

Genomic damage in children accidentally exposed to ionizing radiation: a review of the literature

Fučić, Aleksandra; Brunborg, Gunnar; Lasan, Ružica; Ježek, Davor;
Knudsen, Lisbeth E.; Merlo, Domenico Franco

Source / Izvornik: **Mutation Research. Reviews in Mutation Research, 2008, 658, 111 - 123**

Journal article, Accepted version

Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2007.11.003>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:341131>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-05-10**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine
Digital Repository](#)





Središnja medicinska knjižnica

Fučić, A., Brunborg, G., Lasan, R., Ježek, D., Knudsen, L. E., Merlo, D. F.
(2008) *Genomic damage in children accidentally exposed to ionizing radiation: a review of the literature*. Mutation Research. Reviews in Mutation Research, 658 (1-2). pp. 111-123.

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/issn/1383-5742>

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/13835742>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2007.11.003>

<http://medlib.mef.hr/364>

University of Zagreb Medical School Repository

<http://medlib.mef.hr/>

Genomic damage in children accidentally exposed to ionizing radiation: a review of the literature.

Fucic, A.¹, Brunborg, G.², Lasan, R.³, Jezek, D.⁴, Knudsen, L.E.⁵, Merlo, D.F.⁶.

¹Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health, Zagreb, Croatia

²Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

³Clinical Hospital Rebro, Zagreb, Croatia

⁴School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

⁵Institute for Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

⁶Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Dept. of Epidemiology and Prevention, National Cancer Research Institute, Genoa, Italy

Correspondence: A. Fucic

E mail: afucic@imi.hr

Tel +38514673188

Fax +38514673303

24 **Layout**

25 **Abstract**2

26 **Introduction**3

27 **Materials and methods**5

28 **Results**6

29 **Exposure to ionizing radiation from natural sources**6

30 **Exposure to high-dose ionizing radiation**8

31 The Chernobyl nuclear accident.8

32 Hiroshima and Nagasaki14

33 Nuclear plants15

34 Nuclear weapons fallouts, and nuclear accidents.....15

35 Contaminated building materials17

36 **Conclusions**17

37 **Acknowledgements**.....20

38 References20

39

40

41 **Abstract**

42 During the last decade, our knowledge of the mechanisms by which children respond to exposures
43 to physical and chemical agents present in the environment, has significantly increased. Results of
44 recent projects and programmes focused on children's health underline a specific vulnerability of
45 children to environmental genotoxicants. Environmental research on children predominantly
46 investigates the health effects of air pollution while effects from radiation exposure deserve more
47 attention. The main sources of knowledge on genome damage of children exposed to radiation are
48 studies performed after the Chernobyl nuclear plant accident in 1986. The present review presents
49 and discusses data collected from papers analysing genome damage in children environmentally
50 exposed to ionizing radiation. Overall, the evidence from the studies conducted following the
51 Chernobyl accident, nuclear tests, environmental radiation pollution and indoor accidental
52 contamination reveals consistently increased chromosome aberration and micronuclei frequency in
53 exposed than in referent children.

54 Future research in this area should be focused on studies providing information on: (a) Effects on
55 children caused by low doses of radiation; (b) effects on children from combined exposure to low
56 doses of radiation and chemical agents from food, water and air; and (c) specific effects from
57 exposure during early childhood (radioisotopes from water, radon in homes). Special consideration
58 should also be given to a possible impact of a radiochemical environment to the development of an
59 adaptive response for genomic damage. Interactive databases should be developed to provide
60 integration of cytogenetic data, childhood cancer registry data and information on environmental
61 contamination. The overall aim is to introduce timely and efficient preventive measures, by means
62 of a better knowledge of the early and delayed health effects in children resulting from radiation
63 exposure.

64

65 **Key words:** child, ionizing radiation, environment, chromosome aberration assay, micronucleus
66 assay, Chernobyl

67 **Introduction**

68 The cancer incidence in children has increased during the last few decades in different parts of the
69 world [1, 2]. The present occurrence per year is a 1% average annual increase in incidence ($p <$
70 0.0001) has been estimated from the European cancer incidence database, including some 110,000
71 childhood cancer cases from 63 population based registries [1]. Although this increase may partly
72 reflect better diagnostics, its aetiology is probably also associated with parental, intrauterine and
73 postnatal exposure to xenobiotics including low LET ionizing radiation (e.g., X-rays and γ -rays).
74 [3]

75 Indeed, the occurrence of site specific cancer in children is different than in adults, suggesting that
76 childhood cancers reflect foetal development and exposure [4,5].

77 Children live in complex radiochemical environments and share all types of exposures with their
78 parents [Figure 1]. Over the last few decades genotoxicological population studies have mostly
79 focused on occupational exposures. Exceptions were cases of accidental exposure of the general
80 population, including children. Recently, genotoxicologists have paid increasing interest in studies
81 of children addressing the issue of whether they are more susceptible to environmental exposures to
82 physical and chemical agents than adults [6, 7,8].

83 Based on the available evidence of quantitative health risks associated with radiation exposure,
84 public dose limits of exposure from mining or nuclear plants are currently set at 1mSv/yr above
85 background [9], but still there is no specific legislation concerning children, although such exists for
86 occupational exposure during pregnancy [10].

87 Except for a few studies of children after accidental overexposures, available data on the
88 consequences of radiation exposure in children are mostly limited to the monitoring of young
89 victims following April 26, 1986 when the world's worst nuclear power accident occurred at
90 Chernobyl in the former USSR (now Ukraine). The Chernobyl nuclear disaster affected a vast area
91 of Europe and may still contribute to genome damage in large areas of Ukraine and Belarus due to
92 the environmental persistence of some radionuclides [11].). Information on genome damage caused

93 by radiation from this and also other nuclear accidents in the former Soviet Union is, however, only
94 partially available to the scientific community, because scientists of the former USSR still publish
95 in national rather than international journals.

96 Sixty years ago in Hiroshima and Nagasaki mankind witnessed the first nuclear weapon attack
97 which for the first time in history had transgenerational consequences. The difference in the type of
98 exposure in Hiroshima and Nagasaki versus Chernobyl is that while the nuclear bombing resulted in
99 massive exposure to mixed gamma/neutron radiation, the Chernobyl accident caused an acute
100 exposure, followed by a long-term internal exposure mostly to low doses of gamma radiation [12].

101 Differences in the type of exposure are reflected in the difference of cancer incidence between these
102 two nuclear disasters. After the Hiroshima and Nagasaki detonation, ionizing radiation-induced
103 leukaemia occurred in children 5 to 6 years later, while in adulthood the breast cancer incidence
104 increased in women who were exposed before puberty [13]. Recent epidemiological reports from
105 Ukraine and Belarus confirm an increased number of cases of thyroid cancer in children, but not
106 leukaemia [12]. Thyroid cancer patients aged 15 or younger lived in the most contaminated regions
107 (the Provinces of Kiev, Chernigov, Zhitomir, Cherkassy, Rovno, and the city of Kiev). The highest
108 reported incidence was in children who were exposed at the age of five years or younger. [14].

109 However, because of the lack of proper cancer registries in Ukraine and Belarus, and the large
110 number of people evacuated from the polluted areas that could not be traced through any kind of
111 demographic records, these findings are considered to be of limited significance [15]. Moreover, in
112 some regions of Ukraine and Belarus long-term exposure was accompanied by malnutrition,
113 frequent infections and stress, important confounders that may have had strong impacts on the
114 reported genome damage [16].

115 A systematic overview of available data of genome damage in children environmentally exposed to
116 ionizing radiation is missing. Data from scientific papers written in Russian, Byelorussian and
117 Ukrainian language are not well known in the Western scientific community due to language
118 barriers. The aim of this study is to present and interpret systematically collected data on genome

119 damage in children exposed to ionizing radiation at the global level (cosmic radiation is excluded).

120

121 **Materials and methods**

122 The scientific literature considered here was selected following an extensive literature search
123 without any language restriction by using the Med-Line/PubMed database (National Library of
124 Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA-<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>
125 PubMed) covering the time period between January 1, 1980 and December 30th, 2006. Searches
126 comprised studies of children from newborns to late adolescence (age 0–18 years) exposed to
127 ionizing radiation. We have excluded case reports, studies without a clear definition of exposure to
128 ionizing radiation, studies with less than 10 children and/or lacking a referent (unexposed)
129 population, and studies reporting findings in a conversational style without statistical measures
130 (e.g., mean and standard deviation) or analysis. Studies written in English, Russian, and Ukrainian
131 were retrieved and manually reviewed. Studies that were not accessible through online library
132 systems were obtained by the authors or through interlibrary exchange. Twenty East European
133 studies of children not available in English but with important information have been recognised
134 and included in this review. Information about these studies can be obtained upon request. Results
135 from the following assays of genetic toxicology were considered: chromosome aberration assay
136 (CA), *in vivo* and *in vitro* micronucleus assay (MN), comet assay, sister chromatid exchange (SCE),
137 and fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH). In order to simplify the presentation and the
138 interpretation of the reviewed studies the association between radiation exposure and biomarkers of
139 DNA damage in children was quantitatively investigated by computing study specific ratios (MRs)
140 of the mean level of each biomarker detected in radiation exposed and in referent children or
141 newborns. The computed MR is a point estimate of the relative effect of the exposure on biomarker
142 level detected in each study taking the value 1 (MR=1) when there is no effect of radiation exposure
143 on biomarkers level, values greater than 1 (MR>1) or lower than 1 (MR<1) when radiation
144 exposure is associated with an increased or a decreased levels of the investigated biomarkers,

145 respectively. The MR, as a measure of effect, has the advantage of being independent of the
146 absolute values of the biomarker mean levels reported by the single studies and is comparable
147 across the studies and endpoints considered. The main characteristics of the studies considered in
148 this paper including their findings and the computed MRs, are summarized in Tables 1-3.

