Analysis of saliva pepsin level in patients with tracheoesophageal fistula and voice prosthesis complications

Đanić Hadžibegović, Ana; Đanić, Davorin; Prgomet, Drago; Tićac, Robert; Kozmar, Ana

Source / Izvornik: Collegium Antropologicum, 2012, 36, 93 - 97

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:895924

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2024-10-06



Repository / Repozitorij:

Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine Digital Repository





Analysis of Saliva Pepsin Level in Patients with Tracheoesophageal Fistula and Voice Prosthesis Complications

Ana Đanić Hadžibegović¹, Davorin Đanić¹, Drago Prgomet², Robert Tićac³ and Ana Kozmar⁴

- ¹ »Josip Juraj Strossmayer« University, Osijek School of Medicine, »Dr. Josip Benčević« General Hospital, Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Slavonski Brod, Croatia
- ² University of Zagreb, Zagreb University Hospital Center, University Department of ENT and Head and Neck Surgery, Zagreb, Croatia
- ³ University of Rijeka, Rijeka University Hospital Center, University Department of ENT and Head and Neck Surgery, Rijeka, Croatia
- ⁴ University of Zagreb, Zagreb University Hospital Center, Department of Immunology, Clinical Institute of laboratory diagnostics, Zagreb, Croatia

ABSTRACT

The aim of this crossectional study was to investigate the relationship between pepsin concentration in saliva and the occurrence of tracheoesophageal fistula (TEF) complications and voice prosthesis (VP) complications, after total laryngectomy and VP implantation. We assessed the concentrations of pepsin in the saliva of 41 laryngectomized patients and correlated it with the incidence of TEF complications (periprostethic leakage, atrophy, esophageal mucosa hypertrophy, granulations, fistula enlargement, and VP dislocation), VP complications (transprosthetic leakage, Candida infection) and voice quality. Pepsin levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunoadsorbent assay (ELISA). Voice quality was assessed by Harrison-Robilard – Schultz (HRS) scale. In all, 17 (42%) patients had complications. All of them had TEF complications, whereas VP complication, together with TEF was found in 9 (22%) patients. We found no significant correlation between adjuvant radiotherapy and TEF complications. Most of patients, 30 (73%), had positive pepsin level in saliva. Median value of pepsin concentration in all patients was 4.8 (range 81.7). Median pepsin concentration was higher in patients free of TEF or VP complications (6.6, range 81.7 vs. 3.2, range 19.3) but that difference was not statistically significant (Mann-Whitney test, Z - 1.562, p = 0.118). In addition, statistically insignificant negative correlation between pepsin levels and voice quality measured by HRS scale (Spearman's rho, p>0.05). Although reflux was proposed as cause of TEF complications and pepsin has been proven as a most sensitive and specific marker of ekstraesophageal reflux, we did not find any statistically significant correlation between pepsin levels and occurrence of TEF or VP complications.

Key words: tracheoesophageal fistula, reflux, pepsin, laryngectomy

Introduction

Voice prosthesis (VP) insertion into tracheoesophageal fistula (TEF) is a golden standard in voice rehabilitation in patients who have undergone total laryngectomy. In the last 40 years easily replaceable prosthesis with different valve design have been developed to facilitate satisfactory voice production and prevent aspiration of saliva. Still, several complications regarding VP and TEF have been described in current literature^{1,2}. Complications related to TEF are periprostethic leakage, atrophy, esophageal mucosa hypertrophy, granulations, fistula enlargement, and VP dislocation. VP complications are transprosthetic leakage and Candida infection. Preservation of TEF and VP can be very demanding not only to maintain patient's communication ability and quality of life but to prevent very serious complications due to an aspiration. It was purposed that these complications can be associated with reflux of gastric contents to upper esophagus and TEF^{3,4}. Lorenz and al have found higher

Received for publication October 15, 2011

occurrence of TEF enlargment and reduction in life span of VP in patients with more severe reflux disease confirmed by 24-hour dual-probe pH monitoring³. Some studies demonstrated that in majority of patients, the symptoms associated with TEF complications can be improved or cured by rigorous anti-reflux treatment with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs)^{5,6}. Bock and al confirmed reflux with pepsin deposition into the TEF in majority of laryngectomy patients⁵. Pepsin is a reliable biological marker of extraesophageal reflux (EER)^{7,8}. Detection of reflux with pepsin assay is noninvasive method and it is easily obtainable from fluid secretions like saliva or sputum⁷. The aim of this crossectional study was to investigate the relationship between pepsin concentration in saliva and the occurrence of tracheoesophageal fistula (TEF) complications and voice prosthesis (VP) complications in patients who have undergone total laryngectomy.

