Clinical relevance and practical value of platelet function assessment using multiple electrode aggregometry during extracorporeal circulation

Miličić, Davor; Subašić, Ante; Rotim, Cecilija; White, Alexandra; Zrno Mihaljević, Martina; Boban, Marko; Biočina, Bojan; Petričević, Mate

Source / Izvornik: The Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeon, 2015, 63, 351 - 352

Journal article, Accepted version Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1547350

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:444141

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-03-14



Repository / Repozitorij:

Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine Digital Repository







Središnja medicinska knjižnica

Petričević M., Biočina B., Boban M., Zrno Mihaljević M., White A., Rotim C., Subašić A., Miličić Davor. (2015) *Clinical relevance and practical value of platelet function assessment using multiple electrode aggregometry during extracorporeal circulation.* The Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeon, 63 (4). pp. 351-2. ISSN 0171-6425

https://www.thieme-connect.de/products/ejournals/journal/10.1055/s-00000085

http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1547350

http://medlib.mef.hr/2768

University of Zagreb Medical School Repository http://medlib.mef.hr/

"Clinical Relevance and Practical Value of Platelet Function Assessment Using Multiple Electrode Aggregometry During Extracorporeal Circulation "

Mate Petricevic, M.D.^a, Ph.D, Bojan Biocina, M.D., PhD^a, Marko Boban, M.D.,Ph.D^b, Martina Zrno Mihaljevic, M.D.^a, Alexandra White, M.D.^a, Cecilija Rotim BsC^c, Ante Subasic, M.D.^c Davor Milicic MD., Ph.D.^d

^a University of Zagreb School of Medicine, Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

^b Department of Cardiology, University Hospital "Thalassotherapia Opatija", Medical School University of Rijeka and Osijek, Opatija, Croatia

^c University Hospital Center "Sestre Milosrdnice", Zagreb, Croatia

^d University of Zagreb School of Medicine, Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

^d Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Correspondence:

Mate Petricevic , M.D., Ph.D. Department of Cardiac Surgery University Hospital Center Zagreb Kispaticeva 12, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia Tel: +38512367529 , Fax: +38152367531 E-mail: petricevic.mate@gmail.com

Keywords: cardiac surgery; platelet function; hemorrhage; transfusion; cardiopulmonary bypass

We read with great interest the recently published study by Mutlak et al [1]. The authors conducted prospective observational study with aim to assess capability of the multiple electrode aggregomatry (MEA) to reflect the extent of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) associated platelet dysfunction [1]. Study cohort consisted of patients that underwent either hypothermic or normothermic CPB. Adenosine di-phosphate test induced platelet aggregation (ADP test) was considered as a primary endpoint. Put briefly, platelet function as assessed using MEA ADP test reflected the time-dependent extent of CPB-induced platelet dysfunction [1]. Study by Mutlak et al [1] certainly adds to the current knowledge; however some methodological considerations should inevitably be addressed.

In cardiac surgery patients, disturbances in platelet function predominantly root from either preoperative antiplatelet drugs administration or are acquired during CPB pump run. In our opinion, clear distinction between these two predominant causes of platelet dysfunction should be made, as holds great practical value.

This study [1] is of great value, however confirms findings that are well known so far[1]. Such studies should be focused towards the gaps in our knowledge pertaining to this specific issue. First of all, the relationship between platelet dysfunction and bleeding outcomes/transfusion requirements should be validated in prospective multicentric trials [2]. Our working group has recently published studies evaluating predictability of bleeding complications by using MEA both preoperatively [3] and intraoperatively [4]. Even though we reported positive results with calculated receiver operating curve cut-off values, the fact is that the literature reveals controversial data on predictability of bleeding complications using platelet function testing [5-7]. Therefore, further efforts to elucidate this field are warranted.

Despite emerging evidence on a) widespread variability in platelet inhibitory response to antiplatelet therapy and b) the effects of CPB and hypothermia on platelet function, the fact is that use of numerous platelet function testing devices in heterogeneously designed studies hamper pooling of the evidence which in turn results in the lack of consensus on platelet reactivity level that would be associated with bleeding events in different time points pertaining to the cardiac surgery procedures. In their study [1], authors considered patients as eligible if their ex vivo arachidonic acid and ADP-induced platelet aggregation were within normal reference values after the induction of anesthesia [1]. Preoperative administration of antiplatelet drugs was considered as exclusion criterion [1]. We understand that authors wanted to exclude the possible underlying effects of preoperatively administered antiplatelet drugs in order to elucidate more precisely the role of CPB itself on platelet function. However, in the real-life, there is growing number of patients that are scheduled for complex procedures with antiplatelet drugs being administered for some reason in close proximity to surgery. We need answers how to manage these patients at particular risk for excessive bleeding. In our opinion, the influence of preexisting antiplatelet therapy on platelet function should be weighed against the influence of CPB on platelet function. Also, it should be elucidated whether the underlying platelet dysfunction caused by preoperatively administered antiplatelet drugs influences dynamics of platelet function changes during CPB? Authors should consider possibility to conduct a new "historically controlled" study where the findings obtained on patients exposed preoperatively to antiplatelet drugs would be compared to findings obtained in the present study [1]. The study by Mutlak et al [1] certainly adds to the current knowledge, however, further research on this field is warranted in order to provide further refinements in hemostatic management of cardiac surgery patients. So far, valuable algorithm provided by Weber et al [8], has shown that hemostatic therapy based on point-of-care testing may reduce patient exposure to allogeneic blood products and provide

significant benefits with respect to clinical outcomes[8]. Nowadays, evolution of hemostatic management warrants further refinements in hemostatic management. There are several areas of gaps in our knowledge related to hemostatic management that should be addressed in upcoming studies:

1) The role of antiplatelet drugs is not clearly understood. Current guidelines on preoperative antiplatelet administration/discontinuation management rely on "one-size-fits-all" strategy despite emerging evidence on huge individual variability in platelet inhibitory response to antiplatelet drugs. Using drug specific platelet function tests, the exact cut-off values that increase odds for bleeding complications should be defined and antiplatelet drug administration/discontinuation management should be adjusted accordingly. The impact of preoperatively administered antiplatelet drugs on bleeding complications should not be underestimated [9,10]. Huge variability in individual platelet inhibitory response to antiplatelet drugs deserves attention and clear distinction between patients who have pronounced platelet inhibition from those who have high degree of residual platelet reactivity should be made and preoperative administration/discontinuation management should be adjusted accordingly. That would be a significant shift towards personalized management in patient care for which we may assume that would probably result in more favorable clinical outcomes.

2) Next generation of hemostatic algorithms should be developed. These should encompass preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative time points with respective measures. Preoperative part should pertain to risk stratification and measures to prevent bleeding risk (i.e. personalized preoperative antiplatelet therapy management). Intraoperative part should, as concept, remain very similar to present one published by Weber et al[8], albeit some refinements are required, particularly in defining triggers for transfusion administration. Cut-off values that delineate

bleeding tendency and that are validated in studies recruiting the population of particular interest (ie. Cardiac surgery patients) should be used in transfusion management rather than "normal range" values. Apart from the fact that "normal range" values are usually derived from healthy volunteers, the fact is that cut-of values defined by ROC analysis [11] are by statistical chances more precisely related to some endpoints with the best sensitivity/specificity ratio comparing to values expressed as range. If defined in prospective studies and applied to similar patients, cut-of values may the most reliably direct transfusion practice and are easy to follow in clinical practice since decision making is based on binary decisions (Simplified decision making: i.e. below/above cut-of value – do/do not transfuse).

Finally, we congratulate authors on timely and elegant study. Moreover, we call for prospective multicentric study that would be conducted by centers with expertise in this particular field. The aim of such a study would be to re-visit the hemostatic management algorithms and provide new concepts consisted of bundle of hemostatic strategies encompassing measures in pre-, intra- and postoperative period.

REFERENCES

1. Mutlak H, Reyher C, Meybohm P et al. Multiple Electrode Aggregometry for the Assessment of Acquired Platelet Dysfunctions during Extracorporeal Circulation. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014: DOI 10.1055/s-0034-1383817.

2. Petricevic M, Biocina B, Milicic D et al. Multiple electrode aggregometry and prediction of bleeding and transfusion outcomes in adult cardiac surgery patients: methodological challenges and opportunities for future. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013 Dec;61(8):744-5. DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1353533.

3. Petricevic M, Biocina B, Milicic D et al. Bleeding risk assessment using multiple electrode aggregometry in patients following coronary artery bypass surgery. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2013; 35: 31-40. DOI: 10.1007/s11239-012-0798-3

4. Petricevic M, Biocina B, Milicic D et al. Bleeding risk assessment using whole blood impedance aggregometry and rotational thromboelastometry in patients following cardiac surgery. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2013 Nov; 36(4):514-26. DOI: 10.1007/s11239-013-0868-1

5. Schimmer C, Hamouda K, Sommer SP et al. The Predictive Value of Multiple Electrode
Platelet Aggregometry (Multiplate) in Adult Cardiac Surgery. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013 Dec;
61(8):733-43. DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1333659

6. Petricevic M, Kopjar T, Biocina B et al. The Predictive Value of Platelet Function Point-of-Care Tests for Postoperative Blood Loss and Transfusion in Routine Cardiac Surgery: A Systematic Review. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014; DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1378191

7. Wahba A, Sander S, Birnbaum DE. Are in-vitro platelet function tests useful in predicting blood loss following open heart surgery? Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1998; 46: 228-231 DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-1010230

 Weber CF, Goerlinger K, Meininger D, et al. Point-of-care testing: a prospective, randomized clinical trial of efficacy in coagulopathic cardiac surgery patients. Anesthesiology 2012;117(3):531-547.

 Drews S, Bolliger D, Kaiser C et al. Prasugrel Increases the Need for Platelet Transfusions and Surgical Reexploration Rates Compared with Clopidogrel in Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery.
 Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014: DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1373901

10. Al-Lawati AA, Muthuswamy V. Continuing Aspirin Causes Higher Drainage Even under
Full Protection with Antifibrinolytics. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013 Dec; 61(8):726-30. DOI:
10.1055/s-0032-1328927.