149

150 **Results**

151 **Exposure to ionizing radiation from natural sources**

152 Naturally-occurring radionuclides in food and water are primarily potassium (^{40}K) and the decay
153 products of Uranium (^{238}U), Thorium (^{232}Th), Carbon (^{14}C) and Rubidium (^{87}Rb) [17]. Radium
154 (^{226}Ra , decay product of ^{238}U in nature) decays into radon (^{219}Rn , ^{220}Rn , and the most stable radon
155 isotope ^{222}Rn , with half life 3.82 days) which is emitted as a gas in significant quantities and can
156 reach levels in indoor air up to 15,000 Bq/m³. The main intake of ^{222}Rn is via drinking and
157 breathing. The European regulations and US environmental action levels are 150-200 Bq/m³ [18,
158 19, 20,21].

159 A possible association of radon exposure with adverse health effects, including lung cancer
160 development, has been recognized relatively recently [22, 23]. Critical environments are poorly
161 ventilated old dwellings built in karsts-rich areas, geographical areas of irregular limestone where
162 erosion has produced fissures, caverns and underground streams. Children may be exposed to radon
163 at kindergarten, school and at home. An additional (although less important) source of exposure to
164 radon could also be building material such as certain types of concrete and granite tiles [24].

165 Rommens et al 2001 [25] reported European ionizing radiation exposure levels of 2.4 mSv/y for
166 adults, 2.7 mSv/y for children and 5,4 mSv/y for infants 0-1 years old taking into account all natural
167 sources such as ^{222}Rn and ^{220}Rn (decay product of thorium, commonly named thoron), cosmic
168 radiation, terrestrial radiation, radionuclides, etc. The total body concentration of radionuclides and
169 equivalent doses to red bone marrow is age dependent and is higher in children, especially in infants
170 and adolescents for ^{226}Ra , lead (^{210}Pb), ^{228}Th , Polonium (^{210}Po), etc [25, 26,27].

171 As radon daughter products follow the metabolic pathway of calcium, its incorporation into
172 children's skeleton poses a significant health risk [28]. Due to age dependent developmental stage
173 of the gastrointestinal system of children, the highest absorption of radon is in newborns and in
174 children between 13 and 17 years of age [27,29]. This is accompanied with high water intake in
175 newborns and children in comparison with adults [30]. Children and adolescents are target
176 populations for intake of water which can be radiocontaminated, due to the increased usage of
177 bottled water in Europe and its use for production of a number of different drinks favoured by the
178 youngest. The increased effective dose from radiocontaminated mineral water may be up to seven
179 times higher in infants and teens than the maximum level recommended by the World Health
180 Organization (100 μ Sv,) [31]. It has been suggested that this exposure may be specifically relevant
181 for the hormonal activity of testosterone and oestrogen during puberty when final maturation of
182 skeleton occurs [32]. Non breast fed infants less than 1 year of age may receive doses up to 0.28
183 mSv/y if their diet is exclusively prepared with mineral water with elevated radon concentrations
184 from ^{226}Ra decay [33]. In addition, such waters contain other radionuclides such as ^{210}Pb and ^{222}Ra
185 also contributing to the total received dose [33].

186 The health risk related to indoor radon exposure is still a subject of discussion. It has been shown
187 that residential radon exposure may contribute to increased cancer incidence. The average radon
188 exposure of 50 Bqm^{-3} has been estimated to be responsible for 13-25% of myeloid leukaemia cases
189 at all ages [34,35]. Indoor exposure at an annual dose of 7-11 mSv from radon has been reported to
190 be associated with a significantly increased frequency of chromosome aberrations (MR=1.69, Table
191 1) and micronuclei (MR=1.44, Table 2) in children [36]. To decrease radon levels in the working
192 and living environment some countries have established programmes for remediation work in
193 buildings, primarily schools and homes [37].

194

195 **Exposure to high-dose ionizing radiation**

196 **The Chernobyl nuclear accident.**

197 After the 1986 Chernobyl nuclear power plant accident, populations of Ukraine, Belarus and Russia
198 were exposed to Iodine (^{131}I), Caesium (^{137}Cs , ^{134}Cs), Strontium (^{90}Sr) and to a wide spectrum of
199 short-lived isotopes which were not measured by physical dosimetry [11]. Later on, exposure
200 became continuous with constant intake of radionuclides via food and water, including ^{90}Sr which is
201 incorporated in the skeleton of children at 4-6 fold higher rates than in adults [38]. It has been
202 estimated that following the Chernobyl accident approximately 160,000 children aged 7 years or
203 less were exposed to a variety of radioactive isotopes [39]. The explosion of the Chernobyl-4
204 reactor core led to the release of radioactivity that was deposited in the surrounding area as dust and
205 debris, while the lighter material was carried by wind over the Ukraine, Belarus, Russia and to some
206 extent over Europe, with radioactive fallout in Scandinavia, Austria and Switzerland [17]. Some 15
207 to 23 kg of plutonium were released, the majority within an area of 80 km radius around the nuclear
208 plant [40]. Immediately after the accident, a first zone with more than 40 Ci/km² (the 10 km range
209 zone) and a second one with more than 15 Ci/km² (range of 30 km) were identified. A third area of
210 145,000 km² was contaminated with more than 1 Ci/km². After the accident 135,000 people were
211 evacuated from the first zone and after some time 210,000 more subjects were evacuated. An
212 unidentified number of evacuated subjects were sent to different parts of Russia, Israel or other
213 European countries, for varying periods of time. Today, about 3.8 million people live in the area
214 with more than 1 Ci/km². The effective human annual dose is in the range between 54 μSv and 3.1
215 mSv [41, 42]. Efforts to reduce the exposure of the population through altering their diet were not
216 very successful [40]. In affected area increased incidence of thyroid cancer in children [43] and
217 recently breast cancer have been reported [26, 27]. Breast cancer could be expected to follow
218 thyroid cancer since the mammary gland is derived embryogenetically from primitive iodide-
219 concentrating ectoderm [26, 30, 44, 45, 46]

220 ***Chromosome aberrations.*** Cytogenetic studies of the children population in Ukraine started in 1988

221 [47]. Studies reported in [47] were performed on peripheral lymphocytes and only *in vivo* MN assay
222 was performed on reticulocytes. Results revealed dose-dependent increased levels of CA, with MRs
223 of 3.22 and 1.98, in children exposed to ^{137}Cs at levels between 18 and $55 \times 10^{10} \text{Bq/km}^2$ and lower
224 than $1 \times 10^{10} \text{Bq/km}^2$, respectively (Table 1). Repeated measurements of chromosome aberrations
225 within a 4 year period after accidental overexposure in children living in contaminated areas [48]
226 revealed a 53% increased average level of genome damage as measured by the chromosome
227 aberration (CA) assay (Table 1). The follow-up of several exposed and evacuated groups of
228 children born before and after the nuclear accident by highly experienced cytogenetic centres such
229 as those in St. Petersburg (Russia) or in Pisa (Italy) also showed the persistence of the genome
230 damage. An age related radiosensitivity was detected in children from Belarus who were sampled
231 three months after the Chernobyl accident. A significant difference was found in the number of
232 dicentrics between young (6-10 years) and older (11-15 years) children (Table1), with 1.17% and
233 0.67% dicentrics, respectively [49]. Up to 10 years after the accident children were still suffering
234 from internal contamination: CA frequencies were up to 4 times higher in exposed than in reference
235 children (Table 1) [50, 51, 52, 53]. In exposed children the frequency of dicentrics was 0.44%
236 compared to 0.02% observed in unexposed children (Table 1) [54]. Such an alarming situation
237 feeds speculations about an accumulation of stable genome damage in these children and potentially
238 related adverse health effects that may occur later in life. Cytogenetic studies also showed that even
239 the areas which are considered as unpolluted are actually contaminated with radionuclides at levels
240 that are capable of increasing genome damage in children [47]. The impact of internal
241 contamination was seen as a presence of rogue cells (specific type of multiaberrant cells) detected
242 in children living in contaminated areas [55]. In this study 328 Belarussian children were analysed
243 by the CA assay. The majority of the children (321 subjects) were exposed postnatally. In six
244 children exposed *in utero* one or two rogue cells were detected in 200 analysed metaphases.
245 Detected rogue cells contained up to 9 dicentrics, up to three tracentrics or/and rings and
246 quadricentrics.

In utero exposure. The Chernobyl accident affected also pregnant women who were exposed to different levels of radiation before being evacuated. A study using G banding was performed on two groups of children exposed *in utero* and during childhood to ionizing radiation [56]. Children born by mothers who were pregnant at the time of the accident and evacuated shortly afterwards were exposed to radiation levels ranging between 10 and 376 mSv while children exposed *in utero* and chronically during the childhood experienced a cumulative dose of 19-52 mSv. An increased frequency of CA was detected in newborns from both groups of women. As shown in Table 1 mean frequencies of 9.07% \pm 1.34 and 7.63% \pm 2.92 of CA were measured in the group of intrauterine exposed children and in children exposed *in utero* and after birth, respectively, compared to a frequency of 2.47% \pm 0.4 detected in referent children (MR= 3.67 and 3.08). Translocations, inversions and deletions represented almost 80% and 70% of chromosome type aberrations in intrauterine exposed and continuously exposed children, respectively [56]. Non random distribution of chromosome damage was detected: the most frequently involved chromosomes were chromosome 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 21 and 22 [56]. On the background of the available scientific evidence, this predominant localization of break points correlates with diagnostics markers of neoplastic disease as summarized in Table 4 [57]. All detected bands at which chromosome breakage was present are non-random and related with the described neoplasias.

Parental exposure. A high frequency of aberrant cells (1.12 \pm 0.37%) was measured in children exposed *in utero* to 2.0-2.5 cSv, in those born between 1987-1991 (1.24 \pm 0.4) as well as in children born between 1994-1998 (1 \pm 0.2) compared to children born before the Chernobyl accident (0.59 \pm 0.3%), with MRs of 1.90, 2.1, and 1.69, respectively [58]. A long-term follow-up study of populations living in a contaminated area of 15, Ci/km² [58] showed increased genome damage in children of irradiated parents (Table 1). The highest frequency of aberrant cells (1.24 \pm 0.4%) was measured in children born by mothers who were continuously exposed to ionizing radiation following the Chernobyl accident (MR=2.1).