Patients and Methods

Forty one adult patients at the ENT Department, »Dr. J. Benčević« General Hospital, Slavonski Brod and ENT University Departments, Zagreb and Rijeka University Hospital Centres who underwent total laryngectomy and insertion of the voice prosthesis were recruited to participate at this study. Median age of all patients was 60 years (50–76), and proportion of males was 93%. Before participation, each study subject signed an informed, written conset that was approved by ethics committee of »Dr. J. Benčević« General Hospital, ethics committee of Rije-

ka University Hospital Center. All patients used voice prosthesis either from Atos Medical Inc (Horby, Sweden) or InHealth technologies (Carpinteria, USA). Patients who were on IPP therapy were excluded from the study. Subjects were given sterile tubes and 3-5 ml of saliva was collected in the morning. Pepsin levels in saliva were assayed by Human Pepsin (PG) ELISA kit (Causabio Biotech Co., Ltd, P.R.C.) according to manufacturers protocol. Undiluted saliva samples were assayed and pepsin results were expressed in ng/ml. Positive test for pepsin was considered to be higher than 1.2 ng/ml. Concentrations of pepsin in the saliva were compared according to incidence of TEF complications (periprostethic leakage, atrophy, esophageal mucosa hypertrophy, granulations, fistula enlargement, and VP dislocation), VP complications (transprosthetic leakage, Candida infection) and voice quality. Voice quality was assessed by Harrison--Robilard – Schultz (HRS) scale (Table 1).

Statistical analysis with chi square, non-parametric Mann-Whitney test (for data with abnormal distribution), Student T-test, and nonparametric correlation Spearmann's rho test was performed using statistical software SPSS for Windows, version 11.0.3, Chicago, IL, USA. Statistical significance was set to p<0.050.

Results

Among 41 investigated patients, there were 17 patients (42%) with complications. All patients with complications had at least one of the TEF complications, whereas VP complication was present together with TEF

Scale	Answer	Points	
A. Use	Never uses tracheoesophageal speech (0%)	1	
Degree of use of tracheoesophagal speech	Uses tracheoesophageal speech less than 50% of the time		
	Uses tracheoesophageal speech 50% to 80% of communicative attempts		
	Uses tracheoesophageal speech manually occluded as main means of communication		
	Uses tracheoesophageal speech with tracheostoma valve as main means of communication	5	
B. Quality The ease of production and intelligibility of speech as determined by fluency and ability to occlude	Unable to get sound, no use of pulmonary air for speech		
	Voice is too strained or too breathy to permit functional use in conversation (may interfere with intelligibility), includes whispered speech		
	Stoma, more often than not, is poorly occluded with resultant air escape that interferes with intelligibility or is distraction to the listener	3	
	Voice is mildly stained or mildly breathy, but continuous use in conversation is possible, occlusion is generally good, speech is intelligibile.		
	Voice is easly produced, occlusion is good, speech is intelligibile	5	
C. Care*	Unable to do any of 4 behaviours	1	
	Independent for any 1 of 4 behaviours	2	
	Independent for any 2 of 4 behaviours	3	
	Independent for any 3 of 4 behaviours	4	
	Independent for any 4 of 4 behaviours	5	

 TABLE 1

 HARRISON-ROBILLARD SHULTZ SCALES USED FOR VOICE QUALITY ASSESSMENT

*Patient independence of medical care or other health care professionals (speech/language pathologist, nurse, community worker) for 4 behaviours: remove and insert prosthesis, clesn and sterilize prosthesis, recognize problems and seek help immediately, and order suplies

complication in 9 patients (22%). The most common complication was granulation of the tracheal mucosa followed by transprostetic and periprostetic leakage and atrophy of tracheoesophageal wall (Table 2). There were no differences between patients with complications and patients free of complication regarding relevant clinical

