A Case Report of Delayed Onset Spontaneous Hematoma, Pain, and Temporal Bone Neo-Ossification 16 Years After Cochlear Implantation

Ajduk, Jakov; Matovinović, Filip; Ries, Mihael; Košec, Andro

Source / Izvornik: Ear, Nose & Throat Journal, 2020, 99, 27 - 29

Journal article, Accepted version Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

https://doi.org/10.1177/0145561319826057

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:072990

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-01-14



Repository / Repozitorij:

Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine Digital Repository





A Case Report of Delayed-onset Spontaneous Hematoma, Pain and Temporal Bone Neoossification 16 Years After Cochlear Implantation

Running head: Cochlear Implant Rejected After 16 Years

Jakov Ajduk, MD, PhD^b, Filip Matovinović, MD^a, Mihael Ries, MD, PhD^b, Andro Košec, MD, PhD, FEBORL-HNS^a

^a Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, University Hospital Center Sestre milosrdnice, Vinogradska cesta 29, Zagreb, Croatia

^b School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Šalata 3b, Zagreb, Croatia

Word count: 746 words

Author correspondence:

Andro Košec, MD, PhD, FEBORL-HNS

Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Clinical Hospital Center Sestre

milosrdnice, Vinogradska cesta 29, Zagreb, Croatia

Phone: +385 1 3787108, Fax: +385 13769067

e-mail: andro.kosec@yahoo.com

Otology ENT Clinic Case

The patient is a 19-year-old man with congenital bilateral sensorineural deafness that underwent right-sided cochlear implantation in 2002, at the age of 3. Approximately 16 years post-surgery, in 2018, the patient started to complain of increasing pain, tenderness and edema formation in the right retroauricular region, immediately above the implant. The edema was evenly distributed over the entire casing of the implant and the patient negated any recent trauma to the implant area. Due to symptom intensity, the patient was unable to continue regular use of the device. The device itself did not show any signs of malfunction. No signs of trauma or infection were noted and oral antibiotic (amoxicillin and clavulanic acid) treatment was administered for 7 days. The pain subsided, but the edema persisted, disabling implant use. A revision operation was planned to prevent further damage to the surrounding tissue and the implant. Preoperatively, an X-ray of the right temporal region showed a diastasis between the processor and the internal magnet of the implant measuring up to 20 mm. (Figures 1 and 2). The first revision surgery was performed in May 2018. Intraoperatively, an abundance of fibrous subcutaneous tissue was found and excised, thinning the tissue overlying the implant. Residual hematoma was also found surrounding the internal magnet and was evacuated completely. Dexamethasone was applied into the surgical area. In the postoperative period, the patient received peroral antibiotic treatment (2x200 mg of cefpodoxim per day for 7 days). There were no complications in the immediate postoperative period. Six weeks following revision surgery, the patient was without any symptoms and had no trouble with using his implant. In July 2018, the patient again began to feel retroauricular pain, edema and trouble using the implant due to recurring edema. A second revision operation was performed that same month. Intraoperatively, a substantial amount of fibrous tissue subcutaneously and hematoma surrounding the implant were again encountered. The subcutaneous tissue was reexcised and was sent for histopathological examination. The hematoma was evacuated and a

swab sample was sent for microbiological analysis. The implant was completely exposed and neo-ossification was noted in its posterior third, with bone overlying the posterior segment of the implant, as well as some unusual staining on the silicone implant. (Figure 3) The bone was drilled away from the implant and the casing was fully mobilized. The implant magnet was replaced with a new one provided by the manufacturer's support staff and the casing was covered with vancomycin powder. The patient was then treated with intravenous antibiotic treatment (ceftriaxone 2x1 grams per day) for 4 days until discharge, when the treatment was changed oral antibiotics (cefpodoxim2x200 mg per day for 7 days). The postoperative period was uneventful. The hematoma swab cultures remained sterile. The histopathological report of the fibrous tissue noted presence of diffuse pervasion of mono- and polymorphonuclear cells.