The paternal transferability of possible genome damage has been investigated in children born after

273 their fathers were exposed as liquidators at the Chernobyl nuclear plant. Genome damage was
274 measured in 15 children born after evacuation using the CA assay [59]. A clear increase in CA
275 (MR=1.64, Table 1) was detected in children of Chernobyl liquidators who suffered with radiation
276 burns of 1st and 2nd degree ($2.38\% \pm 1.9$) compared to referents ($1.45\% \pm 0.2$).

277 Six years after the accident children who were evacuated at different times following the accident
278 and children born after cessation of their father's exposure were analysed for CA. Evacuated
279 children had spent between 2 days and 2 years in contaminated areas. As it is shown in Table 1,
280 clearly increased frequencies of CA were detected in children of exposed liquidators ($2.8\% \pm 0.2$)
281 and in evacuated children ($2.5\% \pm 0.1$) compared to referents ($1.8\% \pm 0.2$). Noteworthy, evacuated
282 children still had almost ten times more dicentric and ring chromosomes than controls (0.19% and
283 0.02%, respectively, data not shown). Such increased values of these types of CA could reflect
284 genomic instability, a phenomenon of increased rate of acquisition of alterations in the mammalian
285 genome proposed to be a driving force in carcinogenesis [53]. Indeed, germline mutation
286 frequencies at human minisatellite loci among children born in polluted area and receiving doses of
287 about 0.18 Gy were shown [60] to be two times higher when compared with a control population
288 (mutation rate per band 0.03 versus 0.01, respectively). The measurement of new fragments using
289 multi-site DNA fingerprinting showed that liquidators' children born after the Chernobyl accident
290 had a seven-fold increased level of new bands that were not present in their sibs conceived before
291 the Chernobyl accident [61, 62].

292 **Micronucleous Assay, Comet Assay, FISH, and SCE.** Among the *in vitro* studies conducted on
293 children exposed following the Chernobyl accident (Table 2), the one by Mikhalevich et al 2000
294 [49] failed to detect a difference in the frequency of MN in binucleated lymphocytes of those living
295 in contaminated areas for 9 years after the accident and being chronically irradiated by internal
296 contamination, compared to referents (MR=0.83). The study reported a clearly increased frequency
297 of MN in mononucleated lymphocytes (MR=2.48) in chronically irradiated children (Table 2). Two
298 studies [63,64] reported a twofold increased frequency of micronucleated cells in radiation exposed

299 compared to referent children while another [65] detected similar levels of micronuclei in exposed
300 and referents (Table 2). Using the *in vivo* micronucleus assay, liquidators from Chernobyl and their
301 children evacuated following the nuclear accident [66] were observed to express significantly
302 increased mean micronuclei levels in peripheral lymphocytes compared to referent children (0.19‰
303 and 0.012‰ micronucleated cells, respectively, MR=15.8). This is the only available study on a
304 population environmentally exposed to radiation monitored by the *in vivo* MN assay.

305 The Comet assay has been used to estimate genome damage levels in children from Belarus 10
306 years after the Chernobyl accident [67]. An increased genome damage was still present in their
307 lymphocytes (Table 3), a finding that could be explained as a “clastogenic factor” present in 19% of
308 these children [67]. When the translocation frequency was measured using FISH (Table 3) in a
309 group of exposed children and in age matched referents, higher levels of translocation were found in
310 the former (0.65%±0.1) than in the latter group (0.14%±0.05) corresponding to an MR of 4.64 [68].
311 In the same study higher MN frequencies were found in exposed children than in exposed adults
312 (0.06‰), a finding that is suggestive of a higher sensitivity of children to ionising radiation induced
313 cytogenetic damage, since it seems unlikely that the children were exposed to higher levels of
314 radiation than their parents.

315 **Antioxidants and lipid peroxidation.**

316 Several studies (not included in any table) have investigated the association between radiation
317 exposure, lipid peroxidation disorders, and cytogenetic damage. Analyses of children born by
318 mothers who were exposed to low doses of radiation before pregnancy showed that in regions
319 contaminated by radionuclides, these children suffered from lipoperoxidase disorders and that the
320 levels of essential antioxidants such as vitamin A and E were low. For mothers on a diet
321 supplemented with these vitamins during pregnancy, the chromosome aberration frequency in their
322 newborns was significantly lower in comparison with children born by mothers without such
323 vitamin supplemented diet [69]. The complexity of interaction between the organism in
324 development and radiation is also illustrated by a bimodal pattern of distribution of the glutathione

325 system [70, 71] in children born by mothers exposed to different dose levels. In children born by
326 mothers exposed to doses between 0.8 and 30 cSv, increased levels of reduced plasma glutathione
327 (up to 90 μ M) could be detected, while in mothers exposed to doses between 30 cSv and 60 cSv,
328 severe decrease (5 μ M) was detected. Glutathione mediates a reduction of at least two vitamins,
329 alfa-tocopherol and ascorbic acid which are critical in prevention of lipid peroxidation. Additional
330 consequences of exposure to ionizing radiation and disturbances of glutathione level are seen in
331 cases of combined radiochemical exposure. Increased levels of polycyclic aromatic carbons (PAH)-
332 DNA adducts are found in human placenta of mothers exposed to both ionizing radiation and
333 environmental PAH, suggesting a possibly higher health risk for the foetus in a case of complex
334 exposure than would be expected exclusively from PAH concentrations in air [72].

335 **Radiation induced adaptive response.**

336 An adaptive response of subjects exposed to low doses of chemical agents or radiation has
337 frequently been investigated by Russian and Ukrainian scientists. The existence of radiation
338 associated hormesis (i.e. the concept that small doses of radiation may reduce the damage to levels
339 even lower than those observed in unexposed controls) is still debated in the literature. The adaptive
340 response is a characteristic feature of both mammalian and plant cells in their response to various
341 mutagenic agents [73]. This phenomenon occurs when cells are treated with a low dose of a
342 clastogen; such a pre-treatment may then reduce the effect of a subsequent treatment with a higher
343 dose of the same or a similar agent (the challenging treatment). Adaptation can be measured by the
344 challenge assay in which lymphocytes isolated from exposed and control subjects are treated with
345 either 1 Gy or a combination of 0.05 Gy and 1 Gy. Compared to children living in Moscow's urban
346 polluted areas, increased micronuclei frequencies were reported among children from the Chernobyl
347 area exposed to radiation by living in areas with contamination levels ranging between 5 and 40
348 Ci/km². Lymphocytes of children from these radiocontaminated areas showed increased frequencies
349 of chromosome aberrations and micronuclei in the challenge assay, suggesting that, in this exposed
350 population, subjects express a radiosensitivity with no indication of an adaptive response. These

351 results may show that a routine application of chromosome aberration and/or micronucleus assay
352 without also employing a challenge assay may not be sufficient for the detection of genomic
353 instability [63]. By comparing this radiosensitivity with the observations of adaptive response in the
354 adult and children populations from Ural (internal exposure with ^{90}Sr from the Techa River) and the
355 Chernobyl regions, some common features were apparent. In both groups of exposed children,
356 individuals with high radiosensitivity were recognized after a challenging dose of 1 Gy and using
357 MN as the endpoint. Among referent children from the Moscow region, inter-individual differences
358 in MN frequencies were significantly lower after challenge assay than in exposed group. Based on
359 such data It is suggested that adaptive response is not developed and will not be expressed in
360 adulthood if a person is exposed as a child to elevated levels of radiation or other xenobiotics [74,
361 75].

362 **Hiroshima and Nagasaki**

363 The Chernobyl disaster resulted primarily in radiation via internal contamination, whereas after the
364 Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombings the primary source was gamma radiation and fast neutrons. This
365 represents different types of exposures, which are also associated with different cancer incidence
366 distributions [76]. Two to three years after the bombing, leukemia was the first cancer to be linked
367 with exposure with the highest incidence of leukemia detected in people exposed during their
368 childhood [77]. Besides the increase in leukemia, elevated rates of solid cancers such as cancers of
369 the breast, lung, and colon have been reported which seem to be larger among subjects exposed
370 during their childhood than among those exposed as adults [78].

371 Cytogenetic analyses of exposed populations started 15 years after the bombing [79] due to the fact
372 that cytogenetic methods were not introduced until the 60's. A number of different research teams
373 have been involved in studying health consequences in survivors and studies are still on going
374 among children of exposed subjects. [80]

375 **Nuclear plants**

376 Epidemiological studies have reported clusters and increased risks of leukaemia in subjects living in
377 areas adjacent to nuclear power plants [81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88]. A significant excess of
378 leukaemia cases in the general population living in the proximity of nuclear plants has also been
379 reported [82, 89, 90] along with a possible association for children whose father were employed at a
380 nuclear plant [90].

Comment [dfm1]: I've moved refs for krummel here to get rid of reiterations seen by Gunnar

381 A systematic review of leukemia incidence and mortality cohort studies in children living in the
382 proximity of nuclear facilities found that the majority of studies reported elevated rates [91]. This
383 meta-analysis confirmed an increased risk of childhood leukemia near nuclear facilities with the
384 highest contribution of excess cases and deaths from children aged < 10 years and living within 15
385 km from the nuclear site.

386 A few studies using cytogenetic methods have been conducted in Europe with children living close
387 to nuclear plants or downstream of rivers from which water is used for cooling of reactors [90, 92].
388 Between 1988 and 1995 *in vitro* chromosome aberration assays were performed with peripheral
389 lymphocytes from 5 healthy siblings of the leukemia cases and in 10 control children from the
390 Elbmarsch Municipality [93 ??], 42 children from the Elbmarsch Municipality and 30 children from
391 a control region [92], and 25 adults including 7 parents of children diagnosed with leukemia and 14
392 inhabitants near the Krummel nuclear plant and in 25 healthy adults (control subjects) living in the
393 city of Bremen about 100 km southwest of Hamburg [94]. While two studies [93, 94] reported a
394 significant fourfold higher rate of dicentric and/or ring chromosomes in peripheral blood
395 lymphocytes in subjects living near the plant compared to control subjects, one study [92] failed to
396 detect any such difference between exposed and control children.

