# Recombinant Interferon-β in the Treatment of Polycythemia Vera and Related Neoplasms: Rationales and Perspectives

Hasselbalch, Hans; Skov, Vibe; Kjær, Lasse; Larsen, Morten Kranker; Knudsen, Trine A.; Lucijanić, Marko; Kušec, Rajko

Source / Izvornik: Cancers, 2022, 14

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14225495

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:878867

Rights / Prava: Attribution 4.0 International/Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-02-17



Repository / Repozitorij:

<u>Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine</u> Digital Repository







Perspective

# Recombinant Interferon-β in the Treatment of Polycythemia Vera and Related Neoplasms: Rationales and Perspectives

Hans Hasselbalch <sup>1,\*</sup>, Vibe Skov <sup>1</sup>, Lasse Kjær <sup>1</sup>, Morten Kranker Larsen <sup>1</sup>, Trine A. Knudsen <sup>1</sup>, Marko Lucijanić <sup>2,3</sup> and Rajko Kusec <sup>2,3</sup>

- Department of Hematology, Zealand University, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark
- Department of Hematology, University Hospital Dubrava, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- \* Correspondence: hans.hasselbalch@gmail.com

Simple Summary: The myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) are chronic blood cancers characterized by elevated blood cell counts and, after decades, the development of bone marrow failure. Blood clots are common and contribute massively to the symptom burden. Treatment with interferon (IFN) alpha-2 normalizes elevated blood cell counts within weeks to months. This treatment has been used off-label over the last 30 years. Today, a novel interferon alpha-2b formulation (Besremi) is marketed for treatment of the MPN disease polycythemia vera. Another IFN formulation is interferon beta (IFN- $\beta$ ), which has been used for decades in the treatment of multiple sclerosis. Several studies have shown IFN- $\beta$  to possess stronger anticancer capabilities than IFN alpha-2. However, only a few cancer trials have been conducted, none in patients with MPNs. In this paper, the rationales and perspectives for using IFN- $\beta$  in patients with MPNs are described, and future research directions are outlined for investigating the safety and efficacy of IFN- $\beta$  in MPNs.

Abstract: About 30 years ago, the first clinical trials of the safety and efficacy of recombinant interferon- $\alpha 2$  (rIFN- $\alpha 2$ ) were performed. Since then, several single-arm studies have shown rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 to be a highly potent anticancer agent against several cancer types. Unfortunately, however, a high toxicity profile in early studies with rIFN- $\alpha 2$  -among other reasons likely due to the high dosages being used-disqualified rIFN- $\alpha$ 2, which was accordingly replaced with competitive drugs that might at first glance look more attractive to clinicians. Later, pegylated IFN- $\alpha$ 2a (Pegasys) and pegylated IFN-α2b (PegIntron) were introduced, which have since been reported to be better tolerated due to reduced toxicity. Today, treatment with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 is virtually outdated in nonhematological cancers, where other immunotherapies—e.g., immune-checkpoint inhibitors—are routinely used in several cancer types and are being intensively investigated in others, either as monotherapy or in combination with immunomodulatory agents, although only rarely in combination with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2. Within the hematological malignancies, rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 has been used off-label for decades in patients with Philadelphia-negative chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs)—i.e., essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera, and myelofibrosis—and in recent years rIFN-α2 has been revived with the marketing of ropeginterferon-α2b (Besremi) for the treatment of polycythemia vera patients. Additionally, rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 has been revived for the treatment of chronic myelogenous leukemia in combination with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Another rIFN formulation-recombinant interferon-β (rIFN-β)—has been used for decades in the treatment of multiple sclerosis but has never been studied as a potential agent to be used in patients with MPNs, although several studies and reviews have repeatedly described rIFN-β as an effective anticancer agent as well. In this paper, we describe the rationales and perspectives for launching studies on the safety and efficacy of rIFN-β in patients with MPNs.

**Keywords:** myeloproliferative neoplasms; essential thrombocythemia; polycythemia vera; myelofibrosis; MPN; MPNs; recombinant interferon- $\alpha$ 2 (rIFN- $\alpha$ 2); recombinant interferon- $\beta$  (rIFN- $\beta$ )



Citation: Hasselbalch, H.; Skov, V.; Kjær, L.; Larsen, M.K.; Knudsen, T.A.; Lucijanić, M.; Kusec, R. Recombinant Interferon-β in the Treatment of Polycythemia Vera and Related Neoplasms: Rationales and Perspectives. *Cancers* 2022, 14, 5495. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers14225495

Academic Editors: Armando Varela-Ramirez, Elisa Robles-Escajeda, Blanca E. Ruiz-Medina, Patricia Talamás-Rohana, Rachid Skouta and Marco Antonio Cassatella

Received: 27 September 2022 Accepted: 2 November 2022 Published: 9 November 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 2 of 19

#### 1. Introduction

The Philadelphia-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) comprise essential thrombocythemia (ET), polycythemia vera (PV), and primary myelofibrosis (PMF). The MPNs are acquired stem cell disorders that develop from the early cancer stages (ET and PV) to the advanced myelofibrosis stage [1]. Before the MPN diagnosis, patients have often experienced repeated thromboembolic events for several years (e.g., 5, 10, 15, or 20 years) with concurrent elevated blood cell counts, indicating the MPNs to have existed undiagnosed for decades before eventually being diagnosed [2,3]. The MPNs are associated with the so-called driver mutations *JAK2V617F*, *CALR*, and *MPL*. The most frequent mutation is the *JAK2V617F* mutation, which is present in nearly all patients with PV and half of those with ET and PMF [4–8]. The *CALR* mutations are recorded in approximately 20 and 30% of ET and PMF patients, respectively [9–11]. Frequently, additional mutations (e.g., *DNMT3A*, *ASXL1*, *TET2*) are recorded—most often in the advanced disease stage with severe myelofibrosis [11,12].

In recent years, chronic inflammation has been proposed to be of utmost importance in the pathogenesis of MPNs [13–30], as both a trigger and a driver of clonal evolution and disease progression. In this context, MPNs have been described as "A Human Inflammation Model" and "A Human Inflammation Model for Cancer Development", in which the malignant clone steadily expands in a vicious self-perpetuating cycle fueled by the malignant clone itself [14,15]. Accordingly, early initiation of treatment that directly targets the malignant clone-recombinant interferon- $\alpha$ 2 (rIFN- $\alpha$ 2) -and the concurrent chronic inflammatory state has been argued to be a prerequisite for a successful outcome of therapeutic intervention [22,31–54]. It should be noted that this "Early Interferon Intervention Concept" should preferably be started as early as possible after the MPN diagnosis to prohibit clonal evolution due to inflammation-mediated genomic instability with subclone formation and additional mutations that might confer resistance to treatment, ultimately also mediating myelofibrotic and leukemic transformation. Most recently, this "Early Interferon Concept" has been fueled by data-driven analysis of the *JAK2V617F* kinetics during treatment with IFN- $\alpha$ 2 [50].

Hydroxyurea (HU) is the cytoreductive agent that is most frequently used in MPNs. As a DNA-synthesis inhibitor [55,56], its use has raised concern with regard to its leukemogenic potential [57–60], since long-term exposure to HU (i.e., >10 years) may be associated with an increased risk of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) or myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)—the latter with an inherently high risk of leukemic transformation [57–60]. Hydroxyurea does not selectively target the malignant stem cells. Likewise, another cytoreductive agent-anagrelide—does not correct the aberrant cellular machinery in MPNs but selectively reduces the elevated platelet count by interfering with the production of platelets from rapidly proliferating clonal megakaryocytes [61]. After discontinuation of HU or anagrelide, blood cell counts rapidly increase to pretreatment values within days, emphasizing that these agents have no impact on the basic molecular aberrations that elicit clonal expansion. Despite cytoreductive treatment and aspirin, a major clinical challenge in the treatment of MPNs is the substantial risk of thrombosis [1,53,62–67], and for both venous and arterial thrombosis this risk is most pronounced within the first 3 months after the MPN diagnosis [66].

During the last 30 years, recombinant interferon- $\alpha$ 2 has been used in the treatment of MPNs, and its safety and efficacy have been convincingly demonstrated in several studies [31–54,68–102]. Indeed, in a subset of patients, normalization of the bone marrow and low-burden *JAK2V617F* may be obtained after prolonged treatment (about 5 years). Importantly, these effects may be sustained even 2–3 years after the discontinuation of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 [31,32,38,42,44]. These highly encouraging results have paved the way towards a new era where "Minimal Residual Disease" (MRD) is actually a novel treatment goal [31,32,42,44,46]. Despite being used for decades, rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 has only recently been labeled on the market as ropeginterferon- $\alpha$ 2b (Besremi) and indicated for PV in adults [84,98,100]. Neither PegIntron nor Pegasys have these indications, but both have

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 3 of 19

been used for decades for the treatment of MPNs. PegIntron is no longer available, but Pegasys is still available as an off-label drug for the treatment of MPNs -no longer delivered by Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. (Basel, Switzerland), but by Pharma& Schweiz GmbH (Cham, Switzerland) (Pegasys@pharmaand.com accessed on 18 February 2021), Pharma & Schweiz GmbH signed an agreement with F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. to acquire the worldwide rights to Pegasys, excluding China and Japan. Thereby, patients with MPNs are secured the long-term possibility to be treated with Pegasys. Unfortunately, some patients do not tolerate Pegasys very well. Although most studies using Pegasys in MPNs have reported excellent tolerance, with only about 10–20% of patients discontinuing Pegasys due to toxicity (mainly consisting of sustained flu-like symptoms) [74,75,77,79,82,92,93,95] (for reviews see [76,78,81]), a more recent Danish study (the DALIAH trial) recorded a discontinuation rate of up to 50% after long-term use (approximately 3-year follow-up) [102].

Few studies have compared pegylated IFN- $\alpha$ 2 with HU [98–103], and only the Danish DALIAH trial has compared these drugs in newly diagnosed MPN patients [102]. These studies have shown pegylated IFN- $\alpha$ 2 not to be superior to HU in terms of normalizing elevated blood cell counts after 12 and 24 months. However, after 36 months, both Pegasys/PegIntron (DALIAH trial) [102] and ropeginterferon alfa-2b (Besremi) displayed convincing superiority with regard to achieving major molecular remissions, as assessed by sustained normalization of elevated blood cell counts in concert with a decline in the *JAK2V617F* allele burden [99,102]. However, as alluded to above, a dropout rate of up to 40–50% was recorded in the DALIAH trial during long-term treatment with Pegasys or PegIntron [102].

For all of the above reasons, there is an urgent need to consider whether stem-celltargeted therapy with pegylated IFN-α2 may be accomplished by other IFN formulations than rIFN- $\alpha$ 2. In this regard, pegylated IFN- $\beta$  may be a highly relevant treatment option, since rIFN-β has been used for decades in the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS), with an excellent safety and efficacy profile [104–107]. Furthermore, several experimental and clinical studies have provided evidence that rIFN- $\beta$  is an effective antiviral agent [108–112], which is currently being used worldwide in the treatment of COVID-19, either as monotherapy or in combination with other antiviral agents or anti-inflammatory agents [113–115]. Intriguingly, rIFN- $\beta$  has also demonstrated potent anticancer capabilities very similar to or even stronger than those of rIFN- $\alpha$  [116–126]. However, clinical studies of rIFN- $\beta$  have been immensely overshadowed by rIFN- $\alpha$ 2. Thus, since 1996, the therapeutic potential of rIFN- $\alpha$  in the treatment of cancers has been investigated in 248 trials, whereas the role of rIFN- $\beta$  as an anticancer agent has only been investigated in 7 trials [126]. The potential of rIFN-β in the treatment of neuroinflammatory diseases other than MS, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), has also been investigated [127,128]. Since AD and MPNs share several pathogenetic mechanisms, MPNs have most recently been described as "A Human Neuroinflammation Model for The Development of Alzheimer's Disease" [129]. Herein, after briefly depicting the successful history of rIFN- $\alpha$  in the treatment MPNs, we tell the story of rIFN-β in other diseases and discuss the rationales and perspectives for launching studies on the safety and efficacy of pegylated IFN- $\beta$  in the treatment of MPNs.

