# Contact allergy and sociodemographic characteristics

## Marinović-Kulišić, Sandra; Lipozenčić, Jasna; Paštar, Zrinjka

Source / Izvornik: Collegium Antropologicum, 2006, 30, 273 - 278

Journal article, Accepted version Rad u časopisu, Završna verzija rukopisa prihvaćena za objavljivanje (postprint)

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:105:395501

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2024-08-02



Repository / Repozitorij:

<u>Dr Med - University of Zagreb School of Medicine</u> Digital Repository





# Središnja medicinska knjižnica

Marinović-Kulišić, S., Lipozenčić, J., Paštar, Z. (2006) *Contact allergy and sociodemographic characteristics.* Collegium Antropologicum, 30 (2). pp. 273-278

http://medlib.mef.hr/99/

University of Zagreb Medical School Repository http://medlib.mef.hr/

| Contact Allergy and Sociodemographic Characteristics  |
|---|
| Contact Allergy   |
| Sandra Marinović-Kulišić, Jasna Lipozenčić, Zrinjka Paštar                                  |
| Department of Dermatology and Venerology Zagreb University Hospital Center, Zagreb, Croatia |

**ABSTRACT** 

The aim of the study was to determine the frequency of positive patch test reaction to different

contact allergens according to patients age, sex, occupation and clinical features. Between

1999 and 2003, patch testing was performed in 3293 patients with respective clinical

diagnoses. Patch testing was done by the standard technique proposed by the International

Contact Dermatitis Research Group (ICDRG). Study results showed statistically significant

differences in patch test response according to sex and age for three allergens (cobalt chloride,

nickel sulfate and thiomersal); according to occupation for nine allergens (cobalt chloride,

nickel sulfate, balsam of Peru, fragrance mix, thiuram mix, wood tars, neomycin sulfate,

thiomersal and detergents), and clinical diagnosis for two allergens (nickel sulfate, and wood

tars). The most common and relevant allergens were: nickel sulfate, cobalt chloride and carba

mix. They were found in all examinees regardless of age, sex, occupation and diagnoses. The

increased awareness of allergens and their potential sources may help to limit the usage of

these chemicals in manufacture of consumer products.

Key words: contact sensitivity, epidemiology, patch testing

2

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Patch testing is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of contact allergy (CA). The diagnosis is not usually apparent from history or physical examination, and patch testing is necessary for identify etiology of CA<sup>1</sup>. Patch testing is a method to identify a causative substance and permit diagnosis of allergic contact dermatitis (ACD). As yet, there are no commonly accepted measurement scales to quantify extend and severity of ACD which could be comparable to other instruments known in atopic dermatitis. For most individuals, allergen avoidance results in resolution of the dermatitis <sup>1</sup>. Early diagnosis increases the response to treatment and decreases treatment costs<sup>2-3</sup>. Several studies have assessed the reproducibility of different patch test systems, with different results<sup>4-11</sup>.

Many studies have been conducted to identify the most prevalent allergens<sup>5,12</sup>. Nickel was the most common allergen for CA in different studies<sup>4,13-18,23-30</sup>. CA to a certain substance often depends on several factors. The aim of the present study was to identify the most common allergens in our patients. This retrospective study was designed as to reassess the validity and reproducibility of patch testing<sup>4</sup>.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out at the Allergy Clinic of the University Department of Dermatology and Venerology, Zagreb University Hospital Center in Zagreb, Croatia. We reviewed the files of 3 293 patients with various clinical diagnosis who submitted to patch testing between 1999 and 2003. There were 2 335 (70.9%) female and 958 (29.1%) male patients, age range 3 to 80 years, mean age of 38 years. The clinical diagnoses were ACD (n=2 321, 70.5 %), contact irritant dermatitis (CD) (n=215, 6.5%), atopic dermatitis (AD) (n=422, 12.8%), psoriasis vulgaris (PV) (n=37, 1.1%), seborrhoeic dermatitis (SD) (n=137, 3.2%) and other inflammatory dermatoses (OID) (n=161, 4.9%). According to occupational history, the patients were mostly administrative personnel (n=1527, 46.4%), followed by students (n=482, 14.7%), medical and related professions (n=473, 14.4%), workers (n=453, 13.8%) and pensioners (n=358, 10.9%). The standard patch test series of allergens were tested on the upper back in all patients. Using a standard technique proposed by the International Contact Dermatitis Research Group (ICDRG), the test have been read at 48 and 72 hours, with positive results defined morphologically as + to +++ reaction <sup>13-14</sup>. We haven't doubtful (erythematous) reactions and + was red as allergic reaction. Patients receiving topical or systemic steroids or immunosuppressive, and those suffering from chronic illnesses were excluded from the study. Statistical data analysis was done by the Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., Chicago, USA) software package for Windows, and data entry and collection by Microsoft Office Excel 2003.  $\chi^2$  test was used to estimate differences between categories of variables and odds ratio with relative risks to calculate the probability of predictors. All statistical values were considered significant at the p-level of 0.05.