397 **Nuclear weapons fallouts, and nuclear accidents**

398 During the period 1948-1967 three nuclear accidents took place in the Soviet nuclear weapon
399 industry known as «Mayak Complex» established on the Techa River near Chelyabinsk, in the
400 Southern Urals. During this period beta/gamma radioisotopes were released into the Techa River.

401 Several studies have been conducted on the population of 280,000 dwellings living in the area [95].
402 It has been estimated that about 8% of this population (124,000 people) were exposed to radioactive
403 isotopes such as ^{137}Cs , ^{106}Ru , ^{95}Zr , ^{89}Sr , ^{90}Sr , and that these received total accumulated doses above
404 1.0 Sv [96]. The increased frequency of CA that was observed in exposed children from this area
405 (0.56 ± 0.08) compared to referents (0.29 ± 0.07), resulting in an $\text{MR}= 1.93$ (Table 1), was
406 accompanied by a 1.7-fold increase in minisatellite mutation rate in the germline of exposed fathers
407 than in referents from rural areas (data not included in Table 1) [97]. Contrary to Hiroshima,
408 Nagasaki, and Chernobyl, the general population was exposed to short-lived radionuclides through
409 food, water and air in the period 1949-1963 due to the nuclear tests at the Semipalatinsk,
410 Kazakhstan (former Soviet Union), just south of the Altai region of Siberia. An impact of radiation
411 exposure during childhood was suggested by studies of adults living in this region. The area was
412 contaminated from 450 nuclear tests until 1989 of which 100 were atmospheric [98,99]. Cytogenetic
413 analysis of two or three generations within a family showed a presence of dicentric and ring
414 chromosomes in children born after the nuclear tests had ceased, suggesting family genomic
415 instability [100]. At the time of atmospheric bomb tests in 1949, about 25,000 people received doses
416 between 10 mSv and 1,500 mSv [96]. Studies of this population detected germ line mutations in
417 adults born between 1926 and 1960 and exposed to nuclear fall-out between 1949 and 1956, during
418 their childhood [101, 102].
419 There are special living conditions in Western Siberia where, in a large geographical area, mines,
420 chemical and nuclear industries are located. A follow-up study of 289 children [103] showed
421 significant deviations (including multi-aberrant cells) even in the “referent” population. Indeed,
422 because of the level of radiation pollution in this region, no unexposed children could be included in
423 the study and children in the lowest exposure group were used as referents [103, 104]. Despite the
424 acknowledged exposure misclassification, increased CA levels were reported for children exposed
425 to 0.6 cSv compared to those exposed to 0.05 cSv (3.94 ± 0.44 and 2.58 ± 0.59 , respectively;
426 $\text{MR}=1.53$ Table 1).

427 The nuclear test site Syevernaya (in the period between 1955 and 1962, about 80 nuclear bomb tests
428 were performed here) is located in Nóvaya Zemlyá, an area of Russia in which the population of the
429 Tundra Nenets population lives. In this population increased cancer morbidity was detected (100).
430 Similar to the children population in the Altai region, 20 years after cessation of nuclear tests,
431 unstable chromosome aberrations such as rings and dicentrics were still detected in newborns [105].
432 An increased MN frequency (MR=1.4 and MR=1.6 in probably exposed and directly exposed
433 children compared to referents) was detected in accidentally exposed children in the Goiania, Brazil
434 radiological accident (Table 2); detected values are within the control values of other studies
435 presented in Table 1 [106].

436 **Contaminated building materials**

437 In the period between 1982 and 1984 in Taiwan a number of buildings were constructed using
438 reinforced steel contaminated by ⁶⁰Co which had been illegally discarded. The buildings were
439 residential, schools and kindergartens, and it was estimated that 20% of 897 families were exposed
440 to radiation doses higher than 5 mSv/year (in addition to background radiation). Several studies
441 were carried out to estimate the radiation-induced genome damage simultaneously with physical
442 dosimetry [108, 109, 110]. Although 1,500 subjects aged between 0 and 19 years were studied,
443 findings for children were not reported separately [109], with the exception of a small study without
444 a referent population in which the first blood sampling showed a significantly increased CA
445 frequency (20.6±3.9%) in comparison with a second sampling conducted after evacuation from the
446 contaminated buildings (8.7±1.5%), resulting in an MR=2.4 (Table 1). The issue of contaminated
447 steel or other metals is not limited to the Taiwan episode: several reports [111, 112, 113] have
448 addressed unsolved problems concerning building materials used in construction.

449

450 **Conclusions**

451 In general, genome damage caused by accidental overexposure to ionizing radiation may result
452 from interactions such as the formation of DNA damage directly or via free radicals, but also from

453 damage to the nuclear membrane, lipid peroxidation, methylation disturbances, activation of a chain
454 of signal molecules influencing the expression of apoptosis, and other mechanisms including
455 hormonal, age related bioaccumulation of radionuclides, metabolism and clearance. Other
456 contributing factors such as stress, malnutrition and infections may play major roles. At present,
457 such conditions are predominantly found in some specific social environments in Ukraine and
458 Belarus, but they could easily be envisaged in other parts of the world in conjunction with a nuclear
459 accident. With respect to the genome damage discussed in this review, the main body of available
460 data for children exposed to radiation comes from studies that were performed in Russia. Territories
461 of the ex-Soviet Countries, as well as some other areas of our planet are still polluted as a
462 consequence of nuclear tests and the nuclear industry. The resulting nuclear waste may lead to
463 radiation exposure and complex exposures to radiation and chemical pollution, as is the case in a
464 rocket test area or in spacedroms [114].

465 The studies considered in this systematic review consistently reported an increased frequency of CA
466 and micronuclei in radiation exposed children compared to referents. Elevated CA levels were
467 observed also in children exposed to high levels of radiation when compared to those exposed to
468 lower levels [47,54,49,103]; this interpretation should be made despite the potential exposure
469 misclassification of referent children for whom radiation exposure levels are hardly ever reported.
470 Such a differential misclassification of exposure is expected to result in study findings that are
471 toward the study null hypothesis (i.e., that there is no effect of environmental radiation exposure on
472 genome damage in children) resulting in underestimated measures effect (MR).

473 The impact of internal contamination was indicated by a presence of rogue cells and by the higher
474 frequency of dicentrics in exposed than in referent children as reported by several studies.

475 Beside a threat of accidental overexposures children are exposed routinely to ionizing radiation for
476 diagnostic purposes which doses should be recorded and summarised as a cumulative life long dose.
477 An increased risk of childhood leukaemia was detected in children who reported two or more
478 postnatal X-rays [115]. The environmental burden during childhood could have a significant

479 influence on the adaptive capacity in adulthood and could be partly responsible for inter-individual
480 differences in chemo- and radiosensitivity [116]. Additional radiosensitivity time windows should
481 be investigated which may exist during childhood, e.g. in newborns and teens.

482 Populations suffering from radiological accidents, living in contaminated areas or ex-nuclear testing
483 sites or close to radiochemical industries, are today subjected to an improved identification and
484 monitoring, taking place in several medical centres. These groups of people constitute a large cohort
485 including thousands of subjects and sometimes families with several generations. Local research
486 centres often have stored biological samples, data on exposure and questionnaire data representing a
487 valuable source of information that could be used for studying the delayed adverse effects of
488 radiation on children and the transgenerational transfer of genome damage [96]. Integration and
489 utilisation of such sources represent a great challenge and will probably be important in future
490 studies of the consequences of children's exposure to ionizing radiation.

491 In Europe there is a dramatic discrepancy between the number of existing nuclear plants and the
492 number of field studies on the potentially associated health consequences [117]. Regarding natural
493 sources of radiation, consumers should be informed about possible health risks associated with the
494 consumption of bottled mineral waters available on the market [6], in cases where increased
495 radiation exposure may be significant. As only 5%-15% of childhood cancers seems to be related
496 with familial and genetic factors [118], the age related sensitivity should be subject to a closer study
497 in order to avoid, at least, exposure of children during their most susceptible age periods. Similarly,
498 governments should support reconstruction of dwellings in order to decrease radiation levels in
499 homes and public buildings where children spend most of their time. Strict control of building
500 materials for radio contamination should be performed (such as fly ash and steel).

501 Genotoxicological studies of children living in the proximity of nuclear plants should be set as a
502 priority in research programmes. At the same time there is a need for an arising preparedness of
503 medical staff in case of nuclear accidents.

504

505

506 **Acknowledgements**

507 The study was supported by the European Union 5th FP (QLK4-CT-2002-02198)

508 CHILDRENGENONETWORK.

509 The authors are grateful to Jelena Katic for technical assistance and valuable comments.

510

511 **References**

512

513 [1] E. Steliarova-Foucher, C. Stiller, P. Kaatsch, F. Berrino, J.W. Coebergh, B. Lacour, M.