#### 2. History of Interferon- $\alpha$ in MPNs

In 1957, Isaacs and Lindenmann discovered a cytokine that was able to interfere with viral replication. They named this cytokine interferon (IFN) [130]. Since then, several IFN discoveries have been made, including the identification of the IFN receptor and the JAK/STAT signal transduction pathway [131–135]. Soon it was realized that IFNs belong to a large family, of which the type I IFN family of cytokines comprises IFN- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\beta$ , and the less well-characterized IFNs  $\kappa$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\zeta$ ,  $\tau$ , and  $\omega$ , whereas the only type II IFN is IFN- $\gamma$ . IFN- $\alpha$  has 13 subtypes (IFN- $\alpha$ 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 21). Of all these IFNs, IFN- $\alpha$ 2 is the one that has been studied most extensively during the last 30–40 years. It soon became apparent that IFNs had antiproliferative and anticancer activities. With the production and purification of human leucocyte IFNs by Cantell et al. [136], the avenue

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 4 of 19

opened for the first clinical study in the late 1970s on the efficacy of human leucocyte IFN in four patients with multiple myeloma (MM), who were treated for 3–19 months. Remission was complete in two patients and partial in the other two [137]. A few years later, the efficacy of human leucocyte IFN was convincingly demonstrated in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) [138], as well as in patients with ET and PV [139–141]. Soon after, IFN- $\alpha$ 2 was cloned, enabling the production of large amounts of IFNs for experimental research and clinical trials. Thereafter, an exciting era of several years began, during which the safety and efficacy of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 was tested in a variety of cancers, including both non-hematological (with particularly successful stories in melanoma and renal-cell carcinoma) and hematological malignancies. Amongst the latter were MM, hairy-cell leukemia (HCL), CML, MPNs, the hypereosinophilic syndromes, and systemic mastocytosis (SM) (for reviews, see [34,35]). The outstanding breakthroughs achieved in the treatment of HCL and CML were historical milestones, since before the IFN era patients with HCL and CML had a dismal prognosis due to severe bone marrow failure with serious, often atypical infections (HCL) or fatal leukemic transformation within a few years from diagnosis (CML) if the patient was not a candidate for bone marrow transplantation. Thus, during treatment with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2, long-lasting complete remissions with normalization of peripheral blood cell counts and the bone marrow were achieved in a significant proportion of patients with HCL. Furthermore, these beneficial effects were associated with a marked improvement in immune defense against infections. Likewise, in several patients with CML, rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 induced complete and sustained cytogenetic remissions with vanishing of the Philadelphia chromosome. In a subset of patients, major molecular remissions with a sustained reduction of the BCR-ABL1 transcript were also obtained. Therefore, over the following decades, rIFN-α2 remained the best medical treatment for CML until the targeted treatment with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) imatinib mesylate replaced rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 about 25 years ago, followed by other second- and third-generation TKIs (e.g., dasatinib, nilotinib, bosutinib). Very early in the IFN era, unique mechanisms of action of IFN- $\alpha$ 2 were revealed (see below). Thus, it was demonstrated that in CML, rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 was able to restore the adhesion of primitive progenitor cells to marrow stroma, downregulate the BCR-ABL1 fusion gene, and activate transcriptional factors involved in the regulation of cell proliferation, maturation, and apoptosis. In addition, immune studies revealed rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 to have very potent immune-enhancing capacity that induced the elimination of CML cells by the immune system [142,143]. A novel mechanism of action of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 on hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) was described in 2009 by Essers et al., who showed that rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 induced cell cycling in quiescent HSCs and early progenitors [144]. Soon after, they also showed HSCs to be depleted by chronic administration of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2, implying dormant cancer stem cells to be susceptible to manipulation via an rIFN- $\alpha$ 2-induced wakeup call, with subsequent proliferation and unmasking of the malignant stem cells and progenitors for the immune system [145]. These studies provided the impetus for similar studies on MPNs [68,69], but also for combination therapy with imatinib and rIFN- $\alpha$ 2, as well as later studies on rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 with other TKIs in CML [146–149]. These studies showed combination therapy with TKI and rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 to be much more effective than single-agent therapy due to their different modes of action and biological effects.

Despite the very prominent anticancer effects of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 and initial studies demonstrating the safety and efficacy of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 in a large number of patients with MPNs (reviewed in [34,35,37,40,41,43,46,49,76,78,81]), rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 unfortunately disappeared in the dark. However, the interest in using rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 in MPNs has been revived in recent years due to the mounting evidence from several studies within the last 5–10 years, which have demonstrated sustained complete hematological and major molecular remissions after long-term treatment with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2, even up to 3 years after discontinuation of IFN- $\alpha$ 2 [31,32,38,42,44,46]. These highly encouraging results envisage MRD as a new treatment goal in MPNs, implying normalization of peripheral blood cell values and normal bone marrow architecture after long-term treatment with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 [45,46]. Importantly, induction

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 5 of 19

of MRD by rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 may also open a new horizon towards a cure through vaccination strategies [150,151].

## 3. The History of IFN- $\beta$ and Its Neglected Role in Cancer Treatment: Lessons from the IFN- $\alpha 2$ Era

As alluded to above, IFN- $\beta$  belongs to the type I IFN family, which only encodes a single IFN- $\beta$  in contrast with 13 IFN- $\alpha$  subtypes. Both IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  signal through the heterodimeric IFN- $\alpha$ / $\beta$  receptor (IFNAR), comprising the subunits IFNRA1 and IFNRA2. Whereas several studies have shown rIFN- $\beta$  to be an effective antiviral agent [108–115], its role as an anticancer agent has been overshadowed by rIFN- $\alpha$ 2, despite the fact that rIFN- $\beta$  indeed exhibits similar or even perhaps better anticancer capabilities than those of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 [116–126].

The antitumor effects of both IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  were discovered by Gresser as early as 1969 [152]. Nevertheless, over the next 50 years fewer than 10 clinical trials investigated the role of IFN- $\beta$  in cancer treatment [126]. The reasons for this are several but may be explained by the same factors that are undermining the use of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 in the treatment of cancer today, including side effects with high dropout rates even when using low doses of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2. However, instead of exploring the mechanisms explaining the high dropout rates, which might reveal novel insights into how to administer rIFN- $\alpha$ 2, most researchers—i.e., oncologists and hematologists—have abandoned rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 to pursue other treatment approaches, e.g., treatment with immune-checkpoint inhibitors.

Taking into account the fact that defective tumor immune surveillance is a highly important mechanism in the development and progression of any cancer, one might wonder why rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 in 2022 is largely being used routinely only in the treatment of patients with MPNs, whereas its use in other cancers (e.g., malignant melanoma, renal-cell carcinoma, HCL, malignant lymphoma, MM, CML) has completely abated. rIFN- $\alpha$  and rIFN- $\beta$  are the oldest known immunomodulatory and immune-enhancing agents, with very long track records of safety and efficacy in a large number of studies in patients with a range of diseases, including viral diseases (rIFN-α2, rIFN-β), neuroinflammatory diseases (rIFN-β in MS and AD), and cancer (rIFN- $\alpha$ , rIFN- $\beta$ ). In the context of non-hematological cancers, the efficacy of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 was clearly demonstrated in a subset of patients with malignant melanoma and renal-cell carcinoma, but the enthusiasm for its use was dampened due to side effects, which were attributable to the high dosages used. Thus, no studies of low-dose rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 have been performed in patients with non-hematological cancers and, accordingly, there have also been no studies with rIFN- $\beta$ . Table 1 summarizes the similarities and differences between rIFN-α2 and rIFN-β with regard to clinical, biochemical, and immunological markers in MPNs and associated key questions for future studies.

**Table 1.** Some key questions with regard to the impact of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 and rIFN- $\beta$  on clinical, biochemical, and immunological markers in MPNs.

Impact Upon	rIFN-α2	rIFN-β	Comments/Questions
Disease-Initiating/ Propagating Mechanisms			
Type I Interferon Deficiency	ţ	ţ	Does treatment with type I IFNs restore the IFN deficiency state in elderly MPN-patients, in whom age-related type I IFN deficiency is prevalent?
Hyperinflammation	ţ	ţ	Does treatment with type I IFNs decrease the chronic inflammatory state in MPNs, thereby decreasing the inflammatory drive on the malignant clone? Does the anti-inflammatory potential of rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 or rIFN- $\beta$ protect against progressive COVID-19 infection due to their impact on the hyperinflammatory state and the inflammation-mediated in vivo activation of leukocytes, platelets, and endothelial cells?

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 6 of 19

Table 1. Cont.

Impact Upon	rIFN-α2	rIFN-β	Comments/Questions
Granulocytosis Monocytosis Thrombocytosis	ţ	ţ	Treatment with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 decreases granulocytosis, monocytosis, and thrombocytosis in MPNs. rIFN- $\beta$ has been shown to possess antiproliferative capabilities. Thus, the toxicity profile of rIFN- $\beta$ includes granulocytopenia, monocytopenia, and thrombocytopenia, as shown in several multiple sclerosis studies. Therefore, rIFN- $\beta$ can likely reduce granulocytosis, monocytosis, and thrombocytosis in MPNs. Does type I rIFN therapy protect against progressive COVID-19 infection due to its impact on granulocyte counts and inflammation-mediated in vivo activation of leukocytes, platelets, and endothelial cells?
Thrombosis	ţ	ţ	Does treatment with rIFN- $\alpha 2$ or rIFN- $\beta$ reduce the risk of thrombosis?
NETosis	<u> </u>	Ţ	Does treatment with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 or rIFN- $\beta$ inhibit NETosis formation?

#### 4. Mechanisms of Action of rIFN- $\alpha$ and rIFN- $\beta$

rIFN- $\alpha$  and rIFN- $\beta$  have their immunomodulatory capabilities in common, which together contribute to enhancing tumor immune surveillance and tumor killing, including the activation of several immune cells (e.g., dendritic cells, B cells, T cells, NK cells) and enhancing the expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) I molecules in tumor cells [118–126,153]. Although other mechanisms of action of rIFN- $\alpha$  and rIFN- $\beta$  are similar in several respects, they also differ from one another. Thus, the binding affinity of IFN- $\beta$  to the interferon receptor (IFNAR) is much stronger than that of IFN- $\alpha$  (50-fold for IFNAR1 and 1000-fold for IFNAR2) [133,154–156]. Furthermore, IFNAR1–IFNAR2 complex formation can be obtained by IFN- $\beta$ , but not by IFN- $\alpha$  stimulation [154]. In the context of their anti-inflammatory effects (as alluded to above), rIFN- $\beta$  has a long track record in the treatment of MS, while rIFN- $\alpha$  is used in the treatment of Mediterranean fever and Behcet's disease [157–159], these effects may be explained by type I IFN-mediated IL-10 induction and the suppression of inflammasome-dependent IL-1 production [160]. Table 2 summarizes rationales for use of rIFN- $\beta$  in MPNs, with a focus on its anticancer capabilities.

**Table 2.** Rationales for treatment with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 and rIFN- $\beta$  in patients with MPNs and COVID-19.

Biomarker	Impact	Comments
Viral Replication	†	rIFN- $\alpha 2$ and rIFN- $\beta$ are highly potent antiviral agents [108–115,130–136]
Type 1 IFN Deficiency	Ť	rIFNs restore the IFN deficiency state, thereby impairing viral replication and viral shedding [108–115]
Immune Response	†	rIFNs strongly boost virtually all immune cells (e.g., dendritic cells, B cells, T cells, NK cells), thereby impairing viral replication and viral shedding [108–115,118–126,130–136,153]
Hyperinflammation	Early Late?	Through the impairment of viral replication, rIFNs alleviate the primary trigger and driver of the cytokine storm; this holds true in the early disease stage. If administered during the cytokine storm, rIFNs may "fuel the fire" and aggravate clinical deterioration, although this issue is controversial [108–115]
Thrombosis Risk	<b>\</b> ?	rIFN- $\alpha 2$ normo- or downregulates upregulated thromboinflammatory genes, including PAD4, which mediates NETosis (to be submitted)
Clinical Improvement		
COVID-19	†	Several studies have reported clinical improvement during treatment with either rIFN- $\!\alpha 2$ or rIFN- $\!\beta$ [112–115]

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 7 of 19

Table 2. Cont.

Biomarker	Impact	Comments
Chronic Blood Cancers (ET, PV, and Myelofibrosis) (MPNs)	t	Excellent safety and efficacy profiles: rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 normalizes elevated cell counts within weeks to months, which can be explained by several mechanisms, including directly targeting the malignant stem cells (or targeting SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19) in concert with boosting of immune cells and upregulation of downregulated (inflammation-mediated?) HLA genes [22,31–54,68–102]
Hepatitis B and C	<b>†</b>	Excellent safety and efficacy profiles: For decades, rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 (rIFN- $\alpha$ 2a or rIFN- $\alpha$ 2b) has been one of the standard treatments for hepatitis B and C [108–110]
Multiple Sclerosis	<b>†</b>	Excellent safety and efficacy profiles: For decades, rIFN- $\beta$ has been one of the standard treatments for MS [104–107]

Abbreviations: IFN = interferon; ET = essential thrombocythemia; PV = polycythemia vera.