#### RESULTS

Between 1999 and 2003, a total of 3 293 patients had positive patch test reactions. Women were sensitized significantly more often than men (2335vs958). Statistically significant differences according to sex and hypersensitivity was recorded for potassium dichromate, cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate, epoxy resin, mercury praecipitate, carba mix, rubber mix (PPD mix), parabene mixture and thiomersal (Table 1). Analysis according to age groups (3-20, 21-60, 61-80) revealed a decreasing sensitivity with age for cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate, urshiol, and thiomersal (Table 2). In contrast, on increasing sensitivity with age was observed for potassium dichromate, carba mix, balsam of Peru, fragrance mix, thiuram mix and wood tars. Statistically significant differences according to age and sex was observed for cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate and thiomersal, and according the age for cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate, balsam of Peru, fragrance mix, neomycin sulfate, and thiomersal (Table 2). The analysis of the clinical diagnoses in according to sex and patch test reaction revealed positive reactions to prevail in all clinical diagnoses mostly in ACD. Analysis according to five different occupations and patch test results yielded statistically significant differences for cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate, balsam of Peru, fragrance mix, thiuram mix, wood tars, neomycin sulfate, and thiomersal (Table 3). In the five occupation categories, relevant relative risk (RR) factors were for two allergens, nickel sulfate (RR 0.18) and cobalt chloride (RR 0.14). According to clinical diagnosis and distribution of positive patch test reaction, RR was demonstrated for nickel sulfate, cobalt chloride, fragrance mix, potassium dichromate, and carba mix in ACD patients (Table 4), cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate, thiomersal, carba mix and potassium dichromate in CD patients, nickel sulfate, cobalt chloride, potassium dichromate, carba mix and neomycin sulfate in AD patients, cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate, carba mix, wood tars, thiomersal and fragrance mix in PV patients, and nickel sulfate, cobalt chloride and carba mix in SD and OID patients.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Results of a representative study on CA are briefly described. Patch testing remains the gold standard to identify one or more substances that may contributing to the etiology of CA. Results of present study confirmed CA in a large population with different diagnoses and wide range of occupations, which is not presented in other studies. The results of our study showed concordance between allergens and clinical diagnoses. Nickel sulfate, cobalt chloride and carba mix were found to be the most relevant allergens. Patients with a relevant CA were much more likely to improve, especially patients with ACD, than patients with negative test results. Nickel sulfate is the leading allergen, as in the majority of previous analyses, whereas thiomersal was the least common one. However, a limitation of the study was the fact that study groups are not sex matched. Women were sensitized significantly more often than men (70.90%vs29.10%). Similar study group were included in the study by Dou and Veien study<sup>29-30</sup>. In all five clinical diagnoses there was a female predominance of positive reaction, mostly in ACD. Nickel and cobalt allergy was more frequent in female, like in studies of Schefer et al.<sup>29</sup> and Vein et al.<sup>30</sup>, however, nickel sensitivity decreased with age of women, which could be explained by a reduced exposure to nickel (jewelry) and increase public awareness<sup>30</sup>. In their study covering the 1996-1999 period, Veien et al. report 19.3 % of study women allergic to nickel. Our study is not randomized, so the results could not be extrapolated to explain contact sensitivity in the general population. Systemic contact dermatitis due to nickel caused by continual local skin contact with nickel could elicit systemic reaction<sup>23</sup>. We found the rate of positive reactions to nickel (17.6% to 10.8%) and thiomersal (6.8% to 1.6%) to decrease with age, respectively similar to Wöhrl et al.<sup>24</sup>. This authors report on 3.3% of cobalt allergy, considerably lower than the rate observed in our study (8.6%)<sup>24</sup>. Some occupations such as