 TABLE 2

 FREQUENCIES OF DIFFERENT TEF AND VP COMPLICATIONS

 AMONG PATIENTS WITH COMPLICATIONS

Complicat	N (%)		
TEF complications	Periprosthetic leakage	5 (24)	
17 (100) patients	TEF dilatation	1(4.5)	
	VP dislocation	2 (10)	
	Granulations	7(33)	
	Atrophy	5(24)	
	Esophageal hypertrophy	1(4.5)	
	Total	21 (100)	
VP complications	Candida infection	4 (40)	
9 (53) patients	Transprosthetic leakage	6 (60)	
	Total	10 (100)	

characteristics, except for secondary insertion of VP, miotomy and time since last VP exchange. Patients with secondary VP insertion had significantly higher proportion of TEF complications than patients with primary VP insertion (chi square, p=0.029). Patients who underwent miotomy during laryngectomy had significantly lower proportion of TEF and VP complications (chi square, p=0.039). In addition, patients with longer time since last VP exchange had more frequent TEF and VP complications (Mann-Whitney test, p=0.011). More than half of patients underwent adjuvant neck radiation, but there were no differences in proportions of complications regarding referral to adjuvant radiotherapy (Table 3).

Positive test for pepsin was found in 30 patients (73%). There were no significant differences in proportions of complications between pepsin negative and pepsin positive patients (Table 4). Median pepsin value in all investigated patients was 4.8 ng/ml (range <1.2 and 81.7 ng/ml). Median pepsin value was higher in patients free of complications (6.6 ng/ml vs. 3.2 ng/ml) but that difference was not statistically significant (Mann-Whitney test, Z=-1.562, p=0.118). There were no differences in median pepsin value between patients in regard to myotomy, alcohol abuse and radiotherapy. Voice quality measured with HRS scale A and C correlated negatively with pepsin concentration in saliva, whereas weak positive correlation was found for HRS scale B results and pepsin levels. Correlations were not statistically significant (Spearmann's rho, -0.151, -0.125, and 0.071, respectively, p > 0.050). There were no significant differences in voice quality measured by HRS scales between patients with complications and patient free of complications (Table 5), except for 2 patients with hypertonycity who had very poor voice quality.

TABLE 3			
DIFFERENCES IN RELEVANT CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS			
AMONG 41 INVESTIGATED PATIENTS REGARDING PRESENCE			
OF TEF AND VP COMPLICATIONS			

	Patients, N (%)			
Clinical characteristic	Compli- cations	No compli- cations	Total	
Age, years, median (range)	63 (51-76)	61 (50-75)	60 (50-76)	
Male gender	15(40)	23 (60)	38 (100)	
History of alcohol abuse	7 (41)	10 (59)	17 (100)	
Current smoker	2 (100)*	0 (0)	2 (100)	
Diabetes mellitus	2(50)	2 (50)	4 (100)	
Radical neck dissection	5 (35)	9 (65)	14 (100)	
Selective neck dissection	4 (80)	1 (20)	5 (100)	
Miotomy	2 (15)*	11 (85)	13 (100)	
Adjuvant chemotherapy	1 (33)	2 (67)	3 (100)	
Adjuvant radiotherapy	9 (36)	16 (64)	25 (100)	
Time since TEF creation, months, median (range)	29 (3-83)	25.5 (3-84)	25.5 (3-84)	
Time since last VP ex- change, months, median (range)	3 (1–8)†	1 (0.3–8)	2 (0.3-8)	
Secondary VP insertion	8 (73)*	3 (27)	11 (100)	

* χ^2 , p<0.050

† Mann-Whitney test, Z= -2.557, p<0.050

TABLE 4RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HUMAN PEPSIN ELISA TEST AND
PROPORTION OF COMPLICATIONS

Compliantions	Human Pepsin ELISA test, N $(\%)^*$			
Complications	Negative	Positive		
No	5 (21)	19 (79)		
Yes	6 (35)	11 (65)		
Total	11 (27)	30 (73)		

* χ^2 , p=0.476

Discussion

Simple surgical technique of insertion of VP into TEF and rapid and successful voice rehabilitation makes this method a treatment of choice in voice rehabilitation after total laryngectomy. However, there is still significant number of complications reported in the literature to date and the incidence varies from $10-50\%^{1,3,9}$. We have found 42 % of patients with TEF and VP complications. Periprostetic leakage in association with moderate fistula enlargement was reported as the most common complication³. In our study, the most common complication was granulation of the tracheal mucosa followed by transprostetic and periprostetic leakage and atrophy of tracheoesophageal wall. There are several risk factors that are contributing to TEF and VP complications described in the literature, such as arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, myotomy during total laryngectomy