#### **5. Some Key Questions on IFN-**β

5.1. Does IFN-β Have the potential to Restore Defective Tumor Immune Surveillance in MPNs by Increasing the Frequency and Functionality of Immune Cells?

As noted above, type I IFNs exhibit strong immune-cell-enhancing capabilities, including regulation of the number and functionality of almost all immune cells (e.g., macrophages, DCs, B cells, T cells, NK cells), thereby providing a well-balanced immune response to combat cancer [118–126,153] (Table 2). Briefly, by upregulating the expression of tumor antigens, the tumor cells become more accessible targets for immune attack and, accordingly, tumor killing [161–163]. Type I IFNs activate DCs to present cancer antigens to T cells [164], which is highly important in adaptive antitumor responses [165]. Additionally, type I IFNs promote and enhance effector CD8+ T-cell cytotoxicity [166,167] and decrease regulatory T-cell function [168,169]. Importantly, type I IFNs also decrease the number of circulating myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which are typically elevated in patients with cancer [170–173], and also reduce their suppression of the activity of cytotoxic T cells [172,173]. Although the abovementioned immunomodulatory effects of type I IFNs have been repeatedly reported to be very similar, the anticancer effects of IFN-\$\beta\$ have also been reported to be stronger than those of IFN- $\alpha$ , although comparative clinical studies have never been conducted. Taking into account that IFN- $\alpha$ 2 is widely used today in the treatment of MPNs, we have the platform to set up such studies, including comparisons between IFN- $\alpha$ 2 and IFN- $\beta$  with regard to their safety, efficacy, and toxicity profiles as well as comparative immune cell studies (i.e., the dynamics of frequencies and functionality during treatment with IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$ ), gene expression studies, and studies on neutralizing IFN-α and IFN-β antibodies to obtain novel insights into the similarities and differences between the two IFN formulations and, accordingly, to open novel paths to follow for better and safer administration of these IFN formulations (see below).

#### 5.2. Does IFN-β Have the Potential to Impact the Chronic Inflammatory State in MPNs?

IFN- $\beta$  exhibits strong anti-inflammatory effects via several mechanisms. Thus, IFN- $\beta$  has been shown to alter the production of cytokines that are involved in T-cell polarization or in inflammation, including interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$  [174–178]. These early results have been substantiated in subsequent studies, which have demonstrated the following highly important findings [160]: (1) IFN- $\beta$  suppresses the activation of caspase-1 and the intracellular pool of pro-IL-1 $\beta$ , thereby blocking the secretion of IL-1 $\beta$ . (2) IFN- $\beta$  reduces the secretion of other caspase-1-dependent cytokines, such as IL-1a and IL-18, by bone-marrow-derived dendritic cells. Furthermore, IFN- $\beta$  inhibits NLRP1- and NLRP3-triggered inflammasome activity and induces IL-10 production, thereby controlling IL-1b and IL-1a precursor levels [160]. Accordingly, there are reasons to believe that the treatment of MPN patients with IFN- $\beta$  may not only have the potential to normoregulate elevated blood cell counts, but also dampen the chronic inflammatory state that accompanies MPNs and likely contributes to clonal expansion and evolution.

Cancers 2022. 14, 5495 8 of 19

#### 5.3. How Does the Chronic Inflammatory State in MPNs Impact the Efficacy of IFN-β?

Inflammatory signaling impairs cell responses to IFNs [179]. Thus, refractoriness to rIFN- $\alpha$  in melanoma patients has been shown to be associated with inflammation-mediated downregulation of IFN- $\alpha$ 2AR1 [180]. Likewise, inflammation-mediated impairment of IFN- $\alpha$  signaling is associated with unresponsiveness to rIFN- $\alpha$ 2a in hepatitis patients [181]. Importantly, the inflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and interleukin- $1\alpha$  (IL- $1\alpha$ ) stimulate IFNAR1 degradation and, accordingly, attenuate IFN- $\alpha$  signaling [179]. The MPNs are associated with increased circulating plasma levels of several inflammatory cytokines, including IL- $1\alpha$  and TNF- $\alpha$  [182]. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the chronic inflammatory state in MPNs may impair the efficacy of IFN- $\beta$ . However, the much stronger binding of IFN- $\beta$  to IFNAR1 (50-fold) and IFNAR2 (1000-fold) than that of IFN- $\alpha$ , along with the potent anti-inflammatory capacity of IFN- $\beta$ , might theoretically diminish the impact of the inflammatory cytokines on IFN2AR1 degradation.

5.4. Rationales for Combination Treatment with rIFN  $\beta$  in MPNs? Lessons from the Combination of JAK1-2 Inhibitor (Jakavi) and Pegasys in MPNs, as well as Combinations of Tamoxifen and rIFN- $\beta$  and of Tamoxifen, Retinoic Acid, and rIFN- $\beta$  in Breast Cancer

Combination Therapy of rIFN- $\beta$  and a JAK1-2 Inhibitor? Taking into account the fact that inflammation impairs IFN signaling due to inflammation-mediated degradation of the IFNAR, with ensuing refractoriness and intolerance to rIFN- $\alpha$  that can be elicited by IFN-induced, inflammation-mediated, flu-like symptoms, a combination with the potent anti-inflammatory JAK1-2 inhibitor Jakavi might alleviate refractoriness and intolerance to rIFN- $\alpha$ . A preliminary case report on the successful use of this drug combination in a female PV patient [39] has been confirmed in larger series of PV and MF patients who were refractory or intolerant to Pegasys monotherapy [45,47]. Although confirmatory studies are required [49], the rationales for such a combination therapy are strong [45]. Indeed, this combination therapy may be one of the most promising ever for the treatment of MPNs [22]. Since rIFN- $\beta$  may have stronger anticancer efficacy than rIFN- $\alpha$ , including a superior anti-inflammatory potential, it is tempting to consider whether a combination of rIFN  $\beta$  and Jakavi may be even more efficacious.

Combination of Tamoxifen and rIFN- $\beta$  in MPN? Tamoxifen, a selective estrogen receptor (ER) modulator, has been used for decades in the treatment and prevention of estrogen-positive breast cancer [183]. Recent experimental studies in mice have shown that hematopoietic stem cells and multipotent progenitor cells (MPPs) express ER- $\alpha$ . Tamoxifeninduced apoptosis has been observed in short-term HSCs and multipotent progenitors. In addition, tamoxifen altered the expression of self-renewal genes [184]. Accordingly, altogether this study convincingly showed that tamoxifen can directly regulate the proliferation and survival of hematopoietic stem cells through ER-α expressed by HSPCs [184]. Intriguingly, tamoxifen treatment blocked the development of JAK2V617F-induced myeloproliferative neoplasms in mice and induced apoptosis of human JAK2V617F+ HSPCs in a xenograft model [184]. Tamoxifen prevented the expansion of JAK2V617F+ HSPCs by restoring normal apoptosis levels [184]. Based on the above data, a multicenter trial of tamoxifen was launched in the UK. The preliminary results are encouraging, showing that tamoxifen is able to induce complete or partial responses with a substantial decline in the [AK2V617F allelic burden in a subset of patients [185]. In the context of combination therapy of tamoxifen and rIFN- $\beta$  in MPNs, it is important to note that the potential of rIFN- $\alpha$  or rIFN-β to increase estrogen receptor expression in human breast cancer cells [186–189] and the possibility of improving tamoxifen's efficacy through the addition of rIFN- $\alpha$  or rIFN- $\beta$ have been addressed in several experimental and clinical studies of breast cancer over the last 25–30 years [186–192]. Indeed, early studies in breast cancer cell lines showed IFN-β to be highly superior to IFN- $\alpha$ ; accordingly, IFN- $\beta$  was suggested for the treatment of all breast cancers, irrespective of their steroid receptor status [187]. Interestingly, at the same time, IFN-β was also reported to exhibit greater cell growth inhibition than that produced by tamoxifen alone. This additive effect was also prevalent regardless of the receptor status

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 9 of 19

of the cells [187,193]. Furthermore, during treatment with the combination of IFN-  $\beta$  and tamoxifen, the expression of several IFN- $\beta$ -inducible genes was found to be enhanced in human breast carcinoma cell lines relative to levels induced by IFN- $\beta$  alone [194]. Accordingly, the increased antitumor activity of rIFN- $\beta$  when combined with tamoxifen might also be attributed to tamoxifen-mediated enhancement of the expression of interferon-stimulated genes [194]. Since tamoxifen augmented the antiproliferative activity of IFN- $\beta$  in vitro as well as in vivo [195], it was concluded that this combination might act directly on tumor cells rather than indirectly on the immune system [194]. Importantly, the inhibition of tumor growth occurred independently of a functional ER- or estrogen-dependent tumor growth [194]. Based on the above lessons from translational research on the synergistic effects of combination therapy of tamoxifen and rIFN- $\beta$  in breast cancer, it is intriguing to consider whether such a combination therapy might enhance the anticancer efficacy of single-agent therapies with tamoxifen, rIFN- $\alpha$ , or rIFN- $\beta$  in MPNs.

Combination Therapy of Tamoxifen, Retinoic Acid, and rIFN- $\beta$  in MPN? Early studies in breast cancer showed that all-trans retinoic acid (RA), similar to tamoxifen, was able to upregulate IFN-inducible genes [196]. Similar to combination therapy of rIFN- $\beta$  and tamoxifen, the combination of rIFN- $\beta$  and RA has also been shown to exert antiproliferative effects in vitro and in vivo, while also enhancing ISGF-3 activation [196]. Notably, triple therapy with tamoxifen, RA, and rIFN- $\beta$  has been reported to exert a potentially even stronger antiproliferative effect in breast cancer [197–202] and should be pursued in patients with MPNs as well.

## 6. Combination Therapy of a DNA Hypomethylator, BCL-1 Inhibitor, and rIFN- $\alpha$ or rIFN- $\beta$ ?

We have recently proposed a combination therapy of a DNA hypomethylator + ruxolitinib and rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 for the treatment of MPN patients in the accelerated phase of MPNs [46]. The rationales for this combined approach are several. First, monotherapy with the DNA hypomethylator azacitidine (Aza) is efficacious in these patients [203], and combination therapy with ruxolitinib may further enhance the efficacy obtained by monotherapy alone [204]. Second, such a combination therapy both directly targets the malignant clone (rIFN- $\alpha$ or rIFN- $\beta$  + DNA methylator) and dampens the inflammation (ruxolitinib) that fuels the malignant clone. Third, Aza enhances the expression of retroviral proteins, which activate immune signaling through the viral defense pathway, thereby eliciting a type I IFN response and apoptosis [205]. Fourth, the type I IFN response is associated with upregulation and overexpression of hypermethylated endogenous retrovirus (ERV) genes, with ensuing activation of the IFN response [206]. Fifth, by stimulating the expression of retrovirus genes (i.e., virus mimicry), Aza may render MPN cells more immunogenic and, thus, more susceptible to attack by immune cells. Sixth, by enhancing immune cell function, rIFN- $\alpha$  or rIFN- $\beta$  may further accelerate the killing of MPN cells. Seventh, a recent study has shown that the BCL-1 inhibitor venetoclax directly activates T cells to increase their cytotoxicity against acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in vitro and in vivo [207]. Venetoclax enhanced effector T-cell function by increasing the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [207]. In addition, Aza induced a viral mimicry response in AML cells by activating the STING/cGAS pathway, thereby rendering the AML cells more susceptible to T-cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Similar findings were seen in patients treated with venetoclax, as this treatment increased ROS generation while also activating T cells [207]. Studies on BCL-1 inhibitor treatment of myelofibrosis patients are ongoing. The efficacy of BCL-1 inhibitors in MPNs can likely be attributed to similar mechanisms of action, which should be explored in future studies. Taking into account that both venetoclax and Aza activated T cells, and Aza activated the STING (Stimulator of interferon genes) pathway, it is relevant to consider whether a combination therapy of venetoclax, Aza, and a type I IFN (i.e., rIFN- $\alpha$ or rIFN-β) might further enhance the killing of MPN cells.