cashiers and hairdressers, imply risk factors for nickel allergy<sup>25</sup>. Female sex was strongest risk factor for nickel (prevalence ratio 3.74, 95% CI: 3.51- 3.98) in study by Uter et al. 25. In our study RR for ACD to nickel is 0.455, increasing steadily and significantly with decreasing age for nickel as well for cobalt chloride. In adolescents (age 10 to 19) found Duarte et al. (2003) found ACD more frequently in fair faced girls, and on the face in patients sensitive to nickel (31%) and tosylamide-formaldehyde resin (12%). These two substances are related to adolescent habits and behavior<sup>18</sup>. In our study, the rate of nickel sulfate sensitivity was lower (17.6%) in this age group and in young female. The increasing sensitivity to fragrance allergens recorded in our study (from 4.8% to 13.9) was similar to the others <sup>17,21,31</sup>. In Denmark is CA to fragrance second, and in Israel the third most common cause of ACD<sup>17</sup>. Axillary dermatitis is a common problem, particularly in individuals with CA to fragrance. Deodorants containing hydroxycitronellal can cause axillary dermatitis in a few weeks<sup>21</sup>. Propolis is an important allergen itself but cannot be used as a screening substance for fragrance allergy<sup>31</sup>. In the present study, the most common and relevant allergens were cobalt chloride, nickel sulfate, balsam of Peru, fragrance mix, thiuram mix, wood tars, neomycin sulfate, thiomersal as in other studies 16,19,20,24,26-29. In our study, patch test positive rates to potassium dichromate did not differ significantly among different age groups (3-20 year, 6.6% and 61-80 year, 7.7%). This study yielded no major difference or reduction in the prevalence of dichromate sensitivity, unlike the study of Olsavszky et al.<sup>32</sup>. Sensitization to chromium is often caused by occupational exposure to soluble chromium compound in cement or leather and is often the leading allergen in Eastern European reports. In Croatia, there is no addition of ferrous sulfate to cement either. It is important to consider the possibility of ACD due to chromates by handling a cellular phone (containing hexavalent chromium plating)<sup>22</sup>. Hegewald et al. (2005) found 11.05% patients positive to nickel, 2.10% to potassium dichromate and 2.32% to cobalt chloride<sup>33</sup>. Food workers are recommend to undergo standard patch testing to the rubber and to

Compositae series allergens, as high sensitivity rates to nickel sulfate thiuram mix, formaldehyde and compositae mix<sup>27</sup> have been reported in food processing industry. Our study did not include food industry workers. The rate of CA to mercury was 10.38% (p<0,001) with steadily constant values with decreasing age. CA to thiomersal has not been considered a marker for mercury allergy, since there is a low degree of cross-sensitivity to inorganic as well as to organic mercury salts. In our study thiomersal positivity was 9% in medical and related professions, due to exposure to thiomersal containing vaccines etc. in 300 patients administered a standard series Santucci et al (1998) found concomitant positive reactions to thiomersal and ethylmercury chloride in only 3.6% of subjects if methylmercury chloride was added<sup>34</sup>. Due to the complexity of some research questions, regarding CA allergy require typical profile of certain allergens, demographic variables of sensitized patients, spectrum of cosensitization and address certain subpopulations with their spectrum allergens<sup>35</sup>. In 2002 and 2003, Uter et al. (2005) found nickel sulfate to be most common allergen (17.3%) followed by balsam of Peru (Myroxylon pereirae, 5.8%) and fragrance mix (6.4%) in 10,511 study patients. Regarding CA to chromium compounds, different frequencies were noted in two centers focused on occupational dermatitis (2.3%vs7.4). Surveillance of CA in the clinical population of patch tested patients has proven useful to detect time trends, such as decrease of nickel allergy in young females<sup>36-37</sup>. The reproducibility of patch-testing ranges from 80% to 85%, with reference to real-life testing, mirror image testing, or r-testing within 10 years<sup>38</sup>.