Voice		Compli	Complications		No complications		Total	
quality test		N (%)	Median score	N (%)	Median score	N (%)	Median score	
	1	0 (0)		1 (4)		1 (2)		
	2	3 (18)		2 (8)		5 (12)		
HRS A	3	0 (0)	4	2 (8)	4	2(5)	4	
	4	11 (18)		16 (62)		27 (66)		
	5	3 (64)		3 (13)		6 (14)		
	1	1 (6)		1 (4)		2(5)		
	2	2 (12)		3 (12)		5 (12)		
HRS B	3	1 (6)	5	0 (0)	5	1(2)	5	
	5	3 (17)		4 (17)		7 (17)		
	4	10 (59)		16 (67)		26 (64)		
HRS C	1	0 (0)		2 (8)		2 (5)		
	2	0 (0)		0 (0)		0 (0)		
	3	0 (0)	4	3 (13)	4	3 (7)	4	
	4	16 (94)		19 (79)		35 (85)		
	5	1 (6)		0 (0)		1 (3)		

 TABLE 5

 VOICE QUALITY MEASURED BY HRS SCALES REGARDING

 PRESENCE OF VP COMPLICATIONS

and TEF formation, postoperative radiotherapy, trauma during prosthesis replacement and EER^{1,3,6,9}. In our study, there were no differences between patients with and without complications regarding arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Patients who did not undergo mytomy had higher proportion of complications which was probably a consequence of periprostetic leakage and EER caused by pressure peak in hypopharyngeal area during swallowing⁹. Patients who underwent secondary VP insertion had higher proportion of complications probably because they also did not undergo myotomy.

Loss of the larvnx and resection of the larvngeal nerves cause changes in esophageal motility reflux barriers^{10, 11}. Patients who have undergone total laryngectomy have higher incidence of gastroesophageal reflux and EER¹². Although it has been proven that postoperative radiotherapy reduces esophageal clearence and decrases neutralizing effects of saliva¹³ we did not find any differences in complication rates in regard to postoperative radiotherapy. There are also several research groups that have reported similar results^{1,3,9,14}. Esophageal mucosa is resistant to physiological reflux of gastric fluid but pathological reflux can cause different changes from erosion to adenocarcinoma. Pharyngeal, laryngeal and tracheal mucosa has no natural barriers to gastric fluid and even small amounts of gastric content, 6-10 reflux events in 24 hours, can cause a massive damage to pharyngeal or tracheal mucosa^{15,16}. Mucosa can be damaged by low pH values of gastric acid, pepsin, pancreatic enzymes and bile acid^{15–17}. Reflux of gastric fluid into upper esophagus after total laryngectomy would not present a problem if there would not be any communication between esophagus and airway. But, with TEF formation

direct communication between esophagus and trachea is made. Influence of reflux on TEF and VP has been demonstrated by only three working groups to date 2,4,6 . The gold standard for diagnosis of EER today is still 24 hour double - probe pH monitoring, although it has been shown to be lacking in reproducibility^{18–21}. It is also incapable of detecting nonacidic gastric reflux, which is asocciated with airway disease^{22,23}. After gastric acid, pepsin is the most studied gastric component. Pepsin is though to cause damage by its proetolytic activity digesting the structures that maintain cohesion between cells. It is maximally active at pH 2.0, but it can also cause damage with higher pH up to 6.5 (mean pH of laryngopharynx is 6.8), when is completly inactivated but not irreversibly²⁴. Thus it can be reactivated by a decrease in pH. Pepsin is not irreversibly inactivated until pH 8²⁴. Recent studies by Johnston and all have demonstrated that pepsin is also taken up by hypopharyngeal and laryngeal epithelial cells by receptor-mediated endocytosis^{25, 26}. They have also shown that pepsin at pH 7 significantly alters the expression levels of multiple genes implicated in stress and toxicity, induces pro-infalmmatory cytokine gene expression, and alters the expression of 27 genes implicated in carcinogenesis¹⁶. Pepsin has also been proven to be the most sensitive and specific marker of EER⁸. It can be detected with several different methods such as ELISA, enzymatic pepsin assay or Western blot analysis⁸. Detection of pepsin is a noninvasive method and effective in diagnosis of EER from easily obtainable fluid secretions like saliva or sputum^{8,27}. Bock et al. have found that reflux with subsequent pepsin deposition into TEF tract occurs in majority of laryngectomy patients⁴. We have found positive test for pepsin in saliva in 73% of patients which proves EER in majority of patients after total laryngectomy. The expected life span of VP recommended by manufactures is 3 months. There is evidence that supraesophageal or EER reflux is associated with reduced life span of voice prosthesis, with fistula enlargement, increased granulation tissue formation, periprostetic leakage and increased crycopharyngeal stenosis or sapasm^{2,6}. Our study has demonstrated that the median life span of VP in patients without complications was only 1 month which could probably mean that patients require more frequent VP exchange. We did not find any significant difference in proportions of complications between pepsin positive and pepsin negative patients. In addition, median pepsin value did not differ significantly between patients with and without complications. These results were unexpected but suggest that further studies of the impact of pepsin and EER on TEF and VP are necessary. Voice quality measured by HRS scale was more than satisfactory and complications or pepsin levels did not influence voice quality.