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 10 of 19

#### 7. Future Research Directions

Based on 30 years of experience with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 in the treatment of MPNs, showing the safety and efficacy and the recent marketing of the first rIFN-α2b formulation (Besremi) for use in the treatment of newly diagnosed PV patients, we can conclude that stem-celltargeted therapy with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 will be the cornerstone in the future treatment of MPN patients. Unfortunately, a large number of patients do not tolerate rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 or are refractory to treatment. The novel rIFN- $\alpha$ 2b Besremi seems to be less toxic and perhaps also more effective than treatment with Pegasys, which is the only alternative today. Therefore, we are in an urgent need of stem-cell-targeting drugs other than Besremi and Pegasys, whether as monotherapies or in combination with agents that target the concurrent chronic inflammatory state, which is considered to be of major importance as the driving force for clonal expansion and evolution in the biological MPN continuum from early cancer stages (i.e., ET and PV) to the advanced myelofibrosis stage. Accordingly, studies on the safety and efficacy of pegylated IFN-β (e.g., Plegridy) are urgently needed, the optimal design being a randomized pilot study between pegylated rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 (e.g., Pegasys or Besremi) and rIFN- $\beta$ , with comparisons of safety, efficacy, and toxicity profiles and concurrent molecular and immune cell studies (i.e., frequencies, distribution, and functionality) before and during treatment. Studies of the safety and efficacy of rIFN-β in patients who are refractory or intolerant to rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 might be highly important to determine whether rIFN- $\beta$  might "rescue" such patients. Studies of rIFN-β in the CHIP-JAK2V617F stage before the overt development of MPNs might also be highly relevant to assess whether rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 or rIFN- $\beta$ might reduce or potentially eradicate the malignant clone in the earliest stages of MPN development [54]. If studies of monotherapy with rIFN-β show similar or even superior safety and efficacy as compared to Besremi or Pegasys, the path is open for studies of the safety and efficacy of the combination therapies mentioned above, and possibly others as well (e.g., hydroxyurea, statins, and colchicine) [54]. Studies on the safety and efficacy of anti-CALR monoclonal antibody therapy are in the pipeline; as part of this research program, it might be tempting to conjugate with rIFN- $\alpha$ 2 or rIFN- $\beta$ . This strategy has been considered for years but has only recently been accomplished in the treatment of multiple myeloma [208,209]. Lastly, in the COVID-19 era, it is important to underscore that several studies have shown rIFN- $\beta$  to have a favorable impact on the clinical course of COVID-19. The rationales and evidence for using rIFN- $\beta$  as monotherapy or in combination with ruxolitinib have most recently been thoroughly described [114], and are summarized in Table 2.

#### 8. Conclusions and Perspectives

Despite a 30-year history as potent immunomodulatory anticancer agents, the journey of type I IFNs (i.e., IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$ ) has not yet been completed with their successful implementation as safe and efficacious agents to be used routinely in the fight against cancer. Fortunately, at last, a novel pegylated IFN (ropeginterferon- $\alpha$ 2b (Besremi) has been launched for marketing to treat patients with PV. Herein, we argue for the rationales and perspectives for initiating clinical studies on the safety and efficacy of rIFN- $\beta$  -a forgotten drug in the treatment of cancer, but hopefully soon to be revived for the treatment of patients with MPNs, in whom repeated ischemic strokes contribute significantly to morbidity and mortality. From this perspective, repurposing rIFN- $\beta$  in the treatment of MPNs is expected to open a new horizon for MPN patients, taking into account that rIFN- $\beta$  may not only be highly efficacious in controlling elevated blood cell counts, but may also play a neuroprotective role—not only against the development of Alzheimer's disease [129], but also in ischemic stroke prevention [210].

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, H.H., R.K. and M.L., methodology, H.H., V.S., L.K., M.K.L., T.A.K., M.L. and R.K., validation, not relevant; formal analysis, H.H., V.S., L.K., M.K.L., T.A.K., M.L. and R.K. investigation, H.H., V.S., L.K., M.K.L., T.A.K., M.L. and R.K. investigation, H.H., V.S., L.K., M.K.L., T.A.K., M.L. and R.K., data

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 11 of 19

curation, H.H., V.S., L.K., M.K.L., T.A.K., M.L. and R.K., writing—original draft preparation, H.H., writing—review and editing; H.H., V.S., L.K., M.K.L., T.A.K., M.L. and R.K. visualization, H.H., V.S., L.K., M.K.L., T.A.K., M.L. and R.K. supervision, not relevant; project administration, not relevant; funding acquisition, not relevant. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Hans Carl Hasselbalch is in The Advisory Board for AOP Orphan; received Research Grant from Novartis A/S. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Spivak, J.L. Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. N. Engl. J. Med. 2017, 376, 2168–2181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Enblom, A.; Lindskog, E.; Hasselbalch, H.C.; Hersby, D.; Bak, M.; Tetu, J.; Girodon, F.; Andréasson, B. High rate of abnormal blood values and vascular complications before diagnosis of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* **2015**, 26, 344–347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sørensen, A.L.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Antecedent cardiovascular disease and autoimmunity in Philadelphia-negative chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms. Leuk Res. 2016, 41, 27–35. [CrossRef]
- 4. James, C.; Ugo, V.; Le Couédic, J.-P.; Staerk, J.; Delhommeau, F.; Lacout, C.; Garçon, L.; Raslova, H.; Berger, R.; Bennaceur-Griscelli, A.; et al. A unique clonal JAK2 mutation leading to constitutive signalling causes polycythaemia vera. *Nature* **2005**, 434, 1144–1148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Kralovics, R.; Passamonti, F.; Buser, A.S.; Teo, S.S.; Tiedt, R.; Passweg, J.R.; Tichelli, A.; Cazzola, M.; Skoda, R.C. A gain-of-function mutation of JAK2 in myeloproliferative disorders. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2005**, 352, 1779–1790. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Baxter, E.J.; Scott, L.M.; Campbell, P.J.; East, C.; Fourouclas, N.; Swanton, S.; Vassiliou, G.S.; Bench, A.J.; Boyd, E.M.; Curtin, N.; et al. Acquired mutation of the tyrosine kinase JAK2 in human myeloproliferative disorders. *Lancet* **2005**, *365*, 1054–1061. [CrossRef]
- 7. Levine, R.L.; Wadleigh, M.; Cools, J.; Ebert, B.L.; Wernig, G.; Huntly, B.J.; Vassiliou, G.S.; Bench, A.J.; Boyd, E.M.; Curtin, N. Cancer Genome Project. Activating mutation in the tyrosine kinase JAK2 in polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia, and myeloid metaplasia with myelofibrosis. *Cancer Cell* 2005, 7, 387–397. [CrossRef]
- 8. Larsen, T.S.; Pallisgaard, N.; Møller, M.B.; Hasselbalch, H.C. The JAK2 V617F allele burden in essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera and primary myelofibrosis–mpact on disease phenotype. *Eur. J. Haematol.* **2007**, *79*, 508–515. [CrossRef]
- 9. Klampfl, T.; Gisslinger, H.; Harutyunyan, A.S.; Nivarthi, H.; Rumi, E.; Milosevic, J.D.; Them, N.C.; Berg, T.; Gisslinger, B.; Pietra, D.; et al. Somatic mutations of calreticulin in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2013**, *369*, 2379–2390. [CrossRef]
- 10. Nangalia, J.; Massie, C.E.; Baxter, E.J.; Nice, F.L.; Gundem, G.; Wedge, D.C.; Avezov, E.; Li, J.; Kollmann, K.; Kent, D.G. Somatic CALR mutations in myeloproliferative neoplasms with nonmutated JAK2. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2013**, *369*, 2391–2405. [CrossRef]
- 11. Cazzola, M.; Kralovics, R. From Janus kinase 2 to calreticulin: The clinically relevant genomic landscape of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood* **2014**, *123*, 3714–3719. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Skov, V. Next Generation Sequencing in MPNs. Lessons from the Past and Prospects for Use as Predictors of Prognosis and Treatment Responses. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 2194. [CrossRef]
- 13. Hermouet, S.; Vilaine, M. The JAK2 46/1 haplotype: A marker of inappropriate myelomonocytic response to cytokine stimulation, leading to increased risk of inflammation, myeloid neoplasm, and impaired defense against infection? *Haematologica* **2011**, *96*, 1575–1579. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Hasselbalch, H.C. Perspectives on chronic inflammation in essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera, and myelofibrosis: Is chronic inflammation a trigger and driver of clonal evolution and development of accelerated atherosclerosis and second cancer? *Blood* 2012, 119, 3219–3225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Hasselbalch, H.C. Chronic inflammation as a promotor of mutagenesis in essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera and myelofibrosis. A human inflammation model for cancer development? *Leuk Res.* **2013**, *37*, 214–220. [CrossRef]
- 16. Hermouet, S.; Hasselbalch, H.C.; Čokić, V. Mediators of Inflammation in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: State of the Art. *Mediat. Inflamm.* **2015**, 2015, 964613. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hermouet, S.; Bigot-Corbel, E.; Gardie, B. Pathogenesis of Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: Role and Mechanisms of Chronic Inflammation. *Mediat. Inflamm.* **2015**, 2015, 145293. [CrossRef]
- 18. Hermouet, S. Pathogenesis of myeloproliferative neoplasms: More than mutations. Exp. Hematol. 2015, 43, 993–994. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Bjørn, M.E. MPNs as Inflammatory Diseases: The Evidence, Consequences, and Perspectives. *Mediat. Inflamm.* **2015**, 2015, 102476. [CrossRef]
- Bjørn, M.E.; Hasselbalch, H.C. The Role of Reactive Oxygen Species in Myelofibrosis and Related Neoplasms. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2015, 2015, 648090. [CrossRef]
- 21. Fleischman, A.G. Inflammation as a Driver of Clonal Evolution in Myeloproliferative Neoplasm. *Mediat. Inflamm.* **2015**, 2015, 06819. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 12 of 19