#### **CONCLUSION**

This study as retrospective analysis of demographic data and patch-test results with standard series allergens produced some interesting observations. Patch testing remains the main diagnostic tool to examine and identify clinically suspect CA. The most relevant allergens in our study, i.e. nickel sulfate, cobalt chloride and carba mix, were found in all study subjects irrespective of age, sex, occupation and diagnoses. However, according to our experience with CA in this study, standard CA measures such as sensitivity and specificity as well as its prevalence should be determined in a prospective study. Direct consultation and liaising with biostatisticians is always advisable. A limitation of the study was the fact that the study groups were not sex matched. In a prospective study, reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM) at 72 hours of patch removal will be needed as an adjunctive tool to clinical evaluation. Further research is needed to fully understand the implications to contact hypersensitivity and to analyze various occupation. It is concluded that contact allergy in influenced by sociodemographic parameters and plays an important role in the general population.

## **AKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are thankful to Mrs. Biserka Šajatović for her contribution to the study.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. BELSITO, D. V., J. Allergy. Clin. Immunol., 105 (2000) 409. – 2. RAJAGOPALAN, R., R. ANDERSON, Am. J. Contact. Dermat., 8 (1997) 215. – 3. RAJAGOPALAN, R., J. E. KALLAL, J. F. FOWLER, E. F. SHERERTZ, Cutis, 57 (1996) 360. – 4. BOURKE, J. F., K. BATTA, L. PRAIS, A. ABDULLAH, L. S. FOULDS, Brit. J. Dermat., 140 (1999) 102. – 5. EDMAN, B., Contact Dermatitis, 20 (1989) 226. – 6. INGBER, A., A. SASSON, M. DAVID, Contact Dermatitis, 39 (1998) 318. – 7. KRANKE, B., W. ABERER, Contact Dermatitis, 34 (1996) 215. – 8. HOSOI, J., T. HARIYA, M. DENDA, T. TSUCHIYA, Contact Dermatitis, 42 (2000) 81. – 9. DOOMS GOOSSENS, A., E. LESAFFRE, M. HEIDBUCHEL, M. DOOMS, H. DEGREEF, Contact Dermatitis, 19 (1998) 36. – 10. KATSAROU, A., V. KOUFOU, D. KALOGEROMITROS, M. ARMENAKA, D. PAPAIOANNOU, J. STRATIGOS, Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed., 9 (1992) 232. – 11. KATSAROU. A., D. KALOGEROMITROS, M. ARMENAKA, V. KOUFOU, J. STRATIGOS, Contact Dermatitis, 28 (1993) 301. – 12. MARKS, J. G., D. V. BELSITO, V. A. DE LEO, J. F. FOWLER, A. F. FRANSWAY, H. I. MAIBACH, C. G. MATHIAS, M. D. PRATT, R. L. RIETSCHEL, E. F. SHERERTZ, F. J. STORRS, J. S. TAYLOR, Arch. Dermatol., 136 (2000) 272. – 13. WAHLBEG, J. E., Patch testing. In: RYCROFT, R.J.G., T. MENNE, P.T. FROSCH (Eds.): Textbook of Contact Dermatitis. (Springer – Verlag, Berlin, 1992), 239. – 14. MARKS, J.G., D. V. BELSITO, V. A. DE LEO, J. F. FOWLER, A. F. FRANSWAY, H. I. MAIBACH, C. G. MATHIAS, J. R. NETHERCOTT, R. L. RIETSCHEL, E. F. SHERERTZ, F. J. STORRS, J. S. TAYLOR, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol., 38 (1998) 911. – 15. BRASCH, J., A. SCHNUCH, W. UTER, Contact Dermatitis, 49 (2003) 49. – 16. GOON, A. T., C. L. GOH, Contact Dermatitis, 49 (2003) 255. – 17. TRATTNER, A., M. DAVID, Contact Dermatitis, 49 (2003) 287. – 18. DUARTE, I., R. LAZZARINI, C. M. KOBATA, Am. J. Contact Dermat., 14 (2003) 200. – 19. FREIMAN, A., A. AL-LAYALI, D. SASSEVILLE,