514 Parkin, Geographical patterns and time trends of cancer incidence and survival among

515 children and adolescents in Europe since 1970s (the ACCIS project): an epidemiology study,

516 Lancet, 364(9451) (2004) 2097-2105.

517 [2] D. Gee, Children in their environment: vulnerable, valuable and at risk, European

518 Environmental Agency, Copenhagen, 1999

519 [3] Health Risks from Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation: BEIR VII Phase 2 (2006)

520 Board on Radiation Effects Research (BRER)

521 [4] M.M. van Noesel, R. Versteeg. Pediatric neuroblastomas: genetic and epigenetic”Danse

522 Macabre”, Gene 325 (2004) 1-15.

523 [5] M. Greaves Molecular genetics, natural history and the demise of childhood leukemia, Eur J

524 Cancer 35(4) (1999) 1941-1953

525 [6] C. Busby, A. Fucic., Ionizing radiation and children’s health: Conclusions, Acta Paediatrica,

526 (2006) Suppl.453., 81-86

527 [7] JG Koppe, A Bartonova,, G Bolte., ML Bistrup, , C Busby,, M Butter., P Dorfman,, A

528 Fučić, , D Gee,, P van den Hazel,, V Howard,, M Kohlhuber,, M Leijs., C Lundquist,, H

529 Moshhammer,, R Naginiene., R Ronchetti,, G Salines,, Schoeters G., G ten Tusscher,, MK

530 Wallis, , M Zuurbier., P Nicolopoulou,, Exposure to multiple environmental agents and their

effects, *Acta Paediatrica*, (2006), Suppl 453, 106-114

[8] M. Neri, A Fucic,., Knudsen, L.E., Lando, C., Merlo, DF, Bonassi, S., Micronuclei frequency in children exposed to environmental mutagens: a review, *Mutat Res.* 544 (2003) , 243-254.

[9] ICRP 1990. Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP Publication 60. International Commission on Radiation Protection, Oxford, England:PergamonPress.

[10] CEHAPE, Children's Environment and Health Action Plan for Europe, Review of CHE Legislation Collection and Analysis of Selected Governance Instruments (GIs) Related to Children Health and Environment: from OECD countries in the European region of the World Health Organization, EUR/05/5059563/10, 2005

[11] UNSCEAR Report, Sources and effects of ionizing radiation, vol II, Annex J. Exposures and Effects of the Chernobyl Accident, United Nations, New York, 2000.

[12] R.J. Moysich, A.M Menezes,., M. Michalek, Chernobyl-related ionising radiation exposure and cancer risk:an epidemiological review, *The Lancet*, 3 (2002) 269-279.

[13] D.L. Preston, A. Mattsson, E. Holmberg, R. Shore, N.G. Hildreth, J.D. Boice, Radiation effects on breast cancers risk: a pooled analysis of eight cohorts, *Radiat. Res.* 158 (2002) 220-235.

[14] M. Hatch, Ron, E., Bouville, A., Zablotska, L., Howe, G. The Chernobyl disaster: cancer following the accident at the Chernobyl nuclear power plant. *Epidemiol Rev* 27(1)(2005) 56-66.

[15] BIER VII Phase 2, Health risks from exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation. The National Academies Press, Washington, 2006

[16] L Ortiz,., C Cortes,., L.Gonzales, P Lopez,., E Perez,., M.Cortez, L.Betancourt, Analysis of mytomycin C-induced micronuclei in lymphocytes from malnourished infected children, *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 30 (1997) 363-370.

[17] UNSCEAR Report, Sources, effects and risks of ionizing radiation, United Nations, New

York, 1988

- [18] Council Directive of European Commission, Euratom 90/147, 1990
- [19] BIER VI, Health effects of exposure to Radon, National Academy Press, Washington, 1999
- [20] Environmental Protection Agency, USA, A Citizen's Guide to Radon, 1986
- [21] NCRP, Report No 116 Limitation of exposure to ionizing radiation, 1993
- [22] S. Darby, D. Hill, A. Auvinen, J.M. Barros-Dios, H. Baysson, F. Bochicchio. Radon in homes and risk of lung cancer: collaborative analysis of individual data from 13 European case-control studies. *BMJ* 330(7485) (2005) 223-228
- [23] World Health Organization. Air Quality Guidelines for Europe, 2nd edition 2000, Copenhagen
- [24] M Stoulos, C.. Manolopoulou, A.Papastefanou, Assessment of natural radiation exposure and radon exhalation from building materials in Greece, *J. Environ. Rad.* 69 (2003) 225-240.
- [25] C. Rommens, C. Ringear, P. Hubert, Exposure of red bone marrow to ionizing radiation from natural and medical sources in France. *J. Radiat. Prot.* 21 (2001) 209-219.
- [26] IAEA, International Atomic Energy Agency, Basic safety standards No. 115. Vienna:1995
- [27] J. Somlai, G. Horvath, B. Kanya, T. Kovacs, E. Bodrogi, N. Kavasi, Concentration of ²²⁶Ra in Hungarian bottled mineral water, *J. Environ. Rad.* 62 (2002) 235-240.
- [28] A. Schlenker, A.T. Keane, R.B. Holtzman, The retention of ²²⁶Ra in human soft tissue and bone; Implications for the ICRP 20 alkaline earth model, *Health Phys.* 42 (1982) 671-693.
- [29] International Commission on Radiological Protection. Age-dependent doses to members of the public from intake of radionuclides: Part 2 Ingestion dose coefficient. Oxford: Pergamon Press; ICRP Publication 67: *Ann ICRP* 23(3/4); 1993
- [30] M. Sichr-Hellert, A. Kersting, F. Manz, Fifteen year trends in water intake in German children and adolescents: results of the DONALD Study, *Acta Paediatr.* 90 (2001) 732-737.
- [31] WHO, Guidelines for drinking-water quality, Third Edition, Vol. 1, Recommendations, WHO, Geneva, 2004
- [32] M. Bronzovic, G. Marovic, Age dependent dose assessment of ²²⁶Ra from bottled water

intake, Health Physics, 2005, 88(5), 480-485.

[33] C. Kralik, M. Friedrich, F. Vojir, Natural radionuclides in bottled water in Austria, J. Environ. Radioactivity, 65 (2003) 233-241.

[34] M.C.R. Alavanja, J.H. Lubin, J. Mahaffey, E. Berger, R.C. Brownson, Cumulative residential radon exposure and risk of lung cancer in Missouri: a population-based case-control study. Am J Public Health 89 (1999) 1042-1048.

[35] L. Henshaw, J.P. Eatough, R.B. Richardson, Radon as a causative factor in induction of myeloid leukemia and other cancers, Lancet 335(8696) (1990) 1008-1012.

[36] M. Bilban, J. Vaupotič J, Chromosome aberrations study of pupils in high radon level elementary school, Health Physics 80(2) (2001) 157-163.

[37] P.S Denman, J. Philips, The cost-effectiveness of radon mitigation in schools in Northamptonshire, J. Radiol. Prot. 18(3) (1998) 203-208.

[38] V.V Minenko, S.S.. Drozdovich, VE Tretiakovich, G. Shevchuk, Determination of annual total effective exposure doses for the residents of Belarus populated areas radionuclide-contaminated after the Chernobyl accident. Accomplishments of Medical Sciences of Belarus, 3 (1998).

[39] M.A. Pilinskaya, S.S. Dibskij, I.A. Znajevskaia, E.B. Dibskaja, Dynamic of mutation process in peripheral blood lymphocytes after long term exposure to low doses of ionizing radiation, Citologia i Genetika 29(3) (1995) 56-61.

[40] EC/IAEA/WHO One decade after Chernobyl: Summing up the consequences of the accident. Austria Centre, Vienna, Austria, 8-12 April 1996

[41] O. Garcia, T. Mandina, DNA damage evaluated by the comet assay in lymphocytes of children with ¹³⁷Cs internal contamination caused by the Chernobyl accident, Mutat. Res. 565 (2005) 191-197.

[42] C. Gonzales, O. Najera, E. Cortes, G. Toledo, L. Lopez, M. Betancourt, R. Ortiz, Hydrogen peroxidase -induced DNA damage and DNA repair in lymphocytes from malnourished

children, Environ. Mol. Mutagenesis. 39 (2002) 33-42.

[43] M. Tronko, T. Bogdanova, I. Likhatarev., I. Komisarenko, A. Kovalenko, O. Epstein, V. Tereshchenko, V. Shpak, L. Gulak, Thyroid gland and radiation (fundamental and applied aspects): 20 years after the Chernobyl accident, Int Congress Series, 1299(2007) 46-53.

[44] A.Y. Prysazhnyuk, L.O. Gulak, V.G. Gristchenko, Z.P. Fedorenko, Cancer incidence in Ukraine after the Chernobyl accident, Int. Congress Series. 1234 (2002) 281-291.

[45] E. Pukkala, A. Kesminiene, S. Poliakov, A. Ryzhov, V. Drozdovitch, L. Kovgan, P. Kyyronen, I.V. Malakhova, L. Gulak, E. Cardis, Breast cancer in Belarus and Ukraine after the Chernobyl accident, Int J Cancer. 119(3) (2006) 651-658.

[46] S. Venturi, Is there a role for iodine in breast diseases?, The Breast. 1085 (2001) 379-382.

[47] I.M. Yelisseyeva, E.L. Iofa, H.F. Stoyan, V.A. Shevchenko, The analysis of chromosomal aberrations and SCE in children living in the regions of Ukraine contaminated with radiation fallout, Radiation. Biol. Radioecol. 34(2) (1994) 163- 171.

[48] P. Bochkov, K.A. Bedelbaeva, L.D. Katosova, A.P. Ambrosyev, Chromosome aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes of subjects living in regions with increased radiation levels, Reports of Academy of Science Republic of Belarus, 35(8) (1991) , 745-748.

[49] S. Mikhalevich, F.A. De Zwart, G.A. Perepetskaya, N.V. Chebotareva, E.A. Mikhalevich, A.D. Tate, Radiation effects in lymphocytes of children living in a Chernobyl contaminated region of Belarus, Int. J. Rad. Biol. 76(10) (2000) 1377-1385.

[50] R. Barale, F. Gemignani, C. Morizzo, A. Lori, A. Rossi, A. Antonelli, G. Di Pretoro, G. Panasiuk, M. Ballardin, Cytogenetic damage in lymphocytes of healthy and thyroid tumor-affected children from the Gomel region (Belarus), Mutat. Res., 405 (1998) 89-95.

[51] L. Padovani, D. Caporossi, B. Tedeschi, P. Vernole, B. Nicoletti, F. Mauro, Cytogenetic study in lymphocytes from children exposed to ionizing radiation after the Chernobyl accident, Mutat. Res. 319 (1993) 55-60.

