary care hospital in Germany: higher rates of acute and gangrenous cholecystitis in elderly patients. *BMC Surg.* 2022;22:168. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-022-01621-z>.
- Asti E, Lovece A, Bonavina L. Gangrenous cholecystitis during hospitalization for SARS-CoV2 infection. *Updates Surg.* 2020;72(3):917–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13304-020-00814-6>.
- Bruni A, Garofalo E, Zuccalà V, Currò G, Torti C, Navarra G, De Sarro G, Navalesi P, Longhini F, Ammendola M. Histopathological findings in a COVID-19 patient affected by ischemic gangrenous cholecystitis. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2020;15(1):43. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-020-00320-5>.
- Bozada-Gutiérrez K, Trejo-Avila M, Chávez-Hernández F, Parraguirre-Martínez S, Valenzuela-Salazar C, Herrera-Esquivel J, Moreno-Portillo M. Surgical treatment of acute cholecystitis in patients with confirmed COVID-19: ten case reports and review of literature. *World J Clin Cases.* 2022;10(4):1296–310. <https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i4.1296>.
- D'Introno A, Gatti P, Manca G, D'Amuri A, Minniti S, Ciraci E. Acute acalculous cholecystitis as an early manifestation of COVID-19: case report and literature review. *Acta Biomed.* 2022;93(S1):e2022207. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v93iS1.12760>.
- Martínez Caballero J, González González L, Rodríguez Cuéllar E, Ferrero Herrero E, Pérez Algar C, et al. Multicentre cohort study of acute cholecystitis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2021;47(3):683–92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-021-01631-1>.
- World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA.* 2013;310(20):2191–4. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.281053>.

12. de Simone B, Catena F, Di Saverio S, Sartelli M, Abu-Zidan FM, Podda M, et al. Risk factors for necrotic cholecystitis during the COVID-19 pandemic: the ChoCO-WSES prospective collaborative study's experience. *Turk J Surg*. 2021;37(4):387–93.
13. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, STROBE Initiative. The strengthening of reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet*. 2007;370(9596):1453–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)61602-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61602-X).
14. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):801–10. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0287>.
15. Sartelli M, Abu-Zidan FM, Labricciosa FM, et al. Physiological parameters for prognosis in abdominal sepsis (PIPAS) study: a WSES observational study. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2019;14:34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-019-0253-2>.
16. Sartelli M, Abu-Zidan FM, Catena F, et al. Global validation of the WSES sepsis severity score for patients with complicated intra-abdominal infections: a prospective multicentre study (WISS Study). *World J Emerg Surg*. 2015;10:61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-015-0055-0>.
17. Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, Vauthey JN, Dindo D, Schulick RD, et al. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. *Ann Surg*. 2009;250(2):187–96. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b13ca2>.
18. Siriwardena AK, CHOLECOVID Collaborative. Global overview of the management of acute cholecystitis during the COVID-19 pandemic (CHOLECOVID study). *BJS Open*. 2022;6(3):zrac052. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsopen/zrac052>. Erratum in: *BJS Open*. 2022;6(3).
19. Önder A, Kapan M, Ülger BV, Oğuz A, Türkoğlu A, Uslukaya Ö. Gangrenous cholecystitis: mortality and risk factors. *Int Surg*. 2015;100(2):254–60. <https://doi.org/10.9738/INTSURG-D-13-00222.1>.
20. Ganapathi AM, Speicher PJ, Englum BR, Perez A, Tyler DS, Zani S. Gangrenous cholecystitis: a contemporary review. *J Surg Res*. 2015;197:18–24.
21. Carpenè G, Onorato D, Nocini R, Fortunato G, Rizk JG, Henry BM, Lippi G. Blood lactate concentration in COVID-19: a systematic literature review. *Clin Chem Lab Med (CCLM)*. 2022;60(3):332–7. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2021-1115>.
22. Lucchetta V, Bonvicini D, Ballin A, Tiberio I. Propofol infusion syndrome in severe COVID-19. *Br J Anaesth*. 2020;125:e441–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.08.020>.
23. Kow CS, Hasan SS. Metformin use amid coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92:2401–2. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26090>.
24. Rizk JG, Forthal DN, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Mehra MR, Lavie CJ, Rizk Y, et al. Expanded access programs, compassionate drug use, and emergency use authorizations during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Drug Discov Today*. 2021;26:593–603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2020.11.025>.
25. Iepsen UW, Plovsing RR, Tjelle K, Foss NB, Meyhoff CS, Rysør CK, Berg RMG, Secher NH. The role of lactate in sepsis and COVID-19: perspective from contracting skeletal muscle metabolism. *Exp Physiol*. 2022;107(7):665–73. <https://doi.org/10.1113/EP089474>.
26. Metawea MI, Yousif WI, Moheb I. COVID 19 and liver: an A-Z literature review. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2021;53(2):146–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2020.09.010>.
27. Nardo AD, Schneeweiss-Gleixner M, Bakail M, Dixon ED, Lax SF, Trauner M. Pathophysiological mechanisms of liver injury in COVID-19. *Liver Int*. 2021;41(1):20–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14730>.