Am. J. Contact Dermat., 14 (2003) 138. – 20. KALYONCU, A. F., G. KARAKAYA, E. YILMAZ, B. BALCI, A. KARADUMAN, U. YASAVUL, J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., 13 (2003) 162. – 21. SVEDMAN, C., M. BRUZE, J. D. JOHANSEN, K. E. ANDERSEN, A. GOOSSENS, P. J. FROSCH J. P. LEPOITTEVIN, S. RASTOGI, I. R. WHITE, T. MENNE, Contact Dermatitis, 48 (2003) 217. – 22. SEISHIMA, M., Z. OYAMA, M. ODA, Dermatology, 207 (2003) 48. – 23. DOU, X., L.L. LIU, XJ. ZHU, Contact Dermatitis, 48 (2003) 126. – 24. WÖHRL, S., W. HEMMER, M. FOCKE, M. GOTZ, R. JARISCH, Pediatr. Dermatol., 20 (2003) 119. – 25. UTER, W., A. PFAHLBERG, O. GEFELLER, J. GEIER, A. SCHNUCH, Contact Dermatitis, 48 (2003) 33. – 26. LI, L. F., J. WANG, Contact Dermatitis, 47 (2002) 206. – 27. BAUER, A., J. GEIER, P. ELSNER, Contact Dermatitis, 46 (2002) 228. – 28. AKASYA- HILLENBRAND, E., E. OZKAYA- BAYAZIT, Contact Dermatitis, 46 (2002) 17. – 29. SCHÄFER, T., E. BÖHLER, S. RUHDORFER, L. WEIGL, D. WESSNER, B. FILIPIAK B, H. E. WICHMANN, J. RING, Allergy, 56 (2001) 1192. – 30. VEIEN, N. K., T. HATTEL, G. LAURBERG, Contact Dermatitis, 45 (2001) 104. – 31. WÖHRL. S., W. HEMMER, M. FOCKE, M. GOTZ, R. JARISCH, Br. J. Dermat., 145 (2001) 268. – 32. OLSAVSZKY, R., R. J. RYCROFT, I. R. WHITE, J. P. MCFADDEN, Contact Dermatitis, 38 (1998) 329. – 33. HEGEWALD, J., W. UTER, A. PFAHLBERG A, J. GEIER, A. Schnuch for the IVDK, Allergy, 60 (2005) 372. – 34. SANTUCCI, B., C. CANNISTRACI, A. CRISTAUDO, E. CAMERA, M. PICARDO M, Contact Dermatitis, 38 (1998) 325. – 35. UTER, W., A. SCHNUCH, D. GEFELLER, Contact Dermatitis, 51 (2004) 47. – 36. UTER, W, J. HEGEWALD, W. ABERER, F. AYALA, A.J. BIRCHER, J. BRASCH, P.J. COENRAADS, M.-L. A. SCHUTTELAAR, P. ELSNER, M. FARTASCH, V. MAHLER, A. BELLONI FORTINA, P. J. FROSCH, T. FUCHS, J. D. JOHANSEN, T. MENNÉ, R. JOLANKI, B. KRECISZ, M. KIEC-SWIERCZYNSKA, F. LARESE, D. ORTON, A. PESERICO, T. RANTANEN, A. SCHNUCH, Contact Dermatitis, 53 (2005) 136. – 37. SCHNUCH, A., W.