22. Koschmieder, S.; Mughal, T.I.; Hasselbalch, H.C.; Barosi, G.; Valent, P.; Kiladjian, J.J.; Jeryczynski, G.; Gisslinger, H.; Jutzi, J.S.; Pahl, H.L.; et al. Myeloproliferative neoplasms and inflammation: Whether to target the malignant clone or the inflammatory process or both. *Leukemia* 2016, 30, 1018–1024. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 23. Lussana, F.; Rambaldi, A. Inflammation and myeloproliferative neoplasms. J. Autoimmun. 2017, 85, 58-63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Andersen, M.; Sajid, Z.; Pedersen, R.K.; Gudmand-Hoeyer, J.; Ellervik, C.; Skov, V.; Kjær, L.; Pallisgaard, N.; Kruse, T.A.; Thomassen, M.; et al. Mathematical modelling as a proof of concept for MPNs as a human inflammation model for cancer development. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0183620. [CrossRef]
- Craver, B.M.; El Alaoui, K.; Scherber, R.M.; Fleischman, A.G. The Critical Role of Inflammation in the Pathogenesis and Progression of Myeloid Malignancies. Cancers 2018, 10, 104. [CrossRef]
- 26. Mendez Luque, L.F.; Blackmon, A.L.; Ramanathan, G.; Fleischman, A.G. Key Role of Inflammation in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: Instigator of Disease Initiation, Progression and Symptoms. *Curr. Hematol. Malig. Rep.* **2019**, *14*, 145–153. [CrossRef]
- 27. Allegra, A.; Pioggia, G.; Tonacci, A.; Casciaro, M.; Musolino, C.; Gangemi, S. Synergic Crosstalk between Inflammation, Oxidative Stress, and Genomic Alterations in BCR-ABL-Negative Myeloproliferative Neoplasm. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 1037. [CrossRef]
- 28. Chatain, N.; Koschmieder, S.; Jost, E. Role of Inflammatory Factors during Disease Pathogenesis and Stem Cell Transplantation in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 2250. [CrossRef]
- 29. Longhitano, L.; Li Volti, G.; Giallongo, C.; Spampinato, M.; Barbagallo, I.; Di Rosa, M.; Romano, A.; Avola, R.; Tibullo, D.; Palumbo, G.A. The Role of Inflammation and Inflammasome in Myeloproliferative Disease. *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9*, 2334. [CrossRef]
- 30. Koschmieder, S.; Chatain, N. Role of inflammation in the biology of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood Rev.* **2020**, 42, 100711. [CrossRef]
- 31. Larsen, T.S.; Pallisgaard, N.; Moller, M.B.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Complete molecular remission of polycythemia vera during long-term treatment with pegylated interferon alpha-2b. *Ann. Hematol.* **2008**, *87*, 847–850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Larsen, T.S.; Møller, M.B.; de Stricker, K.; Nørgaard, P.; Samuelsson, J.; Marcher, C.; Andersen, M.T.; Bjerrum, O.W.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Minimal residual disease and normalization of the bone marrow after long-term treatment with alpha-interferon2b in polycythemia vera. A report on molecular response patterns in seven patients in sustained complete haematological remission. *Hematology* **2009**, *14*, 331–334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Hasselbalch, H.C. Myelofibrosis with myeloid metaplasia: The advanced phase of an untreated disseminated hematological cancer. Time to change our therapeutic attitude with early upfront treatment? *Leuk Res.* **2009**, *33*, 11–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Larsen, T.S.; Riley, C.H.; Jensen, M.K.; Kiladjian, J.J. Interferon-alpha in the treatment of Philadelphia-negative chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms. Status and perspectives. *Curr. Drug Targets* **2011**, *12*, 392–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Hasselbalch, H.C. A new era for IFN-α in the treatment of Philadelphia-negative chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Expert Rev. Hematol.* **2011**, *4*, 637–655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Silver, R.T.; Vandris, K.; Goldman, J.J. Recombinant interferon-α may retard progression of early primary myelofibrosis: A preliminary report. *Blood* **2011**, *117*, 6669–6672. [CrossRef]
- 37. Silver, R.T.; Kiladjian, J.J.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Interferon and the treatment of polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia and myelofibrosis. *Expert Rev. Hematol.* **2013**, *6*, 49–58. [CrossRef]
- 38. Larsen, T.S.; Iversen, K.F.; Hansen, E.; Mathiasen, A.B.; Marcher, C.; Frederiksen, M.; Larsen, H.; Helleberg, I.; Riley, C.H.; Bjerrum, O.W.; et al. Long term molecular responses in a cohort of Danish patients with essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera and myelofibrosis treated with recombinant interferon alpha. *Leuk Res.* 2013, 37, 1041–1045. [CrossRef]
- 39. Bjørn, M.E.; de Stricker, K.; Kjær, L.; Ellemann, K.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Combination therapy with interferon and JAK1-2 inhibitor is feasible: Proof of concept with rapid reduction in JAKV617F allele burden in polycythemia vera. *Leuk Res. Rep.* **2014**, *3*, 73–75. [CrossRef]
- 40. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Silver, R.T. Interferon in polycythemia vera and related neoplasms. Can it become the treatment of choice without a randomized trial? *Exp. Rev. Hematol.* **2015**, *8*, 439–445. [CrossRef]
- 41. Silver, R.T.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Optimal therapy for polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia: Preferred use of interferon therapy based on phase 2 trials. *Hematology* **2016**, 21, 387–391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Utke Rank, C.; Weis Bjerrum, O.; Larsen, T.S.; Kjær, L.; de Stricker, K.; Riley, C.H.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Minimal residual disease after long-term interferon-alpha2 treatment: A report on hematological, molecular and histomorphological response patterns in 10 patients with essential thrombocythemia and polycythemia vera. *Leuk Lymphoma* **2016**, *57*, 348–354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Kiladjian, J.J.; Giraudier, S.; Cassinat, B. Interferon-alpha for the therapy of myeloproliferative neoplasms: Targeting the malignant clone. *Leukemia* **2016**, *30*, 776–781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Bjørn, M.E.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Minimal residual disease or cure in MPNs? Rationales and perspectives on combination therapy with interferon-alpha2 and ruxolitinib. *Expert Rev. Hematol.* **2017**, *10*, 393–404. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Mikkelsen, S.U.; Kjaer, L.; Bjørn, M.E.; Knudsen, T.A.; Sørensen, A.L.; Andersen, C.B.L. Safety and efficacy of combination therapy of interferon-α2 and ruxolitinib in polycythemia vera and myelofibrosis. *Cancer Med.* **2018**, *7*, 3571–3581. [CrossRef]
- 46. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Holmström, M.O. Perspectives on interferon-alpha in the treatment of polycythemia vera and related myeloproliferative neoplasms: Minimal residual disease and cure? *Semin Immunopathol.* **2019**, *41*, 5–19. [CrossRef]
- 47. Sørensen, A.L.; Mikkelsen, S.U.; Knudsen, T.A.; Bjørn, M.E.; Andersen, C.L.; Bjerrum, O.W.; Brochmann, N.; Patel, D.A.; Gjerdrum, L.M.R.; El Fassi, D.; et al. Ruxolitinib and interferon-α2 combination therapy for patients with polycythemia vera or myelofibrosis: A phase II study. *Haematologica* 2020, 105, 2262–2272. [CrossRef]

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 13 of 19

48. Silver, R.T. Combination therapy with interferon and ruxolitinib for polycythemia vera and myelofibrosis: Are two drugs better than one? *Haematologica* **2020**, *105*, 2190–2191. [CrossRef]

- 49. How, J.; Hobbs, G. Use of Interferon Alfa in the Treatment of Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: Perspectives and Review of the Literature. *Cancers* **2020**, 12, 1954. [CrossRef]
- 50. Pedersen, R.K.; Andersen, M.; Knudsen, T.A.; Sajiid, Z.; Gudmand-Hoeyer, J.; Dam, M.J.B.; Skov, V.; Kjaer, L.; Ellervik, C.; Larsen, T.S.; et al. Data-driven analysis of JAKV617F kinetics during interferon-alpha2 treatment of patients with polycythemia vera and related neoplasms. *Cancer Med.* **2020**, *9*, 2039–2051. [CrossRef]
- 51. Abu-Zeinah, G.; Krichevsky, S.; Cruz, T.; Hoberman, G.; Jaber, D.; Savage, N.; Sosner, C.; Ritchie, E.K.; Scandura, J.M.; Silver, R.T. Interferon-alpha for treating polycythemia vera yields improved myelofibrosis-free and overall survival. *Leukemia* **2021**, *35*, 2592–2601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Abu-Zeinah, G.; Silver, R.T.; Abu-Zeinah, K.; Scandura, J.M. Normal life expectancy for polycythemia vera (PV) patients is possible. *Leukemia* **2022**, *36*, 569–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Elvers, M.; Schafer, A.I. The pathobiology of thrombosis, microvascular disease, and hemorrhage in the myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood* **2021**, *137*, 2152–2160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Silver, R.T. New Perspectives of Interferon-alpha2 and Inflammation in Treating Philadelphia-negative Chronic Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Hemasphere* **2021**, *5*, e645. [CrossRef]
- 55. Spivak, J.L.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Hydroxycarbamide: A user's guide for chronic myeloproliferative disorders. *Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther.* **2011**, *11*, 403–414. [CrossRef]
- 56. Barbui, T.; Tefferi, A.; Vannucchi, A.M.; Passamonti, F.; Silver, R.T.; Hoffman, R.; Verstovsek, S.; Mesa, R.; Kiladjian, J.J.; Hehlmann, R.; et al. Philadelphia chromosome-negative classical myeloproliferative neoplasms: Revised management recommendations from European Leukemia 2018, 32, 1057–1069. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kiladjian, J.J.; Chevret, S.; Dosquet, C.; Chomienne, C.; Rain, J.D. Treatment of polycythemia vera with hydroxyurea and pipobroman: Final results of a randomized trial initiated in 1980. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2011**, *29*, 3907–3913. [CrossRef]
- 58. Kissova, J.; Ovesna, P.; Penka, M.; Bulikova, A.; Kiss, I. Second malignancies in Philadelphia-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms- single-center experience. *Anticancer Res.* **2014**, *34*, 2489–2496.
- 59. Hansen, I.O.; Sørensen, A.L.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Second malignancies in hydroxyurea and interferon-treated Philadelphia-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Eur. J. Haematol.* **2017**, *98*, 75–84. [CrossRef]
- 60. Birgegård, G.; Folkvaljon, F.; Garmo, H.; Holmberg, L.; Besses, C.; Griesshammer, M.; Gugliotta, L.; Wu, J.; Achenbach, H.; Kiladjian, J.J.; et al. Leukemic transformation and second cancers in 3649 patients with high-risk essential thrombocythemia in the EXELS study. *Leuk Res.* 2018, 74, 105–109. [CrossRef]
- 61. Birgegård, G.; Besses, C.; Griesshammer, M.; Gugliotta, L.; Harrison, C.N.; Wu, M.H.J.; Achenbach, H.; Kiladjian, J.J. Treatment of essential thrombocythemia in Europe: A prospective long-term observational study of 3649 high-risk patients in the Evaluation of Anagrelide Efficacy and Long-term Safety study. *Haematologica* 2018, 103, 51–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Marchioli, R.; Finazzi, G.; Landolfi, R.; Kutti, J.; Gisslinger, H.; Patrono, C.; Marilus, R.; Villegas, A.; Tognoni, G.; Barbui, T. Vascular and neoplastic risk in a large cohort of patients with polycythemia vera. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2005, 23, 2224–2232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Carobbio, A.; Thiele, J.; Passamonti, F.; Rumi, E.; Ruggeri, M.; Rodeghiero, F.; Randi, M.L.; Bertozzi, I.; Vannucchi, A.M.; Antonioli, E.; et al. Risk factors for arterial and venous thrombosis in WHO-defined essential thrombocythemia: An international study of 891 patients. *Blood* **2011**, *117*, 5857–5859. [CrossRef]
- 64. Barbui, T.; Finazzi, G.; Falanga, A. Myeloproliferative neoplasms and thrombosis. Blood 2013, 122, 2176–2184. [CrossRef]
- 65. Vannucchi, A.M.; Guglielmelli, P. JAK2 mutation-related disease and thrombosis. *Semin Thromb. Hemost.* **2013**, *39*, 496–506. [PubMed]
- 66. Hultcrantz, M.; Björkholm, M.; Dickman, P.W.; Landgren, O.; Derolf, Å.R.; Kristinsson, S.Y.; Andersson, T.M.L. Risk for Arterial and Venous Thrombosis in Patients with Myeloproliferative Neoplasms: A Population-Based Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med.* **2018**, 168, 317–325. [CrossRef]
- 67. Moliterno, A.R.; Ginzburg, Y.Z.; Hoffman, R. Clinical insights into the origins of thrombosis in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood* **2021**, 137, 1145–1153. [CrossRef]
- 68. Linkesch, W.; Gisslinger, H.; Ludwig, H.; Flener, R.; Sinzinger, H. Therapy with interferon (recombinant IFN-alpha-2C) in myeloproliferative diseases with severe thrombocytosis. *Acta Med. Austriaca* **1985**, *12*, 123–127.
- 69. Ludwig, H.; Linkesch, W.; Gisslinger, H.; Fritz, E.; Sinzinger, H.; Radaszkiewicz, T.; Chott, A.; Flener, R.; Micksche, M. Interferon alfa corrects thrombocytosis in patients with myeloproliferative disorders. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* **1987**, *5*, 266–273. [CrossRef]
- 70. Silver, R.T. Recombinant interferon-alpha for treatment of polycythaemia vera. Lancet 1988, 2, 403. [CrossRef]
- 71. Gilbert, H.S. Long term treatment of myeloproliferative disease with interferon-alpha-2b: Feasibility and efficacy. *Cancer* **1998**, *83*, 1205–1213. [CrossRef]
- 72. Silver, R.T. Long-term effects of the treatment of polycythemia vera with recombinant interferon-alpha. *Cancer* **2006**, *107*, 451–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Samuelsson, J.; Hasselbalch, H.; Bruserod, O.; Temerinac, S.; Brandberg, Y.; Merup, M.; Linder, O.; Bjorkholm, M.; Pahl, H.L.; Birgegard, G. Nordic Study Group for Myeloproliferative Disorders. A phase II trial of pegylated interferon alpha-2b therapy for