Conclusion

We demonstrated that pepsin was present in saliva of majority of patients, suggesting ongoing reflux in laryngectomized patients. Although reflux was proposed to be associated with TEF complications, and pepsin proven as a most sensitive and specific marker of EER, we did not find any statistically significant correlation between pepsin levels and occurrence of TEF or VP complications so further studies of the impact of pepsin and EER on TEF and VP are warranted.

REFERENCES

1. MALIK T, BRUCE I, CHERRY J, Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 15 (2007) 117. DOI: 10.1097/MOO.0b013e3280964dc8. — 2. GEHRINKG E, RAAP M, SOMMER KD, Laryngoscope, 117 (2007) 1943. DOI: 10.1097/MLG.0b013e31813544ce. — 3. LORENZ KJ, GRIESER L, EHRHART T, MAIER H, Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol, 119 (2010): 719. DOI: 10.1007/s00106-010-2127-5. — 4. BOCK JM, BRAWELY MK, JOHN-STON N, SAMUELS T, MASSEY BL, CAMBELL BH, TOOHILL RJ, BLUMIN JH, Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol, 119 (2010) 799. - 5. LORENZ KJ, GRIESER L, EHRHART T, MAIER H, Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol, 268 (2011) 695. DOI: 10.1007/s00405-010-1446-1. - 6. PATTANI KM, MORGAN M,NATHAN CO, Laryngoscope, 119 (2009) 121. DOI: 10.1002/ lary.20052. — 7. JOHNSTON N, KNIGHT J, DETTMAR PW, LIVELY MO, KOUFMAN J, Laryngoscope, 114 (2004) 2129. DOI: 10.1097/01.mlg. 0000149445.07146.03. - 8. SAMUELS TL, JOHNSTON N, Ann Otol Rhinol Larvngol, 119 (2010) 203. - 9, OP DE COUL BM, HILGERS FJ. BALM AJ, TAN IB VAN DEN HOOGEN FJ, VAN TINTEREN H, Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 126 (2000) 1320. - 10. CHOI EC, HONG WP, KIM CIB, YOON HC, NAM JI, SON EJ, KIM KM, KIM SH, Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 128 (2003) 691. DOI: 10.1016/S0168-9452(03) 00331-5. - 11. WLCH RW, LUCKMANN K, RICKS PM, DRAKE ST, GATES GA, J Clin Invest, 63 (1979) 1036. DOI: 10.1172/JCI109372. -12. SMIT CF, TAN J, MATHUS- VLIEGEN LM, DEVRIESE PP, BRAND-SEN M, GROLMAN W, SCHOUWENBURG PF, Head Neck, 20 (1998) 619. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0347(199810)20:7<619::AID-HED7>3.0. CO;2-1. - 13. KORSTEN MA, ROSMAN AS, FISHBEIN S, SHLEIN RD, GOLDBERG HE, BIENER A, Am J Med 90 (1991): 701. DOI: 10.1016/ S0002-9343(05)80058-0. - 14. BOSCOLO-RIZZO P, MARCHIORI C, GAVA A, DA MOSTO MC, Eur Arch Otolaryngol 265 (2008) 791. DOI: 10.1007/ s00405-007-0536-1. — 15. KOUFMAN JA, Laryngoscope 101 Suppl 53 (1991) 1. - 16. JOHNSTON N, Aliment Pharmacol Ther, 33 Suppl 1 (2011) 13. -17. NEHRA D, HOWELL P, WILLIAMS CP, PYE JK, BEY-NON J. Gut 44 (1999) 598, DOI:10.1136/gut.44.5.598. - 18. POSTMA GN, Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol Suppl 109 (2000) 10. - 19. VAEZI MF, SCHROEDER PL, RICHTER JE, Am J Gastrenterolog, 92 (1997) 825. -20. MCCOLLOUGH M, JABBAR A, CACCHIONE R, ALLEN JW, HA-RELL S, WO JM, Dig Dis Sci., 49 (2004) 1607. DOI: 10.1023/B:DDAS. 0000043372.98660.82. - 21. MERATI AL, LIM HJ, ULUALP SO, TOO-HILL RJ, Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol, 114 (2005) 177. - 22. TUTUIAN R, MAINIE I, AGRAWAL A, ADAMS D, CASELL DO. Chest 130 (2006) 386. DOI: 10.1378/chest.130.2.386. - 23. WENZL TG. SCHENKE S. PESCH-GENS T, SILNY J, HEIMANN G, SKOPNIK H. Pediatr Pulmonol, 31 (2001) 144. DOI: 10.1002/1099-0496(200102)31:2<144::AID-PPUL1023> 3.0.CO;2-Z. - 24. JOHNSTON N, DETTMAR PW, BISHWOKARMA B, LIVELY MO, KOUFMAN JA, Laryngoscope, 117 (2007) 1036. DOI: 10. 1097/MLG.0b013e31804154c3. - 25. JOHNSTON N, CLIVE W, SAM-UELS TL, BLUMIN JH. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol, 119 (2010) 547. -26. JOHNSTON N, WELLS CW, BLUMIN JH, TOOHILL RJ, MERATI AL, Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol, 116 (2007) 934. - 27. KNIGHT J, LI-VELY MO, JOHNSTON N, DETTMAR PW, KOUFMAN JA, Laryngoscope 115 (2005) 1473. DOI:10.1097/01.mlg.0000172043.51871.d9