[52] L. Padovani, L. Stronati, F. Mauro, A. Testa, M. Appolilini, P. Anzidei, D. Caporossi, B.

635 Tedeschi, P. Vernole, Cytoenetic effects in lymphocytes from children exposed to radiation
636 fall-out after the Chernobyl accident, *Mutat. Res.* 395 (1997) 249-254.

637 [53] I.E.Vorobtsova, M.V. Vorobyeva, A.N. Bogomazova, A.Yu Pukkenen, T.B.
638 Arkhangelskaya, Cytogenetic study of children living in St Petersburg region suffered from
639 the Chernobyl accident. The rate of unstable chromosomes aberrations in peripheral blood
640 lymphocytes, *Radiats Biol Radioekol*, 22(1995) 630-635.

641 [54] M.A. Pilinskaya, A.M. Shemetun, S.S. Dybsky, D.V. Redko, M.N. Eremeyeva, The
642 cytogenetic effect in the peripheral blood lymphocytes as an indicator of the influence on a
643 human being of Chernobyl accident factors, *Radiobiologia* 32(5) (1992) 632- 639.

644 [55] V. Sevankaev, A.F. Tsyb, D.C. Lloyd, A.A. Zhloba, V.V. Moiseenko, A.M. Skrjabin, V.M.
645 Klimov, Rogue cells observed in children exposed to radiation from the Chernobyl accident,
646 *Int. J. Rad. Biol.* 63(3) (1993) 361-367.

647 [56] E.I. Stepanova, J.A. Misharina, V.U. Vdovenko, Remote cytogenetic effects in children
648 exposed in prenatal period after the Chernobyl accident, *Radiat. Biol. Radioecol.* 42(6)
649 (2002) 700-703.

650 [57] F. Mitelman, Y. Kaneko, J. Trent, Report of the committee on chromosome changes in
651 neoplasia. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* 58 (1991) 1053-1079.

652 [58] I.I.Suskov, N.S. Kuzmina, Polygenomic realization of mutagenic effects in the organism of
653 people exposed to low-dose, Radiation. *Biol Radioekol.* 43(2) (2003) 153-155.

654 [59] E.I. Stepanova, E.A. Vanyhina, Clinical and cytogenetical characteristic of children born by
655 subjects recovered of severe radiation disease I and II level as a result of Chernobyl,
656 *Tsitologiiia Genetika* 27(4) (1993) 10-13.

657 [60] YE. Dubrova, Nesterov, VN, Krouchinsky, NG, Ostapenko, VA., Neumann, R., Neil, DL,
658 Jeffreys, AJ, Human minisatellite mutation rate after the Chernobyl accident, *Nature* 380,
659 (1996) 683-686

660 [61] E Weinberg A. Nevo,. T Korol,. G Fahima. S. Rennert , Molecular changes in the offspring

of liquidators who emigrated to Israel from the Chernobyl disaster area, *Environ. Health Perspect* 105(6) (1997) 1479-1481.

[62] H.S. Weinberg, A.B. Korol, V.M. Kirzhner, A. Avivi, T. Fahima, E. Nevo, S. Shapiro, G. Rennert, O. Piatak, E.I. Stepanova, E. Skvaskaja, Very high mutation rate in offspring of Chernobyl accident liquidators, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* 268 (2001) 1001-1005.

[63] I.I. Pelevina, V.Y. Gotlib, O.V. Kudryashova, A.M. Serebryaniy, G.G. Afanasyev, Genomic instability after low level irradiation, *Rad. Biol. Radioecol.* 36(4) (1996) 546-560.

[64] L. Zotti-Martelli, L. Migliore, G. Panasiuk, R. Barale, Micronucleus frequency in Gomel (Belarus) children affected and not affected by thyroid cancer, *Mutat. Res.* 440 (1999) 35-43.

[65] G.H. Livingston, R.H. Jensen, E.B. Silberstein, J.D. Hinnefeld, G. Pratt, W.L. Bigbee, R.G. Langlois, S.G. Grant, R. Shukla, Radiological evaluation of immigrants from the vicinity of Chernobyl, *Int. J. Rad. Biol.* 72(6) (1997) 703-713.

[66] F. Fedoretsova, V.Yu. Kravtsov, O.V. Belyakov, I.Ye. Vorobtsova, V.N. Nekrasov, A.M. Nikiforov. Late cytogenetic effects of the Chernobyl accident in children. *Int J. Pediat Hematol/Oncology* 4(3) (1997) 295-299.

[67] E Frenzilli, A Bosco, G Antonelli, R. Panasiuk, Barale, DNA damage evaluated by alkaline single cell gel electrophoresis (SCGE) in children of Chernobyl, 10 years after the disaster, *Mutat. Res.*, 491 (2001) 139-149

[68] E. Vorobtsova, J.D. Tucker, N.M. Timofeyeva, A.N. Bogomazova, A.V. Semyonov, P.G. Pleshanov, Effect of age and radiation exposure on the frequency of translocations and dicentrics detected by FISH in human lymphocytes, *Radiats. Biol. Radiobiol.* 40(2) (2000) 142-148.

[69] A. Neyfakh, A.I. Alimbekova, G.F. Ivanenko, Vitamin E and A deficiencies in children correlate with Chernobyl radiation loads of their mothers, *Biochemistry (Moscow)*, 63(10) (1998) 1138-1143.

- 687 [70] G.F. Ivanenko, E.B. Burlakova, Response of the glutathione system to chronic irradiation of
688 human population after the Chernobyl accident, *Biochemistry* 32(1), 2005, 9-17
- 689 [71] GF. Ivanenko, I.I. Suskov, E.B. Burlakova, Possible relations between plasma glutathione
690 levels and cytogenetic indices in peripheral blood lymphocytes of children exposed to low
691 doses of radiation, *Biology Bulletin*, 31(4) (2004) 337-341.
- 692 [72] M.Obelenskaya, Teplyuk, N., Sasonova, L., Prima, V., Malko, M., Bondarenko, ME.,
693 Didenko, L., Vit, V., Poirier, M., Pasanen, *Int J Rad Med* 6 (1-4)(2004) 154-16.
- 694 [73] LE. Feinendegen, M., Pollycove, RD. Neumann, Whole-body responses to low level radiation
695 exposure: a new concepts in mammalian radiobiology, *Exptl Hematology*, 35(4), Suppl1,
696 (2007) 37-46.
- 697 [74] I.I. Pelevina, V.A. Nikolayev, V.Y. Gotlib, G.G. Afanasyev, L.Y. Kozlova, A.M.
698 Serebryanyi, D.G. Tereshchenko, V.A. Tronov, Y.A. Khramstova, Adaptive response in
699 human blood lymphocytes after low dose Chernobyl irradiation, *Rad. Biol. Radioecol.*
700 34(1994) 805-817.
- 701 [75] I.I. Pelevina, G.G. Afanasyev, V.Y. Gotlib, A.M. Serebryniy, The disturbances of the
702 adaptive response ability after low dose irradiation as a result of Cherobyl accident, in EB
703 Burlakova (Ed) *Consequencies of the Chernobyl Catastrophe on human health*, Nova Sci
704 Publ Inc Ne York(1999) 177-188.
- 705 [76] H. Vogel., Rays as weapons, *European J Radiol*, 63 (2007) 167-177
- 706 [77] IARC, Ionizing radiation, Part I : X – and gamma radiation and neutrons, Lyon, International
707 Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic
708 Risks to Humans, (2000),Vol 75.
- 709 [78] K. Kodama, F Kasagi, Y Shimizu, N Nishi, M Soda, A Suyama., T Okubo, Long term
710 health consequences of atomic bomb radiation RERF, Life span study, *Int Congress Series*,
711 1299 (2007) 73-80.
- 712 [79] A. Awa, Mutation research at ABCC/RERF: cytogenetic studies of atomic bomb exposed

713 populations, *Mutat Res.* 543 (2003) 1-15

714 [80] N. Nakamura, Genetic effects of radiation in atomic-bomb survivors and their children: past,
 715 present and future, *J Rad Res, Suppl B*, (2006)67-73,

716

717 [81] V Roman, R. Beral, L. Carpenter, A. Watson, K. Barton, H. Ryder, D. Aston, Childhood
 718 leukemia in the West Berkshire and Basinstoke and North Hampshire Health Authorities in
 719 relation to nuclear establishment in the vicinity, *Br. Med. J.* 294 (1987) 597-602.

720 [82] W. Hoffmann, H Dieckmann, H Dieckmann I., Schmitz-Feuerhake. A cluster of childhood
 721 leukemia near a nuclear reactor in northern Germany, *Arch Environ Health* 52 (1997):275–
 722 280.

723 [83] R.J. Black, L. Sharp, E.F. Harkness, P.A. McKinney. Leukemia and non-Hodgkin's
 724 lymphoma: Incidence in children and young adults resident in the Dounreay area of
 725 Caithness, Scotland in 1968–1991. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 48 (1994)232–236.

726 [84] M.J. Gardner, C.A Hall, S Downes, J.D. Terrell. Follow up of children born to mothers
 727 resident in Seascale, West Cumbria (birth cohort). *BMJ* 295 (1987).822-827

728 [85] M.J Gardner, A.J Snee, C.A Hall, S. Powell, J.D. Downes, Terrell, Results of a case-control
 729 study of leukemia and lymphoma among young people near Sellafield nuclear processing
 730 plant in West-Cumbria, *Br. Med. J.* 300 (1990) 423-429.

731 [86] J.F. Viel, S Richardson P, Danel, P Boutard, M Malet, P Barrelier. Childhood leukemia
 732 incidence in the vicinity of La Hague nuclear-waste reprocessing facility (France), *Cancer*
 733 *Causes Control* 4(1993) 341–343.

734 [87] J.R. Goldsmith, Nuclear installations and childhood cancer in the UK: mortality and incidence
 735 for 0-9 year old children 1971-1980, *Sci. Total Environ.* 127 (1992) 13-35.