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 14 of 19

polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia. Feasibility, clinical and biologic effects, and impact on quality of life. *Cancer* **2006**, *106*, 2397–2405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 74. Kiladjian, J.J.; Cassinat, B.; Turlure, P.; Cambier, N.; Roussel, M.; Bellucci, S.; Menot, M.L.; Massonnet, G.; Dutel, J.L.; Ghomari, K.; et al. High molecular response rate of polycythemia vera patients treated with pegylated interferon alpha-2a. *Blood* 2006, 108, 2037–2040. [CrossRef]
- 75. Kiladjian, J.J.; Cassinat, B.; Chevret, S.; Turlure, P.; Cambier, N.; Roussel, M.; Bellucci, S.; Grandchamp, B.; Chomienne, C.; Fenaux, P. Pegylated interferon-alfa-2a induces complete haematological and molecular responses with low toxicity in polycythemia vera. *Blood* 2008, 112, 3065–3072. [CrossRef]
- 76. Kiladjian, J.J.; Chomienne, C.; Fenaux, P. Interferon-alpha therapy in bcr-abl-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Leukemia* **2008**, 22, 1990–1998. [CrossRef]
- 77. Quintás-Cardama, A.; Kantarjian, H.; Manshouri, T.; Luthra, R.; Estrov, Z.; Pierce, S.; Richie, M.A.; Borthakur, G.; Konopleva, M.; Cortes, J.; et al. Pegylated interferon alfa-2a yields high rates of hematologic and molecular response in patients with advanced essential thrombocythemia and polycythemia vera. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2009**, *27*, 5418–5424. [CrossRef]
- 78. Kiladjian, J.J.; Mesa, R.A.; Hoffman, R. The renaissance of interferon therapy for the treatment of myeloid malignancies. *Blood* **2011**, *117*, 4706–4715. [CrossRef]
- 79. Gowin, K.; Thapaliya, P.; Samuelson, J.; Harrison, C.; Radia, D.; Andreasson, B.; Mascarenhas, J.; Rambaldi, A.; Barbui, T.; Rea, C.J.; et al. Experience with pegylated interferon α-2a in advanced myeloproliferative neoplasms in an international cohort of 118 patients. *Haematologica* **2012**, *97*, 1570–1573. [CrossRef]
- 80. Kuriakose, E.; Vandris, K.; Wang, Y.L.; Chow, W.; Jones, A.V.; Christos, P.; Cross, N.C.; Silver, R.T. Decrease in JAK2 V617F allele burden is not a prerequisite to clinical response in patients with polycythemia vera. *Haematologica* **2012**, *97*, 538–542. [CrossRef]
- 81. Stein, B.L.; Tiu, R.V. Biological rationale and clinical use of interferon in the classical BCR-ABL-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* **2013**, *33*, 145–153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Quintas-Cardama, A.; Abdel-Wahab, O.; Manshouri, T.; Kilpivaara, O.; Cortes, J.; Roupie, A.L.; Zhang, S.J.; Harris, D.; Estrov, Z.; Kantarjian, H.; et al. Molecular analysis of patients with polycythemia vera or essential thrombocythemia receiving pegylated interferon alpha-2a. *Blood* **2013**, *122*, 893–901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Huang, B.T.; Zeng, Q.C.; Zhao, W.H.; Li, B.S.; Chen, R.L. Interferon-alpha2b gains high sustained response therapy for advanced essential thrombocythemia and polycythemia vera with JAK2V617F positive mutation. *Leuk Res.* **2014**, *38*, 1177–1183. [CrossRef]
- 84. Gisslinger, H.; Zagrijtschuk, O.; Buxhofer-Ausch, V.; Thaler, J.; Schloegl, E.; Gastl, G.A.; Wolf, D.; Kralovics, R.; Gisslinger, B.; Strecker, K.; et al. Ropeginterferon alfa-2b, a novel IFNα-2b, induces high response rates with low toxicity in patients with polycythemia vera. *Blood* **2015**, *126*, 1762–1769. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Them, N.C.; Bagienski, K.; Berg, T.; Gisslinger, B.; Schalling, M.; Chen, D.; Buxhofer-Ausch, V.; Thaler, J.; Schloegl, E.; Gastl, G.A.; et al. Molecular responses and chromosomal aberrations in patients with polycythemia vera treated with peg-proline-interferonalpha-2b. *Am. J. Hematol.* **2015**, *90*, 288–294. [CrossRef]
- 86. Verger, E.; Cassinat, B.; Chauveau, A.; Dosquet, C.; Giraudier, S.; Schlageter, M.H.; Ianotto, J.C.; Yassin, M.A.; Al-Dewik, N.; Carillo, S.; et al. Clinical and molecular response to interferon-alpha therapy in essential thrombocythemia patients with CALR mutations. *Blood* **2015**, *126*, 2585–2591. [CrossRef]
- 87. King, K.Y.; Matatall, K.A.; Shen, C.C.; Goodell, M.A.; Swierczek, S.I.; Prchal, J.T. Comparative long-term effects of interferon α and hydroxyurea on human hematopoietic progenitor cells. *Exp. Hematol.* **2015**, *43*, 912–918. [CrossRef]
- 88. Kovacsovics-Bankowski, M.; Kelley, T.W.; Efimova, O.; Kim, S.J.; Wilson, A.; Swierczek, S.; Prchal, J. Changes in peripheral blood lymphocytes in polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia patients treated with pegylated-interferon alpha and correlation with JAK2V617F allelic burden. *Exp. Hematol. Oncol.* **2016**, *5*, 28. [CrossRef]
- 89. Kjær, L.; Cordua, S.; Holmstrom, M.O.; Thomassen, M.; Kruse, T.A.; Pallisgaard, N.; Larsen, T.S.; de Stricker, K.; Skov, V.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Differential Dynamics of CALR Mutant Allele Burden in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms during Interferon Alfa Treatment. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0165336. [CrossRef]
- 90. O'Neill, C.; Siddiqi, I.; Brynes, R.K.; Vergara-Lluri, M.; Moschiano, E.; O'Connell, C. Pegylated interferon for the treatment of early myelofibrosis: Correlation of serial laboratory studies with response to therapy. *Ann. Hematol.* **2016**, *95*, 733–738. [CrossRef]
- 91. Masarova, L.; Yin, C.C.; Cortes, J.E.; Konopleva, M.; Borthakur, G.; Newberry, K.J.; Kantarjian, H.M.; Bueso-Ramos, C.E.; Verstovsek, S. Histomorphological responses after therapy with pegylated interferon-alpha2a in patients with essential thrombocythemia (ET) and polycythemia vera (PV). *Exp. Hematol. Oncol.* **2017**, *6*, 30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Masarova, L.; Patel, K.P.; Newberry, K.J.; Cortes, J.E.; Borthakur, G.; Konopleva, M.; Estrov, Z.; Kantarjian, H.M.; Verstovsek, S. Pegylated interferon alfa-2a in patients with essential thrombocythemia or polycythemia vera: A post-hoc, median 83 month follow-up of an open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Haematol.* **2017**, *4*, e165–e175. [CrossRef]
- 93. Crisa, E.; Cerrano, M.; Beggiato, E.; Benevolo, G.; Lanzarone, G.; Manzini, P.M.; Borchiellini, A.; Riera, L.; Boccadoro, M.; Ferrero, D. Can pegylated interferon improve the outcome of polycythemia vera patients. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2017**, *10*, 15. [CrossRef]
- 94. Foucar, C.E.; Stein, B.L. Contemporary Use of Interferon Therapy in the Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Curr. Hematol. Mailg. Rep.* **2017**, *12*, 406–414. [CrossRef]
- 95. Gowin, K.; Jain, T.; Kosiorek, H.; Tibes, R.; Camoriano, J.; Palmer, J.; Mesa, R. Pegylated interferon alpha-2a is clinically effective and tolerable in myeloproliferative neoplasm patients treated off clinical trial. *Leuk Res.* 2017, 54, 73–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 15 of 19

96. Tashi, T.; Swierczek, S.; Kim, S.J.; Salama, M.E.; Song, J.; Heikal, N.; King, K.Y.; Hickman, K.; Litton, S.; Prchal, J.T. Pegylated interferon Alfa-2a and hydroxyurea in polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia: Differential cellular and molecular responses. *Leukemia* 2018, 32, 1830–1833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 97. Lindgren, M.; Samuelsson, J.; Nilsson, L.; Knutsen, H.; Ghanima, W.; Westin, J.; Johansson, P.L.; Andreasson, B. Genetic variation in IL28B (IFNL3) and response to interferon-alpha treatment in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Eur. J. Haematol.* **2018**, 100, 419–425. [CrossRef]
- 98. Yacoub, A.; Mascarenhas, J.; Kosiorek, H.; Prchal, J.T.; Berenzon, D.; Baer, M.R.; Ritchie, E.; Silver, R.T.; Kessler, C.; Winton, E.; et al. Pegylated interferon alfa-2a for polycythemia vera or essential thrombocythemia resistant or intolerant to hydroxyurea. *Blood* **2019**, *134*, 1498–1509. [CrossRef]
- 99. Gisslinger, H.; Klade, C.; Georgiev, P.; Krochmalczyk, D.; Gercheva-Kyuchukova, L.; Egyed, M.; Rossiev, V.; Dulicek, P.; Illes, A.; Pylypenko, H.; et al. PROUD-PV Study Group. Ropeginterferon alfa-2b versus standard therapy for polycythaemia vera (PROUD-PV and CONTINUATION-PV): A randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial and its extension study. *Lancet Haematol.* 2020, 7, e196–e208. [CrossRef]
- 100. Faille, D.; Lamrani, L.; Loyau, S.; Huisse, M.G.; Bourrienne, M.C.; Alkhaier, S.; Cassinat, B.; Boulaftali, Y.; Debus, J.; Jandrot-Perrus, M.; et al. Interferon Alpha Therapy Increases Pro-Thrombotic Biomarkers in Patients with Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 992. [CrossRef]
- 101. Barbui, T.; Vannucchi, A.M.; De Stefano, V.; Masciulli, A.; Carobbio, A.; Ferrari, A.; Ghirardi, A.; Rossi, E.; Ciceri, F.; Bonifacio, M.; et al. Ropeginterferon alfa-2b versus phlebotomy in low-risk patients with polycythaemia vera (Low-PV study): A multi-centre, randomised phase 2 trial. *Lancet Haematol.* **2021**, *8*, e175–e184, Erratum in: *Lancet Haematol.* **2021**, *8*, e170. [CrossRef]
- 102. Knudsen, T.A.; Skov, V.; Stevenson, K.; Werner, L.; Duke, W.; Laurore, C.; Gibson, C.J.; Nag, A.; Thorner, A.R.; Wollison, B.; et al. Genomic Profiling of a Randomized Trial of r-IFNα vs. HU in MPNs Reveals Mutation-Specific Patterns of Response. *Blood Adv.* **2022**, *6*, 2107–2119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Mascarenhas, J.; Kosiorek, H.; Prchal, J.T.; Rambaldi, A.; Berenzon, D.; Yacoub, A.; Harrison, C.N.; McMullin, M.F.; Vannucchi, A.M.; Ewing, J. A randomized study phase 3 trial of interferon alfa-2a vs hydroxyurea in polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia. *Blood* 2022, *139*, 2931–2941. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Noseworthy, J.H.; Lucchinetti, C.; Rodriguez, M.; Weinshenker, B.G. Multiple sclerosis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000, 343, 938–952. [CrossRef]
- 105. Rudick, R.A.; Goelz, S.E. Beta-interferon for multiple sclerosis. Exp. Cell Res. 2011, 317, 1301–1311. [CrossRef]
- 106. Loma, I.; Heyman, R. Multiple sclerosis: Pathogenesis and treatment. Curr. Neuropharmacol. 2011, 9, 409–416. [CrossRef]
- 107. Carrasco-Campos, M.I.; Pérez-Ramírez, C.; Macías-Cortés, E.; Puerta-García, E.; Sánchez-Pozo, A.; Arnal-García, C.; Barrero-Hernández, F.J.; Calleja-Hernández, M.Á.; Jiménez-Morales, A.; Cañadas-Garre, M. Pharmacogenetic Predictors of Response to Interferon Beta Therapy in Multiple Sclerosis. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2021, 58, 4716–4726. [CrossRef]
- 108. Müller, U.; Steinhoff, U.; Reis, L.F.; Hemmi, S.; Pavlovic, J.; Zinkernagel, R.M.; Aguet, M. Functional role of type I and type II interferons in antiviral defense. *Science* **1994**, 264, 1918–1921. [CrossRef]
- 109. Samuel, C.E. Antiviral actions of interferons. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2001, 14, 778–809. [CrossRef]
- 110. Lin, F.C.; Young, H.A. Interferons: Success in anti-viral immunotherapy. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2014, 25, 369–376. [CrossRef]
- 111. Hoffmann, H.H.; Schneider, W.M.; Rice, C.M. Interferons and viruses: An evolutionary arms race of molecular interactions. *Trends Immunol.* 2015, 36, 124–138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Wang, B.X.; Fish, E.N. Global virus outbreaks: Interferons as 1st responders. *Semin Immunol.* **2019**, 43, 101300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Schreiber, G. The Role of Type I Interferons in the Pathogenesis and Treatment of COVID-19. Front. Immunol. 2020, 11, 595739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Skov, V.; Kjær, L.; Ellervik, C.; Poulsen, A.; Poulsen, T.D.; Nielsen, C.H. COVID-19 as a mediator of interferon deficiency and hyperinflammation: Rationale for the use of JAK1/2 inhibitors in combination with interferon. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2021**, *60*, 28–45. [CrossRef]
- 115. Ramasamy, S.; Subbian, S. Critical Determinants of Cytokine Storm and Type I Interferon Response in COVID-19 Pathogenesis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2021**, 34, e00299-20. [CrossRef]
- 116. Qin, X.Q.; Tao, N.; Dergay, A.; Moy, P.; Fawell, S.; Davis, A.; Wilson, J.M.; Barsoum, J. Interferon-beta gene therapy inhibits tumor formation and causes regression of established tumors in immune-deficient mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1998**, 95, 14411–14416. [CrossRef]
- 117. Ryuke, Y.; Mizuno, M.; Natsume, A.; Suzuki, O.; Nobayashi, M.; Kageshita, T.; Matsumoto, K.; Saida, T.; Yoshida, J. Growth inhibition of subcutaneous mouse melanoma and induction of natural killer cells by liposome-mediated interferon-beta gene therapy. *Melanoma Res.* **2003**, *13*, 349–356. [CrossRef]
- 118. Zitvogel, L.; Galluzzi, L.; Kepp, O.; Smyth, M.J.; Kroemer, G. Type I interferons in anticancer immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2015**, 15, 405–414. [CrossRef]
- 119. Gajewski, T.F.; Corrales, L. New perspectives on type I IFNs in cancer. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2015, 26, 175–178. [CrossRef]
- 120. Bracci, L.; Sistigu, A.; Proietti, E.; Moschella, F. The added value of type I interferons to cytotoxic treatments of cancer. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2017**, *36*, 89–97. [CrossRef]