Discussion

Review articles focused on delayed complications of cochlear implantation found that only 48 (0,9%) out of 5486 patients developed seromas or hematomas, the majority of which required only conservative therapy. [1, 2] Delayed-onset of pain and hematoma formation after cochlear implantation, which are complications encountered in this case report, are issues that are rarely encountered. [3] Current treatment strategies suggest conservative hematoma evacuation through needle aspiration and additional diagnostic procedures to account for underlying etiological factors like infection, coagulopathies or repeated head trauma. [3, 4, 5] Pain has been reported as an isolated delayed-onset complication and regarded as a possible early symptom of cochlear implant malfunction. Some authors also suggest that delayed-onset pain should be considered a major complication since a significant amount of these patients require revision surgery. [2] Another recent report on 5 patients with delayed-onset of pain in the region surrounding the implant showed that revision surgery and device explantation

relieved the symptoms. Subsequent reimplantation was uneventful and no reason for initial pain onset could be identified. [6] There have been studies published mentioning neoossification that was found in revision surgery, but this was related to the ossification of the cochlea and the mastoid cavity. [7] One study found that intracochlear osseous tissue was more present in cases where the electrode was folded, though that was not the case in our patient. [8] In our case there was no ossification of the cochlea, mastoid cavity or the electrode, nor was bone dust used in prior surgeries in the region in which the neo-ossification or neo-ossification was noted.

Disclosure of Interest and Statement of Ethics

This case presentation was approved by the University Hospital Centre Sestre milosrdnice, Zagreb, Bioethical Board adhering to the Helsinki Declaration of 1983. There are no conflicts of interest or funding to be declared. Full written informed consent was obtained from the patient in using images of tissue samples and radiologic imaging after discussing the details of the case report contents. All of the authors have read and approved the manuscript, and all authorship contributions have been verified to adhere to ICMJE guidelines.

Financial Disclosure

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Terry B, Kelt RE, Jeyakumar A. Delayed Complications After Cochlear Implantation. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2015;141(11):1012-7.
- [2] Shapira Y, Yaar-Soffer Y, Hildesheimer M, Migirov L, Henkin Y. Pain in cochlear implant recipients: an uncommon, yet serious, consequence of cochlear implantation. Laryngoscope 2015;125(8):1946-51.
- [3] Low WK, Xu S. Delayed-onset haematoma formation after cochlear implantation. J Laryngol Otol 2017;131(8):684-687.
- [4] Filipo R, D'Elia C, Covelli E, Bertoli GA, De Seta E, Manganaro F, Mancini P.
 Haematoma after cochlear implantation: management of a minor complication. Acta Otolaryngol 2010;130(1):108-13.
- [5] Bhatia K, Gibbin KP, Nikolopoulos TP, O'Donoghue GM. Surgical complications and their management in a series of 300 consecutive pediatric cochlearimplantations. Otol Neurotol 2004;25(5):730-9.
- [6] Todd NW, Fainberg JC, Ukatu CC, Venable CY, Segel P. Pain-only complaint about cochlear implant device: A five-patient pediatric experience. Cochlear Implants Int 2015;16(5):290-4.
- [7] Blanchard M, Thierry B, Glynn F, De Lamaze A, Garabédian EN, Loundon N. Cochlear implant failure and revision surgery in pediatric population. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2015;124(3):227-31.
- [8] Trakimas DR, Kozin ED, Ghanad I, Nadol JB Jr, Remenschneider AK. Human Otopathologic Findings in Cases of Folded Cochlear Implant Electrodes. Otol Neurotol 2018;39(8):970-978.

Figure labels

Figures 1 and 2. Preoperative X-ray image showing a diastasis of about 18-20 mm between the internal and external electrode

Figure 3. After exposing the implant, bone formation and unusual staining was noted on the silicone implant