UTER , Contact Dermatitis, 49 (2003) 107. – 38. ASTNER, S., E. GONZALES, A. CHEUNG, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol., 53 (2005) 986.

#### J. LIPOZENČIĆ

Department of Dermatology and Venerology, Zagreb University Hospital Center, Šalata 4, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Tel/Fax:+385-1-4920 014, e-mail: jasna.lipozencic@zg.htnet.hr

# KONTAKTNA PREOSJETLJIVOST I SOCIODEMOGRAFSKE ZNAČAJKE

#### SAŽETAK

Prikazani su rezultati učestalosti pozitivnih reakcija na alergene standardne serije u epikutanom (patch) testu u odnosu na spol, dob i zvanje, te kliničku dijagnozu. U razdoblju od 1999 do 2003 testirano je 3293 bolesnika. U bolesnika je postavljena klinička dijagnoza. Svi su testirani po uobičajenom standardnom postupku prema propozicijama International Contact Dermatitis Research Group (ICDRG). Naše istraživanje je dokazalo statistički značajne razlike u testiranih bolesnika u odnosu na zadane parametre. Za tri alergena (kobalt klorid, nikal sulfat i timerosal) razlike su iskazane u odnosu na spol i dob. Za 9 alergena (kobalt klorid, nikal sulfat, peruvijanski balzam, smjesa mirisa, smjesu tiurama, drveni ugalj, neomicin sulfat, timerosal i detedžente) statistički značajne su razlike u odnosu na zanimanje. U odnosu na kliničke dijagnoze, najučestaliji su dva alergena (nikal sulfat i katrani drvenog uglja). Najčešći alergeni u svih ispitanika u odnosu na spol, dob, zanimanje i dijagnozu bili su: nikal sulfat, kobalt klorid i smjesa karbamata. Sve veća svijest o senzibilizaciji na kontaktnu preosjetljivost te njihovo otkrivanje bit će korisno u izostavljanju ovih kemijskih tvari u proizvodima za široku potrošnju.

TABLE 1
POSITIVE REACTIONS OF PATCH TESTING IN 3 293 PATIENTS
ACCORDING SEX

|                            | Ma  | ale  | Fem  | ale  | $\chi^2$ | р      |
|----------------------------|-----|------|------|------|----------|--------|
|                            | n   | %    | n    | %    |          |        |
| Potassium dichromate       | 229 | 9.8  | 369  | 6.9  | 19.40    | <0.001 |
| Cobalt chloride            | 257 | 11.0 | 783  | 14.6 | 18.00    | <0.001 |
| Nickel sulfate             | 207 | 8.8  | 1146 | 21.3 | 175.00   | <0.001 |
| Formaldehyde               | 18  | 0.8  | 45   | 0.8  | 0.09     | 0.760  |
| Urushiol                   | 47  | 2.0  | 89   | 1.7  | 1.16     | 0.280  |
| Balsam of Peru             | 126 | 5.4  | 220  | 4.1  | 6.33     | 0.010  |
| Epoxy resin                | 50  | 2.1  | 54   | 1.0  | 15.69    | <0.001 |
| Colophony                  | 14  | 0.6  | 42   | 0.8  | 0.76     | 0.380  |
| White mercury praecipitate | 137 | 5.9  | 224  | 4.2  | 10.38    | <0.001 |
| Benzocaine( anesthesine)   | 52  | 2.2  | 84   | 1.6  | 4.08     | 0.043  |
| Carba mix                  | 200 | 8.6  | 357  | 6.6  | 8.80     | <0.003 |
| Mercapto mix               | 24  | 1.0  | 45   | 0.8  | 0.65     | 0.420  |
| Rubber mixture (PPD mix)   | 70  | 3.0  | 100  | 1.9  | 9.66     | <0.001 |
| Fragrance mix              | 212 | 9.1  | 395  | 7.4  | 6.55     | 0.010  |
| Thiuram mix                | 38  | 1.6  | 105  | 2.0  | 0.98     | 0.322  |
| Wood tars                  | 136 | 5.8  | 264  | 4.9  | 2.67     | 0.102  |
| Parabene mixture           | 36  | 1.5  | 44   | 0.8  | 8.22     | <0.004 |
| Neomycin sulfate           | 106 | 4.5  | 284  | 5.3  | 1.94     | 0.163  |
| Quaternium 15              | 12  | 0.5  | 38   | 0.7  | 0.96     | 0.328  |
| Thiomersal                 | 178 | 7.6  | 301  | 5.6  | 11.24    | <0.001 |
| Detergents                 | 190 | 8.1  | 381  | 7.1  | 2.51     | 0.113  |