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 16 of 19

121. Muller, L.; Aigner, P.; Stoiber, D. Type I interferons and natural killer cell regulation in cancer. *Front. Immunol.* **2017**, *8*, 304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 122. Sprooten, J.; Agostinis, P.; Garg, A.D. Type I interferons and dendritic cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* **2019**, 348, 217–262. [PubMed]
- 123. Lazear, H.M.; Schoggins, J.W.; Diamond, M.S. Shared and Distinct Functions of Type I and Type III Interferons. *Immunity* **2019**, *50*, 907–923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Borden, E.C. Interferons  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in cancer: Therapeutic opportunities from new insights. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2019**, *18*, 219–234. [CrossRef]
- 125. Temizoz, B.; Ishii, K.J. Type I and II interferons toward ideal vaccine and immunotherapy. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **2021**, *28*, 527–544. [CrossRef]
- 126. Qiu, Y.; Su, M.; Liu, L.; Tang, Y.; Pan, Y.; Sun, J. Clinical Application of Cytokines in Cancer Immunotherapy. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* **2021**, *15*, 2269–2287. [CrossRef]
- 127. Grimaldi, L.M.E.; Zappala, G.; Iemolo, F.; Castellano, A.E.; Ruggieri, S.; Bruno, G.; Paolillo, A. A pilot study on the use of interferon beta-1a in early Alzheimer's disease subjects. *J. Neuroinflammation* **2014**, *11*, 30. [CrossRef]
- 128. Mudò, G.; Frinchi, M.; Nuzzo, D.; Scaduto, P.; Plescia, F.; Massenti, M.F.; Di Carlo, M.; Cannizzaro, C.; Cassata, G.; Cicero, L.; et al. Anti-inflammatory and cognitive effects of interferon-β1a (IFNβ1a) in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neuroinflammation* **2019**, *16*, 44. [CrossRef]
- 129. Hasselbalch, H.C.; Skov, V.; Kjær, L.; Sørensen, T.L.; Ellervik, C.; Wienecke, T. Myeloproliferative blood cancers as a human neuroinflammation model for development of Alzheimer's disease: Evidences and perspectives. *J. Neuroinflammation* 2020, 17, 248. [CrossRef]
- 130. Isaacs, A.; Lindenmann, J.; Virus interference, I. The interferon. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 1957, 147, 258-267.
- 131. Pestka, S.; Krause, C.D.; Walter, M.R. Interferons, interferon-like cytokines, and their receptors. *Immunol. Rev.* **2004**, 202, 8–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 132. Krause, C.D.; Pestka, S. Evolution of the class 2 cytokines and receptors, and discovery of new friends and relatives. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **2005**, *106*, 299–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Platanias, L.C. Mechanisms of type-I- and type-II-interferon mediated signalling. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2005**, *5*, 375–386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 134. deWeerd, N.A.; Samarajiwa, S.A.; Hertzog, P.J. Type I interferon receptors: Biochemistry and biological functions. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2007**, 282, 20053–20057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Pestka, S. The interferons: 50 years after their discovery, there is much more to learn. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2007**, 282, 20047–20051. [CrossRef]
- 136. Cantell, K.; Hirvonen, S.; Kauppinen, H.L.; Myllyla, G. Production of interferon in human leukocytes from normal donors with the use of Sendai virus. *Methods Enzymol.* **1981**, *78*, 29–38. [PubMed]
- 137. Mellstedt, H.; Bjorkholm, M.; Johansson, B.; Ahre, A.; Holm, G.; Strander, H. Interferon therapy in myelomatosis. *Lancet* **1979**, 313, 245–247. [CrossRef]
- 138. Talpaz, M.; Mavligit, G.; Keating, M.; Walters, R.S.; Gutterman, J.U. Human leukocyte interferon to control thrombocytosis in chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **1983**, *99*, 789–792. [CrossRef]
- 139. Sacchi, S.; Leono, P.; Liberati, M.; Riccardi, A.; Tabilio, A.; Tartoni, P.; Messora, C.; Vecchi, A.; Bensi, L.; Rupoli, S.; et al. A prospective comparison between treatment with phlebotomy alone and interferon-alpha in patients with polycythemia vera. *Ann. Hematol.* **1994**, *68*, 247–250. [CrossRef]
- 140. Merup, M.; Tornebohm-Roche, E.; Engman, K.; Paul, C. Human leucocyte interferon-alpha therapy can induce a second response in treatment of thrombocytosis in patients with neutralising antibodies to recombinant interferon-alpha2a. *Eur. J. Cancer* **1994**, 30A, 1729–1730. [CrossRef]
- 141. Stasi, R.; Brunetti, M.; Bussa, S.; Venditti, A.; Del Poeta, G.; Conforti, M.; Scimò, M.T.; Cudillo, L.; Adorno, G.; Cecconi, M.; et al. Efficacy and safety of human leucocyte interferon-alpha treatment in patients younger than 60 years of age with polycythemia vera. *J. Intern. Med.* 1997, 242, 143–147. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 142. Kujawski, L.A.; Talpaz, M. The role of interferon-alpha in the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2007**, *18*, 459–471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Guilhot, F.; Roy, L.; Saulnier, P.J.; Guilhot, J.; Barra, A.; Gombert, J.M.; Turhan, A. Immunotherapy of chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Leuk. Lymphoma* **2008**, *49*, 629–634. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Essers, M.A.G.; Offner, S.; Blanco-Bose, W.E.; Waibler, Z.; Kalinke, U.; Duchosal, M.A.; Trumpp, A. IFNa activates dormant haematopoietic stem cells in vivo. *Nature* **2009**, 458, 904–908. [CrossRef]
- 145. Trumpp, A.; Essers, M.; Wilson, A. Awakening dormant haematopoietic stem cells. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2010**, *10*, 201–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Simonsson, B.; Gedde-Dahl, T.; Markevarn, B.; Remes, K.; Stentoft, J.; Almqvist, A.; Björeman, M.; Flogegård, M.; Koskenvesa, P.; Lindblom, A.; et al. Combination of pegylated IFN-α2b with imatinib increases molecular response rates in patients with low- or intermediate-risk chronic myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **2011**, *118*, 3228–3235. [CrossRef]
- 147. Simonsson, B.; Hjorth-Hansen, H.; Bjerrum, O.W.; Porkka, K. Interferon alpha for treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia. *Curr. Drug Targets* **2011**, *12*, 420–428. [CrossRef]

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 17 of 19

148. Talpaz, M.; Mercer, J.; Hehlmann, R. The interferon-alpha revival in CML. Ann. Hematol. 2015, 94 (Suppl. 2), S195–S207. [CrossRef]

- 149. Cayssials, E.; Guilhot, F. Beyond tyrosine kinase inhibitors: Combinations and other agents. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Haematol.* **2016**, 29, 271–283. [CrossRef]
- 150. Holmström, M.O.; Hasselbalch, H.C. Cancer immune therapy for myeloid malignancies: Present and future. *Semin Immunopathol.* **2019**, *41*, 97–109. [CrossRef]
- 151. Holmström, M.O.; Hasselbalch, H.C.; Andersen, M.H. Cancer Immune Therapy for Philadelphia Chromosome-Negative Chronic Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 1763. [CrossRef]
- 152. Gresser, I.; Bourali, C. Exogenous interferon and inducers of interferon in the treatment Balb-c mice inoculated with RC19 tumour cells. *Nature* **1969**, 223, 844–845. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 153. Belardelli, F.; Ferrantini, M.; Proietti, E.; Kirkwood, J.M. Interferon-alpha in tumor immunity and immunotherapy. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2002**, *13*, 119–134. [CrossRef]
- 154. Platanias, L.C.; Uddin, S.; Domanski, P.; Colamonici, O.C. Differences in Interferon α and β Signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, 271, 23630–23633. [CrossRef]
- 155. Lavoie, T.B.; Kalie, E.; Crisafulli-Cabatu, S.; Abramovich, R.; DiGioia, G.; Moolchan, K.; Pestka, S.; Schreiber, G. Binding and activity of all human alpha interferon subtypes. *Cytokine* **2011**, *56*, 282–289. [CrossRef]
- 156. Schreiber, G. The molecular basis for differential type I interferon signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 2017, 292, 7285–7294. [CrossRef]
- 157. Weinstock-Guttman, B.; Ransohoff, R.M.; Kinkel, R.P.; Rudick, R.A. The interferons: Biological effects, mechanisms of action, and use in multiple sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* **1995**, *37*, 7–15. [CrossRef]
- 158. Kötter, I.; Günaydin, I.; Zierhut, M.; Stübiger, N. The use of interferon alpha in Behçet disease: Review of the literature. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* **2004**, *33*, 320–335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 159. Tweezer-Zaks, N.; Rabinovich, E.; Lidar, M.; Livneh, A. Interferon- $\alpha$  as a treatment modality for colchicine-resistant familial Mediterranean fever. *J. Rheumatol.* **2008**, *35*, 1362–1365.
- 160. Guarda, G.; Braun, M.; Staehli, F.; Tardivel, A.; Mattmann, C.; Förster, I.; Farlik, M.; Decker, T.; Du Pasquier, R.A.; Romero, P.; et al. Type I Interferon Inhibits Interleukin-1 Production and Inflammasome Activation. *Immunity* **2011**, *34*, 213–223. [CrossRef]
- 161. Greiner, J.W.; Hand, P.H.; Noguchi, P.; Fisher, P.B.; Pestka, S.; Schlom, J. Enhanced expression of surface tumor-associated antigens on human breast and colon tumor cells after recombinant human leukocyte alpha-interferon treatment. *Cancer Res.* **1984**, *44*, 3208–3214. [PubMed]
- 162. Propper, D.J.; Chao, D.; Braybrooke, J.P.; Bahl, P.; Thavasu, P.; Balkwill, F.; Turley, H.; Dobbs, N.; Gatter, K.; Talbot, D.C.; et al. Low-dose IFN-γ induces tumor MHC expression in metastatic malignant melanoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2003**, *9*, 84–92. [PubMed]
- 163. Parker, B.S.; Rautela, J.; Hertzog, P.J. Antitumour actions of interferons: Implications for cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* **2016**, *16*, 131–144. [CrossRef]
- 164. Schiavoni, G.; Mattei, F.; Gabriele, L. Type I interferons as stimulators of DC-mediated crosspriming: Impact on anti-tumor response. *Front. Immunol.* **2013**, *4*, 483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Joffre, O.P.; Segura, E.; Savina, A.; Amigorena, S. Cross-presentation by dendritic cells. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2012**, 12, 557–569. [CrossRef]
- 166. Curtsinger, J.M.; Mescher, M.F. Inflammatory cytokines as a third signal for T cell activation. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **2010**, 22, 333–340. [CrossRef]
- 167. Fuertes, M.B.; Kacha, A.K.; Kline, J.; Woo, S.-R.; Kranz, D.M.; Murphy, K.M.; Gajewski, T.F. Host type I IFN signals are required for antitumor CD8+ T cell responses through CD8{alpha}+ dendritic cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **2011**, 208, 2005–2016. [CrossRef]
- 168. Pace, L.; Vitale, S.; Dettori, B.; Palombi, C.; La Sorsa, V.; Belardelli, F.; Proietti, E.; Doria, G. APC activation by IFN-alpha decreases regulatory T cell and enhances Th cell functions. *J. Immunol.* **2010**, *184*, 5969–5979. [CrossRef]
- 169. Srivastava, S.; Koch, M.A.; Pepper, M.; Campbell, D.J. Type I interferons directly inhibit regulatory T cells to allow optimal antiviral T cell responses during acute LCMV infection. *J. Exp. Med.* **2014**, *211*, 961–974. [CrossRef]
- 170. Khaled, Y.S.; Ammori, B.J.; Elkord, E. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer: Recent progress and prospects. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **2013**, *91*, 493–502. [CrossRef]
- 171. De Sanctis, F.; Solito, S.; Ugel, S.; Molon, B.; Bronte, V.; Marigo, I. MDSCs in cancer: Conceiving new prognostic and therapeutic targets. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2016**, *1865*, 35–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 172. Chen, J.; Ye, Y.; Liu, P.; Yu, W.; Wei, F.; Li, H.; Yu, J. Suppression of T cells by myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer. *Hum. Immunol.* 2017, 78, 113–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 173. Gao, X.; Sui, H.; Zhao, S.; Gao, X.; Su, Y.; Qu, P. Immunotherapy Targeting Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells (MDSCs) in Tumor Microenvironment. *Front Immunol.* **2021**, *11*, 585214. [CrossRef]
- 174. Huang, Y.; Blatt, L.M.; Taylor, M.W. Type 1 interferon as an anti-inflammatory agent: Inhibition of lipopolysaccharide-induced interleukin-1 beta and induction of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* 1995, 15, 317–321. [CrossRef]
- 175. Coclet-Ninin, J.; Dayer, J.M.; Burger, D. Interferon-beta not only inhibits interleukin-1beta and tumor necrosis factor-alpha but stimulates interleukin-1 receptor antagonist production in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Eur. Cytokine Netw.* **1997**, *8*, 345–349.
- 176. Zang, Y.C.; Skinner, S.M.; Robinson, R.R.; Li, S.; Rivera, V.M.; Hutton, G.J.; Zhang, J.Z. Regulation of differentiation and functional properties of monocytes and monocyte-derived dendritic cells by interferon beta in multiple sclerosis. *Mult. Scler.* **2004**, *10*, 499–506. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 18 of 19