A. Đanić Hadžibegović

»Dr. Josip Benčević« General Hospital, Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Andrije Štampara 42, 35000 Slavonski Brod, Croatia e-mail: ana djanic@yahoo.com

RAZINA PEPSINA U SLINI BOLESNIKA S KOMPLIKACIJAMA TRAHEOEZOFAGEALNE FISTULE I GOVORNE PROTEZE

SAŽETAK

Cilj ovog istraživanja bio je proučiti odnose koncentracije pepsina u slini i učestalosti pojave komplikacija traheoezofagelane fistule (TEF) i komplikacija govorne proteze (GP) kod bolesnika s postavljenom GP nakon totalne laringektomije. U istraživanje je bio uključen 41 bolesnik čije su razine koncentracije pepsina u slini uspoređene s pojavom TEF komplikacija (curenje oko proteze, atrofija, hipertrofija sluznice jednjaka, granulacije, povećanje fistule i dislokacija GP), komplikacija GP (curenje kroz GP, infekcija Candidom) i kvalitetom glasa. Koncentracija pepsina u slini mjerena je »enzyme-linked immunoadsorbent assay« (ELISA) metodom. Kvaliteta glasa određena je prema Harrison-Robilard – Schultz (HRS) skali. Ukupno 17 (42%) bolesnika imalo je komplikaciju. Svi su imali komplikaciju TEF, dok je 9 (22%) imalo i komplikaciju GP. Nismo našli značajnu razliku u pojavi komplikacija TEF među bolesnicima koji su primili adjuvantu radioterapiju i koji nisu primili adjuvantu radioterapiju. Većina bolesnika, 30 (73%), ima pozitivnu razinu pepsina u slini. Medijan koncentracije pepsina u slini svih bolesnika je 4,8 (raspon 81,7). Medijan koncentracije pepsina bio je viši kod bolesnika bez komplikacije TEF i GP (6,6, raspon 81,7 vs. 3,2, raspon 19,3) ali razlika nije statistički značajna (Mann-Whitney test, Z –1,562, p=0,118). Također je nađena negativna korelacija između razine pepsina i kvalitete glasa, ali nije bila statistički značajna (Spearman's rho, p>0,05). Iako se smatra kako ekstraezofagealni refluks želučanog sadržaja, čiji je najosjetljiviji marker razina pepsina, doprinosi pojavi komplikacija TEF i GP.