736 [88] W. Hoffmann, C. Terschueren, D.B. Richardson. Childhood leukemia in the vicinity of the
 737 Geesthacht nuclear establishments near Hamburg, Germany, *Environmental Health*
 738 *Perspectives* Volume 115, Number 6 (2007)947–952

739 [89] J. Mangano, J. Sherman, C. Chang, A. Dave, E. Feinberg, M. Frimer Elevated childhood
740 cancer incidence proximate to US nuclear power plants. Arch Environ Health 58(2) (2003)
741 74-82

742 [90] MJ Gardner. Father's occupational exposure to radiation and the raised level of childhood
743 leukemia near the Sellafield nuclear plant. Environ Health Perspect 94 (1991):5-7.

744 [91] P.J. Baker, D.G. Hoel, Meta-analysis of standardized incidence and mortality rates of
745 childhood leukemia in proximity to nuclear facilities, Europ J Cancer Care 16 (2007) , 355-
746 363.

747 [92] H Bruske-Hohlfeld,. G Scherb,. E.Bauchinger, H Schmid,. G Fender,. G Wolf,. G Obe, I.
748 Schmitz-Feuerhake, H. Schroder, G. Stephan, M. Csicsaky, H.E Wichmann, A cluster of
749 childhood leukemias near two neighbouring nuclear installations in Northern Germany:
750 prevalence of chromosomal aberrations in peripheral blood lymphocytes, Int. J. Rad. Biol.
751 77(1) (2001) 11-116

752 [93] B, Dannheim Retrospective dose estimation of children. In: Strahlenbiologie und
753 Strahlenschutz (Heinemann G, Pfob H, eds). Hannover:Fachv fur strahlenschutz e.V, (1996)
754 172-176

755 [94] I Schmitz-Feuerake, B Danheim, A Heimers, B Oberheitman, H Schroder, H Ziggel,
756 Leukemia in the proximity of a German boiling water reactor: evidence of population
757 exposure by chromosome studies and environmental radioactivity. Env Health Persp 105
758 (Suppl 6) (1997) 1499-1504.

759 [95] A. Testa,. Padovani L Mauro F, Appolloni m, Anzidei P, Stronati L, Cytogenetic study on
760 children living in Southern Urals contaminated areas, Mutat. Res. 401 (1998) 193-197.

761 [96] Akleyev, B. Grosche, V. Gusev, M. Kiselev, M. Kiselev, I. Kolyado, S. Romanov, Y.
762 Shoikhert, R. Neta, Developing additional resources, Radiat. Environ. Biophysics 41(1)
763 (2002) 13-18.

764 [97] YE Dubrova, Ploshchanskaya, OG., Kozinova, OS., Akleyev, AV, Minisatellite germline

765 mutation rate in the Techa River population, *Mutat Res.* 2006 Dec 1;602(1-2):74-82.

766 [98] Testa, R. Stronati, M. Ranaldi, F. Spano, M. Stienhauser, A. Gastberger, L. Hubmer, M.

767 Ptistaya, K. Akhmetov, Cytogenetic biomonitoring carried out in a village (Dolon) adjacent to

768 the Semiplatinsk nuclear weapon test site, *Radiat. Environ. Biophysics* 40 (2001) 125-129.

769 [99] N. Chaizhunusova, Yang, T.C., Land, C., Luckyanov, N., Wu, H., Apsalikov, K.N., Madieva,

770 M. Biodosimetry study in Dolon and Chekoman villages in the vicinity of Semiplatinsk

771 nuclear test site. *J Rad Res* 47 (2006) , Suppl A, 165-169.

772 [100] AV. Ponomaeva, , Matveeva, VG., Osipova, LP., Posukh, OL, Chromosome aberrations in

773 Samburg Tundra inhabitants under ecological hazard conditions, *Siberian Ecol J.*, 1(2000)

774 67-71.

775 [101] YE Dubrova, RI Bersimbaev., LB Djamsugorova., MK Tankimanova , ZZ Mamyrbayeva., R

776 Mustonen., C.Lindholm, M Hulten, S Salomaa., Nuclear weapons test and human germline

777 mutation rate, *Science*, 295 (2000) 1037-1045

778 [102] E. Dubrova, Long-term genetic effects of radiation exposure, *Mutat. Res.* 544(2-3) (2003)

779 433-439.

780 [103] V.G. Druzhinin, A.Yu. Lifanov, V.Yu. Rytenkov, Cytogenetic abnormalities revealed in

781 adolescents from Kemerovskaya Oblast as indicators of adverse ecological conditions in the

782 region, *Genetika* 33(5) (1997) 699-703.

783 [104] V.G. Druzhinin, A.Yu. Lafanov, T.A. Golovina, Cytogenetic effects in adolescents from

784 different areas of Kemerovskaya Oblast, *Genetika*, 31(7) (1995) 983-987.

785 [105] AV., Ponomareva, Matveeva, VG., Studies of cytogenetic disturbances in the Altai

786 Inhabitants in radioactive pollution zone, *Siberian Ecol J.*, 1, 2000, 73-78

787 [106] A.D. Da Cruz, A.G. McArthur, C.C. Silva, M.P Curado., and B.W Glickman., Human

788 micronucleus counts are correlated with age, smoking and cesium-137 dose in the Goiania

789 (Brazil) radiological accident. *Mutat.Res* 313(1994) 57-68.

790 [107] I.I. Pelevina, A.V. Aleshchenko, G.G. Afanasjev, V.J. Gotlibb, O.V. Koudriashova, L.A.

Noskin, L.P. Semenova, E.N. Sotnikova, A.M. Serebryanyi, Phenomenon of enhanced radiosensitivity of lymphocytes after low-dose adaptive irradiation, *Rad. Biol. Radioecol.* 40(5) (2000) 544-549.

[108] W.P. Chang, B.F. Hwang, D. Wang, J.D. Wang, Chronic low-dose, low-dose rate gamma radiation exposure induced an increased frequency of micronuclei in lymphocytes from residents of radioactive buildings in Taiwan, *Lancet* 350,(1997) 330-333.

[109] A. Hsieh, C. Ni, J.J. Hwang, J.S. Fang, S.P. Lin, Y.A. Lin, T.W. Huang, W.P. Chang, Evaluation of the frequencies of chromosomal aberrations in a population exposed to prolonged low dose-rate ⁶⁰Co gamma irradiation, *Int. J. Rad. Biol.* 78 (2002) 625-633.

[110] M.-H. Tsai, J.-S. Hwang, K.-C. Chen, Y.-P. Lin, W.A. Hsieh, W.P. Chang, Dynamics of changes in micronuclei frequencies in subjects post cessation of chronic low-dose radiation exposure, *Mutagenesis*, 16 (3) (2001) 251-255.

[111] O. Lubenau, D. Nussbaumer, Radioactive contamination of manufactured products, *Health Physics*, 74 (1986) 409-425.

[112] IAEA, Nature and magnitude of the problem of spent radiation sources, Vienna, TECDOC-620, 1991

[113] International Atomic Energy Agency, IAEA, Methods to identify and locate spent radiation sources, Vienna, TECDOC-804, 1995

[114] J. Giles. Study links sickness to Russian launch site. *News@Nature* 433 (2005) 95

[115] C. Infante-Rivard, G. Mathonnet, D. Sinnett, Risk of childhood leukaemia associated with diagnostic irradiation and polymorphism in DNA repair genes, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 108(6) (2000) 495-498.

[116] I. A.V. Pelevina, Aleshchenko, M.M. Antoshchina, O.V. Kuodryashova, L.E. Kurneshova, V.Y. Gotlib, L.A. Noskin, V.A. Noskin, L.P. Semenova, A.M. Serebryanyi, The level of spontaneous and radiation-induced damage to the peripheral lymphocytes in children in dependence on age lifestyle, *Radiats. Biol. Radioecol.* 41(5) (2001) 573-579.

- 817 [117] W. Hoffman W, Terschueren C, Ric DB. Childhood leukemia in the vicinity of the
818 Geesthacht Nuclear establishments near Hamburg, Germany, Env Health Persp 115(6)
819 (2007) 947-952
- 820 [118] SM Linet SM, Washolder S, Zahm SH. Interpreting epidemiologic research: lessons from
821 studies of children cancer, Pediatrics 112(19) (2003) 218-232

Table 1. Chromosome aberration frequencies (mean \pm SE), measured in children exposed to ionizing radiation and in referents, by type and level of exposure. The exposure level, sample size, age of the study groups (range), and the median ratio (MR) are reported for each study. Gaps excluded.

Type of exposure	Level of exposure ^a	Group: Sample Size	Age ^b	Mean \pm SE (%)	MR	Details	Reference
¹³⁷ Cs <i>Chernobyl</i>	18-55 x10 ¹⁰ Bq/km ² 0.37-0.74 x10 ¹⁰ Bq/km ² --	Exposed: 103 Exposed: 27 Referent: 16	6-15	2.74 \pm 0.1 1.68 \pm 0.2 0.85 \pm 0.1	3.22 1.98 1		42 Yeliseeva et al.,1994
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	74-148 Ci/km ² --	Exposed: 11 Referents: 13	4-11 4-11	4.0 \pm 0.3 2.6 \pm 0.2	1.53 1	Repeated measurements	43 Bochkov et al.,1991
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	148 x10 ¹⁰ Bq/km ² --	Exposed: 24 Referent: 11	10-12 10-12	0.62 \pm 0.08 0.33 \pm 0.08	1.87 1		46 Padovani et al.,1997
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	40 x10 ¹⁰ Bq/km ² --	Exposed: 24 Referent: 10	8-10 8-10	2.4 0.6	4.00 1	¹³⁷ Cs whole-body counter	45 Padovani et al.,1993
¹³⁷ Cs <i>Chernobyl</i>	2.43 Bq/day --	Exposed: 17 Referents: 35 Exposed: 17 Referents: 35	9-14 12-16 9-14 12-16	1.46 \pm na 1.42 \pm na 1.3 \pm na 0.4 \pm na	1.02 1 3.25 1	Belarus children Italian healthy children ‰ Dicentrics ‰ Dicentrics	44 Barale et al.,1998
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	-- -- --	Exposed: 49 Exposed: 35 Referent: 25	1-16 1-6 3-16	2.5 \pm 0.1 2.8 \pm 0.2 1.8 \pm 0.2	1.38 1.55 1	Evaquated children Liquidators' children	47 Vorobtsova al.,1995
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	0-6 cSv/y 0.8 cSv/y -- --	Exposed: 25 Exposed: 25 Exposed: 25 Referent:25	6-15 6-15 6-15 6-15	3.93 \pm 0.2 3.78 \pm 0.3 2.62 \pm 0.2 1.74 \pm 0.2	2.25 2.17 1.50 1	Dicentrics = 0.44% Dicentrics = 0.02%	48 Pilinskaya et al.,1992

Table 1 (cont.). Chromosome aberration frequencies (mean \pm SE)^b, measured in children exposed to ionizing radiation and in referents, by type and level of exposure. The exposure level, sample size, average age of the study groups, and the median ratio (MR) are reported for each study.