TABLE 2

RESULTS OF PATCH TESTING IN 3293 PATIENTS ACCORDING TO AGE

|                            | TOTAL | OTAL YEAR |      |     | AR   | YI | EAR  | $\chi^2$ | р      |  |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|------|-----|------|----|------|----------|--------|--|
|                            | TOTAL | 3-        | 20   | 21- | 60   | 61 | -80  | λ        | ۲      |  |
|                            |       | n         | %    | n   | %    | n  | %    |          |        |  |
| Potassium dichromate       | 598   | 117       | 6.6  | 429 | 8.2  | 52 | 7.7  | 4.87     | 0.080  |  |
| Cobalt chloride            | 1040  | 249       | 13.9 | 733 | 14.0 | 58 | 8.6  | 15.10    | <0.001 |  |
| Nickel sulfate             | 1353  | 315       | 17.6 | 965 | 18.4 | 73 | 10.8 | 23.55    | <0.001 |  |
| Formaldehyde               | 63    | 15        | 0.8  | 43  | 0.8  | 5  | 0.7  | 0.06     | 0.971  |  |
| Urushiol                   | 136   | 41        | 2.3  | 88  | 1.7  | 7  | 1.0  | 5.21     | 0.074  |  |
| Balsam of Peru             | 346   | 67        | 3.8  | 218 | 4.2  | 61 | 9.1  | 36.25    | <0.001 |  |
| Epoxy resin                | 104   | 25        | 1.4  | 72  | 1.4  | 7  | 1.0  | 0.54     | 0.760  |  |
| Colophony                  | 56    | 10        | 0.6  | 37  | 0.7  | 9  | 1.3  | 4.18     | 0.130  |  |
| White mercury praecipitate | 361   | 82        | 4.6  | 248 | 4.7  | 31 | 4.6  | 0.06     | 0.970  |  |
| Benzocaine (anesthesine)   | 136   | 28        | 1.6  | 97  | 1.8  | 11 | 1.6  | 0.67     | 0.714  |  |
| Carba mix                  | 557   | 115       | 6.4  | 377 | 7.2  | 65 | 9.6  | 7.53     | 0.023  |  |
| Mercapto mix               | 69    | 24        | 1.3  | 40  | 0.8  | 5  | 0.7  | 5.29     | 0.070  |  |
| Rubber mixture (PPD mix)   | 170   | 41        | 2.3  | 112 | 2.1  | 17 | 2.5  | 0.51     | 0.775  |  |
| Fragrance mix              | 607   | 86        | 4.8  | 427 | 8.1  | 94 | 13.9 | 57.73    | <0.001 |  |
| Thiuram mix                | 143   | 22        | 1.2  | 103 | 2.0  | 18 | 2.7  | 6.59     | 0.037  |  |
| Wood tars                  | 400   | 76        | 4.3  | 273 | 5.2  | 51 | 7.6  | 10.89    | 0.004  |  |
| Parabene mixture           | 80    | 24        | 1.3  | 47  | 0.9  | 9  | 1.3  | 3.26     | 0.197  |  |
| Neomycin sulfate           | 390   | 125       | 7.0  | 211 | 4.0  | 54 | 8.0  | 38.09    | <0.001 |  |
| Quaternium 15              | 50    | 9         | 0.5  | 32  | 0.6  | 9  | 1.3  | 5.63     | 0.060  |  |
| Thiomersal                 | 479   | 121       | 6.8  | 347 | 6.6  | 11 | 1.6  | 26.67    | <0.001 |  |
| Detergents                 | 571   | 193       | 10.8 | 351 | 6.7  | 27 | 4.0  | 45.53    | <0.001 |  |