- 177. Billiau, A. Anti-inflammatory properties of Type I interferons. Antiviral Res. 2006, 71, 108–116. [CrossRef]
- 178. Masters, S.L.; Mielke, L.A.; Cornish, A.L.; Sutton, C.E.; O'Donnell, J.; Cengia, L.H.; Roberts, A.W.; Wicks, I.P.; Mills, K.H.; Croker, B.A. Regulation of interleukin-1beta by interferon-gamma is species specific limited by suppressor of cytokine signalling 1 and influences interleukin-17 production. *EMBO Rep.* **2010**, *11*, 640–646. [CrossRef]
- 179. HuangFu, W.-C.; Qian, J.; Liu, C.; Liu, J.; Lokshin, A.E.; Baker, D.P.; Rui, H.; Fuchs, S.Y. Inflammatory signaling compromises cell responses to interferon. *Oncogene* **2012**, *31*, 161–172. [CrossRef]
- 180. Messina, J.L.; Yu, H.; Riker, A.I.; Munster, P.N.; Jove, R.I.; Daud, A.I. Activated STAT-3 in melanoma. *Cancer Control* **2008**, 15, 196–201. [CrossRef]
- 181. Bona, D.D.; Cippitelli, M.; Fionda, C.; Camma, C.; Licata, A.; Santoni, A.; Craxi, A. Oxidative stress inhibits IFN-α-induced antiviral gene expression by blocking the JAK-STAT pathway. *J. Hepatol.* **2006**, *45*, 271–279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Hasselbalch, H.C. The role of cytokines in the initiation and progression of myelofibrosis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2013**, 24, 133–145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 183. Sanchez-Aguilera, A.; Arranz, L.; Martin-Perez, D.; García-García, A.; Stavropoulou, V.; Kubovcakova, L.; Isern, J.; Martín-Salamanca, S.; Langa, X.; Skoda, R.C.; et al. Estrogen Signaling Selectively Induces Apoptosis of Hematopoietic Progenitors and Myeloid Neoplasms without Harming Steady-State Hematopoiesis. *Cell Stem Cell* 2014, 15, 791–804. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 184. Osborne, C.K. Tamoxifen in the treatment of breast cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 1998, 339, 1609–1618. [CrossRef]
- 185. Harrison, C.; Baxter, J.E.; Boucher, R.H.; McKerrell, T.; Jackson, A.; Fletcher, R.S.; Mead, A.J.; Knapper, S.; Ewing, J.; Butt, N.M.; et al. Effects of Tamoxifen on the Mutant Allele Burden and Disease Course in Patients with Myeloproliferative Neoplasms—Results of the Tamarin Study. In Proceedings of the 62th ASH Annual Meeting and Exposition 2020, San Diego, CA, USA, 5–8 December 2020.
- 186. Sica, G.; Natoli, V.; Stella, C.; Del Bianco, S. Effect of natural beta-interferon on cell proliferation and steroid receptor level in human breast cancer cells. *Cancer* **1987**, *60*, 2419–2423. [CrossRef]
- 187. Coradini, D.; Biffi, A.; Pirronello, E.; Di Fronzo, G. The effect of alpha-, beta- and gamma-interferon on the growth of breast cancer cell lines. *Anticancer Res.* **1994**, *14*, 1779–1784.
- 188. van den Berg, H.W.; Leahey, W.J.; Lynch, M.; Clarke, R.; Nelson, J. Recombinant human interferon alpha increases oestrogen receptor expression in human breast cancer cells (ZR-75-1) and sensitizes them to the anti-proliferative effects of tamoxifen. *Br. J. Cancer* 1987, 55, 255–257. [CrossRef]
- 189. Sica, G.; Angelucci, C.; Iacopino, F.; Lama, G.; Della Cuna, G.R.; Serlupi-Crescenzi, O.; Donini, S. Effect of natural beta-interferon on estrogen receptor mRNA of breast cancer cells. *Anticancer Res.* **1992**, *12*, 2061–2064.
- 190. Buzzi, F.; Brugia, M.; Rossi, G.; Giustini, L.; Scoponi, C.; Sica, G. Combination of beta-interferon and tamoxifen as a new way to overcome clinical resistance to tamoxifen in advanced breast cancer. *Anticancer Res.* **1992**, *12*, 869–871.
- 191. Buzzi, E.; Brugia, M.; Trippa, F.; Rossi, G.; Trivisonne, R.; Giustini, L.; Pinaglia, D.; Capparella, V.; Sica, G. Natural interferon-beta and tamoxifen in hormone-resistant patients with advanced breast cancer. *Anticancer Res.* **1995**, *15*, 2187–2190.
- 192. Repetto, L.; Giannessi, P.G.; Campora, E.; Pronzato, P.; Vigani, A.; Naso, C.; Spinelli, I.; Conte, P.F.; Rosso, R. Tamoxifen and interferon-beta for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **1996**, *39*, 235–238. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 193. Coradini, D.; Biffi, A.; Pirronello, E.; Di Fronzo, G. Tamoxifen and beta-interferon: Effect of simultaneous or sequential treatment on breast cancer cell lines. *Anticancer Res.* **1995**, *15*, 315–319. [PubMed]
- 194. Lindner, D.J.; Kolla, V.; Kalvakolanu, D.V.; Borden, E.C. Tamoxifen enhances interferon-regulated gene expression in breast cancer cells. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 1997, 167, 169–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Gibson, D.F.C.; Johnson, D.A.; Goldstein, D.; Langan-Fahey, S.M.; Borden, E.C.; Jordan, V.C. Human recombinant IFN-beta ser and Tamoxifen: Growth suppressive effects for the human breast carcinoma MCF-7 grown in the athymic mouse. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat* 1993, 25, 141–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Kolla, V.; Lindner, D.J.; Weihua, X.; Borden, E.C.; Kalvakolanu, D.V. Modulation of interferon inducible gene expression by retinoic acid: Upregulation of stat1 protein in IFN unresponsive cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, 271, 10508–10514. [CrossRef]
- 197. Recchia, F.; Sica, G.; de Filippis, S.; Discepoli, S.; Rea, S.; Torchio, P.; Frati, L. Interferon-beta, retinoids, and tamoxifen in the treatment of metastatic breast cancer: A phase II study. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* **1995**, *15*, 605–610. [CrossRef]
- 198. Recchia, F.; Rea, S.; Pompili, P.; Casucci, D.; Rea, M.J.; Rizzo, F.; Gulino, A.; Frati, L. Beta-interferon, retinoids and tamoxifen as maintenance therapy in metastatic breast cancer. A pilot study. *Clin Ter.* **1995**, *146*, 603–610.
- 199. Recchia, F.; Rea, S.; De Filippis, S.; Rosselli, M.; Corrao, G.; Gulino, A.; Sica, G. Beta-interferon, retinoids and tamoxifen combination in advanced breast cancer. *Clin Ter.* **1998**, *149*, 203–208.
- 200. Recchia, F.; Frati, L.; Rea, S.; Torchio, P.; Sica, G. Minimal residual disease in metastatic breast cancer: Treatment with IFN-beta, retinoids, and tamoxifen. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* **1998**, *18*, 41–47. [CrossRef]
- 201. Chiesa, M.D. Tamoxifen versus tamoxifen plus 13-*cis*-retinoic acid versus tamoxifen plus interferon alpha-2a as first-line endocrine treatments in advanced breast cancer: Updated results of a phase II, prospective, randomised, multicentre trial. *Acta Biomed.* **2007**, 78, 204–209.
- 202. Recchia, F.; Sica, G.; Candeloro, G.; Necozione, S.; Bisegna, R.; Bratta, M.; Rea, S. Beta-interferon, retinoids and tamoxifen in metastatic breast cancer: Long-term follow-up of a phase II study. *Oncol Rep.* 2009, 21, 1011–1016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 203. Thepot, S.; Itzykson, R.; Seegers, V.; Raffoux, E.; Quesnel, B.; Chait, Y.; Sorin, L.; Dreyfus, F.; Cluzeau, T.; Delaunay, J.; et al. Treatment of progression of Philadelphia-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms to myelodysplastic syndrome or acute myeloid

Cancers 2022, 14, 5495 19 of 19

- leukemia by azacitidine: A report on 54 cases on the behalf of the Groupe Francophone des Myelodysplasies (GFM). *Blood* **2010**, 116, 3735–3742. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 204. Assi, R.; Kantarjian, H.M.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Cortes, J.E.; Pemmaraju, N.; Wang, X.; Nogueras-Gonzalez, G.; Jabbour, E.; Bose, P.; Kadia, T.; et al. A phase II trial of ruxolitinib in combination with azacytidine in myelodysplastic syndrome/myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Am. J. Hematol.* 2018, 93, 277–285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 205. Chiappinelli, K.B.; Strissel, P.L.; Desrichard, A.; Li, H.; Henke, C.; Akman, B.; Hein, A.; Rote, N.S.; Cope, L.M.; Snyder, A.; et al. Inhibiting DNA methylation causes an interferon response in cancer via dsRNA including endogenous retroviruses. *Cell* 2015, 162, 974–986. [CrossRef]
- 206. Roulois, D.; Loo Yau, H.; Singhania, R.; Wang, Y.; Danesh, A.; Shen, S.Y.; Han, H.; Liang, G.; Jones, P.A.; Pugh, T.J.; et al. DNA-demethylating agents target colorectal cancer cells by inducing viral mimicry by endogenous transcripts. *Cell* **2015**, *162*, 961–973. [CrossRef]
- 207. Lee, J.B.; Khan, D.H.; Hurren, R.; Xu, M.; Na, Y.; Kang, H.; Mirali, S.; Wang, X.; Gronda, M.; Jitkova, Y.; et al. Venetoclax enhances T cell-mediated antileukemic activity by increasing ROS production. *Blood* **2021**, *138*, 234–245. [CrossRef]
- 208. Bruins, W.S.C.; Zweegman, S.; Mutis, T.; van de Donk, N.W.C.J. Targeted Therapy with Immunoconjugates for Multiple Myeloma. *Front Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 1155. [CrossRef]
- 209. Swan, D.; Routledge, D.; Harrison, S. The evolving status of immunotherapies in multiple myeloma: The future role of bispecific antibodies. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2022**, *196*, 488–506. [CrossRef]
- 210. Wanve, M.; Kaur, H.; Sarmah, D.; Saraf, J.; Pravalika, K.; Vats, K.; Kalia, K.; Borah, A.; Yavagal, D.R.; Dave, K.R.; et al. Therapeutic spectrum of interferon-β in ischemic stroke. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2019**, *97*, 116–127. [CrossRef]