Type of exposure	Level of exposure ^a	Group: Sample Size	Age ^b	Mean \pm SE (%)	MR	Details	Reference
Radionuclides	--	Exposed: 15	2-5	2.38 \pm 1.9	1.64	Fathers with 1 st - 2 nd degree burns	51 Stepa. 1993
Chernobyl	--	Referents: 50	2-5	1.45 \pm 0.2	1		
Radionuclides	2.0-2.5 cSv	Exposed: 14	15	1.12 \pm 0.37	1.90	Exposed in utero	50 Suskov,2001
Chernobyl	1.5-2.5 cSv	Exposed: 18	10-14	1.24 \pm 0.4	2.10	Born 1987-1991	
cumulative dose	1.0-1.5 cSv	Exposed: 20	3-7	1.0 \pm 0.2	1.69	Born 1994-1998	
	--	Referent: 15	15-19	0.59 \pm 0.3	1	Born before 1986	
Radionuclides	10-376 mSv	Exposed: 22	15	9.07 \pm 1.34	3.67	Exposed in utero evaquated	67 Stepa.,2002
Chernobyl	19-52 mSv	Exposed: 20	15	7.63 \pm 2.92	3.08	Exposed in utero and after birth	
	--	Referent: 15	15	2.47 \pm 0.4	1	Living in unpolluted areas	
¹³⁷Cs, ⁹⁰Sr	35.9 kBq/m ²	Exposed: 20	6-10	1.17 \pm na	1.75	% Dicentrics	71 Mikhalevich ,2000,
Chernobyl	2.22 kBq/m ²	Referents: 10	11-15	0.67 \pm na	1	% Dicentrics	
Nuclear industry	> 1 Sv	Exposed: 15	9-11	0.56 \pm 0.08	1.93	Exposed to long-lived radionuclides	82 Testa,1998
Southern Urals	--	Referent: 11	9-11	0.29 \pm 0.07	1		
		Exposed: 15	9-11	0.07 \pm 0.03	1.4	% dicentrics	
		Referent: 11	9-11	0.05 \pm 0.02	1	% dicentrics	
Nuclear Industry	0.6 cSv	Exposed: 289	12-17	3.94 \pm 0.44	1.53	children with higher exposure	90 Druz.,1997
Western Siberia	0.05 cSv	Referent: 12	12-17	2.58 \pm 0.59	1	children with the lowest exposure	
Nuclear power plant	--	Exposed: 42	9-17	0.43 (0.24,0.7) ^c	0.61	% Dicentrics+ring chromosomes	81Bruske-Hoh, 2001
Elbmarsch, Germany	--	Referent: 30	9-17	0.706 (0.4,0.1) ^c	1	% Dicentrics+ring chromosomes	
⁶⁰Co (steel rebar)	5 mSv/y	Exposed: 18	4-18	20.6 \pm 3.9	2.4	1 st phlebotomy	97 Hsieh ,2002
Taiwan, residential	5 mSv/y	Exposed:18	4-18	8.7 \pm 1.5	1	2 nd phlebotomy, after evacuation	
Ra (indoor)	>1000 Bq/m ³	Exposed: 85	8-12	2.03 \pm 3.9	1.69	Schools' level	35 Bilban et al.,2001
Slovenia	<400 Bq/m ³	Referents: 20	8-12	1.2 \pm 0.59	1	Schools' level	
		Exposed: 85	8-12	0.08 \pm 0.44	--	% dicentrics	
		Referents: 20	8-12	0	1	% dicentrics	

^a) -- = exposure levels not reported; ^b) range in years; ^c) 95% confidence interval; SE = standard error; na = not available

Table 2. In vitro (binucleated and mononucleated lymphocytes) and in vivo (reticulocytes) micronucleus assay data) measured in children exposed to ionizing radiation and in referents, by type and level of exposure. The exposure level, sample size, average age of the study groups, and the median ratio (MR) are reported for each study.

Type of exposure	Level of exposure ^a	Group : Sample Size	Age ^b	Mean ± SE (%)	MR	Comments	Reference
Ra (indoor)	>1000 Bq/m ³	Exposed: 85	8-12	6.5±2.5	1.44	Schools' level	35 Bilban et al.,2001
Slovenia	<400 Bq/m ³	Referenst: 20	8-12	4.5±1.9	1	Schools' level	
¹³⁷ Cs	--	Exposed: 24	1-18	1.16±na	1.6	Directly exposed	95 da Cruz et al., 94
Accidental, Brazil	--	Exposed: 14	1-18	1.00±na	1.4	Probably exposed	
	--	Referents: 30	1-18	0.73	1		
Radionuclides							
Chernobyl							
¹³⁷ Cs	35.9 kBq/m ²	Exposed: 20	10-17	0.75±0.08	0.83	binucleated lymphocytes	71 Mikhalevich ,2000
⁹⁰ Sr	2.22 kBq/m ²	Referents: 10	10-15	0.90±0.08	1	binucleated lymphocytes	
¹³⁷ Cs	35.9 kBq/m ²	Exposed: 20	10-17	2.71±0.27 ^a	2.48	mononucleated lymphocytes	71 Mikhalevich ,2000
⁹⁰ Sr	2.22 kBq/m ²	Referents: 10	10-15	1.09±0.16 ^a	1	mononucleated lymphocytes	
Radionuclides	2.43 Bq/day	Exposed: 26	9-14	3.61±2.6	1.80	Belarus children	73 Zotti-Martelli.,99
Chernobyl	--	Referents: 30	12-16	2.0±2.1	1	Italian healthy children	
Radionuclides	0-20.2 Bq/kg	Exposed: 25	8-9	0.46±0.3	0.90	USA immigrants	72 Livingston et al,97
Chernobyl	--	Referents: 31	4	0.51±0.2	1		
Radionuclides	5-40 Ci/km ²	Exposed: 58	7-13	2.3 ±0.1	2.3		63 Pelevina et al.,96
Chernobyl	--	Referents: 136	8-13	1.0±0.06	1		
Radionuclides	--	Exposed: 54	6-16	0.19±na ^c	15.8	Evacuated children	70 Fedoretsova et.,97
Chernobyl	--	Referent: 94	6-16	0.012±na ^c	1		

-- = exposure levels not reported ; ^a) mononucleated lymphocytes; ^b) range in years; ^c) in vivo micronucleous assay; na, not available.

Table 3. Comet assay, FISH, and SCE results from measurements in children exposed to ionizing radiation and in referents, by type and level of exposure. The exposure level, sample size, the age range, and the median ratio (MR) are reported for each study.

Type of exposure	Level of exposure ^a	Group: Sample Size	Age	Mean±SE	Unit	MR	Details	Reference
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	24x10 ¹⁰ Bq/km2	Exposed: 16	8-16	COMET	DNA migration, μm			
	--	Referents: 39		26.34±9.55		0.62	10 years after explosion	59 Frenzilli .,2001
				16.46±4.6		1		
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	--	Exposed: 11	8-19	FISH	%			
	--	Referents: 14	3-19	0.65±0.1		4.64		69 Vorobtsova,2000
				0.14±0.05		1		
Radionuclides <i>Chernobyl</i>	2.43 Bq/day	Exposed: 15	9-13	SCE	%			
	--	Referents: 32	12-14	6.0±1.12		0.85	Belarus children	44 Barale et al.,1998
				7.0±1.24		1	Italian healthy children	

^a) -- = exposure levels not reported

Table 4. Correlation of detected break points (Stepanova 2002) and related disease in intrauterine exposed children.

LOCALIZATION OF BREAK SITES	RELATED DISEASE	LOCALIZATION OF BREAK SITES	RELATED DISEASE
1p35	NB, PV	5q33	ATL, LI, AML, MDS
1p13	AML-M7, PV	5q35	NHL, AML, MDS
1q32	NHL/CLD, MPD	7p15	AML, MPD
1q42	NHL/CLD, MPD	7q33	ALL, AML, CLD, MDS, NHL, PV
2q33	CLL	9q34	ALL, AML, CML, MDS, MPD, NHL
3p21	PA, AC salivary gland, AC/SCC, lung, CLD, NHL, AC kidney	13q32	LI, ALL, MDS, MPD
3q25	AML, MDS, AC kidney	17q21	AML, breast cancer
5p15	ATL, AML	17q25	AML
5p13	ATL	22q13	AML-M7, ALL, MN
5q31	ALL, AML, MDS		

*** AC Adenocarcinoma, ALL Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, AML Acute myeloid leukemia, subclassified FAB M1 through M7, ATL Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma, CLD Chronic lymphoproliferative disorder, CLL Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, LI Lipoma, MDS Myelodysplastic syndrome, MPD Myeloproliferative disorder, NB Neuroblastoma, NHL Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, subclassified B or T cell lineage, PA Pleomorphic adenoma, PV Polycythemia vera, SCC Small cell carcinoma.