28. Cai Q, Huang D, Yu H, Zhu Z, Xia Z, Su Y, et al. COVID-19: abnormal liver function tests. *J Hepatol*. 2020;73(3):566–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.04.006>.
29. Wright FL, Vogler TO, Moore EE, et al. Fibrinolysis shutdown correlates to thromboembolic events in severe COVID-19 infection. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2020;231(2):193–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2020.05.007>.
30. Bunch CM, Moore EE, Moore HB, Neal MD, Thomas AV, Zackariya N, Zhao J, Zackariya S, Brenner TJ, Berquist M, Buckner H, Wiarda G, Fulkerson D, Huff W, Kwaan HC, Lankowicz G, Laubscher GJ, Lourens PJ, Pretorius E, Kotze MJ, Moola MS, Sithole S, Maoponga TG, Kell DB, Fox MD, Gillespie L, Khan RZ, Mamczak CN, March R, Macias R, Bull BS, Walsh MM. Immuno-thrombotic complications of COVID-19: implications for timing of surgery and anticoagulation. *Front Surg*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.889999>.
31. Kichloo A, Dettloff K, Aljadah M, Albosta M, Jamal S, Singh J, et al. COVID-19 and hypercoagulability: a review. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1076029620962853>.
32. Terpos E, Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, Elalamy I, Kastritis E, Sergentanis TN, Politou M, Psaltopoulou T, Gerotziatas G, Dimopoulos MA. Hematological findings and complications of COVID-19. *Am J Hematol*. 2020;95(7):834–47. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25829>.
33. Lim MS, Mcrae S. COVID-19 and immunothrombosis: pathophysiology and therapeutic implications. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2021;168:103529. ISSN 1040–8428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2021.103529>.
34. Tang N, et al. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(4):844–7.
35. Klok FA, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, Arbous MS, Gommers DAMPJ, Kant KM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res*. 2020;191:145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.013>.
36. De Simone B, Chouillard E, Sartelli M, Biffi WL, Di Saverio S, Moore EE, et al. The management of surgical patients in the emergency setting during COVID-19 pandemic: the WSES position paper. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2021;16(1):14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-021-00349-0>.
37. Di Castelnuovo A, Costanzo S, Antinori A, Berselli N, Blandi L, Bonaccio M, et al. Heparin in COVID-19 patients is associated with reduced in-hospital mortality: the multicenter Italian CORIST study. *Thromb Haemost*. 2021;121(8):1054–65. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1347-6070>.
38. Thachil J, et al. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(5):1023–6.
39. Jensen KK, Roth NO, Krarup PM, Bardram L. Surgical management of acute cholecystitis in a nationwide Danish cohort. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. 2019;404(5):589–97. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00423-019-01802-0>.
40. Livingston EH, Rege RV. A nationwide study of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg*. 2004;188(3):205–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2004.06.013>.
41. Shea JA, Healey MJ, Berlin JA, Clarke JR, Malet PF, Staroscik RN, Schwartz JS, Williams SV. Mortality and complications associated with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A meta-analysis. *Ann Surg*. 1996;224(5):609–20. <https://doi.org/10.1097/0000658-199611000-00005>.
42. Pucher PH, Brunt LM, Davies N, et al. Outcome trends and safety measures after 30 years of laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a systematic review and pooled data analysis. *Surg Endosc*. 2018;32:2175–83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-017-5974-2>.
43. Mangieri CW, Hendren BP, Strode MA, Bandera BC, Faler BJ. Bile duct injuries (BDI) in the advanced laparoscopic cholecystectomy era. *Surg Endosc*. 2019;33(3):724–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-018-6333-7>.
44. Elshaer M, Gravante G, Thomas K, Sorge R, Al-Hamali S, Ebdewi H. Subtotal cholecystectomy for "difficult gallbladders": systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Surg*. 2015;150(2):159–68. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2014.1219>.
45. COVIDSurg Collaborative. Mortality and pulmonary complications in patients undergoing surgery with perioperative SARS-CoV-2 infection: an international cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;396(10243):27–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31182-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31182-X). Erratum in: *Lancet*. 2020.
46. COVIDSurg Collaborative; GlobalSurg Collaborative. Timing of surgery following SARS-CoV-2 infection: an international prospective cohort study. *Anaesthesia*. 2021;76(6):748–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15458>.
47. Somuncu E, Kara Y, Kizilkaya MC, Bozdağ E, Yıldız ZB, Özkan C, et al. Percutaneous cholecystostomy instead of laparoscopy to treat acute cholecystitis during the COVID-19 pandemic period: single center experience. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg*. 2021;27(1):89–94. <https://doi.org/10.14744/tjtes.2020.69804>.
48. Barabino M, Piccolo G, Trizzino A, Fedele V, Ferrari C, Nicastro V, et al. COVID-19 outbreak and acute cholecystitis in a Hub Hospital in Milan: wider indications for percutaneous cholecystostomy. *BMC Surg*. 2021;21(1):180. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-021-01137-y>.
49. De Simone B, Chouillard E, Di Saverio S, Pagani L, Sartelli M, Biffi WL, et al. Emergency surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic: what you need to know for practice. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2020;102(5):323–32. <https://doi.org/10.1308/rcsann.2020.0097>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.