TABLE 3
RESULTS OF PATCH TESTING IN 3293 PATIENTS ACCORDING TO OCCUPATION

|                            | Total | Pens | ioners |      | Studer |      |      | _   | strative<br>onnel |      | Medical and alliend professions |      |      | Workers |       |      |
|----------------------------|-------|------|--------|------|--------|------|------|-----|-------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|------|---------|-------|------|
|                            |       | n    | %      | RR   | n      | %    | RR   | n   | %                 | RR   | n                               | %    | RR   | n       | %     | RR   |
| Potassium dichromate       | 598   | 76   | 7.3    | 0.02 | 112    | 6.5  | 0.04 | 231 | 8.6               | 0.08 | 63                              | 7.5  | 0.02 | 116     | 8.19  | 0.04 |
| Cobalt chloride            | 1040  | 94   | 9.0    | 0.03 | 251    | 14.6 | 0.08 | 398 | 14.8              | 0.14 | 95                              | 11.4 | 0.03 | 202     | 14.27 | 0.07 |
| Nickel sulfate             | 1353  | 126  | 12.0   | 0.04 | 295    | 17.1 | 0.10 | 503 | 18.7              | 0.18 | 161                             | 19.2 | 0.05 | 268     | 18.93 | 0.09 |
| Formaldehyde               | 63    | 8    | 0.8    | 0.00 | 12     | 0.7  | 0.00 | 17  | 0.6               | 0.01 | 12                              | 1.4  | 0.00 | 14      | 0.99  | 0.00 |
| Urushiol                   | 136   | 15   | 1.4    | 0.00 | 35     | 2.0  | 0.01 | 48  | 1.8               | 0.01 | 15                              | 1.8  | 0.00 | 23      | 1.62  | 0.01 |
| Balsam of Peru             | 346   | 95   | 9.1    | 0.03 | 69     | 4.0  | 0.02 | 99  | 3.7               | 0.03 | 35                              | 4.2  | 0.01 | 48      | 3.39  | 0.01 |
| Epoxy resin                | 104   | 12   | 1.1    | 0.00 | 19     | 1.1  | 0.01 | 40  | 1.5               | 0.01 | 5                               | 0.6  | 0.00 | 28      | 1.98  | 0.01 |
| Colophony                  | 56    | 12   | 1.1    | 0.00 | 9      | 0.5  | 0.00 | 21  | 0.8               | 0.01 | 3                               | 0.4  | 0.00 | 11      | 0.78  | 0.00 |
| White mercury praecipitate | 361   | 46   | 4.4    | 0.01 | 70     | 4.1  | 0.02 | 123 | 4.6               | 0.04 | 34                              | 4.1  | 0.01 | 88      | 6.21  | 0.03 |
| Benzocaine( anesthesine)   | 136   | 23   | 2.2    | 0.01 | 29     | 1.7  | 0.01 | 45  | 1.7               | 0.01 | 15                              | 1.8  | 0.00 | 24      | 1.69  | 0.01 |
| Carba mix                  | 557   | 92   | 8.8    | 0.03 | 117    | 6.8  | 0.04 | 193 | 7.2               | 0.06 | 60                              | 7.2  | 0.02 | 95      | 6.71  | 0.03 |
| Mercapto mix               | 69    | 9    | 0.9    | 0.00 | 19     | 1.1  | 0.01 | 20  | 0.7               | 0.01 | 4                               | 0.5  | 0.00 | 17      | 1.20  | 0.01 |
| Rubber mixture (PPD mix)   | 170   | 30   | 2.9    | 0.01 | 39     | 2.3  | 0.01 | 49  | 1.8               | 0.02 | 23                              | 2.7  | 0.01 | 29      | 2.05  | 0.01 |
| Fragrance mix              | 607   | 135  | 12.9   | 0.04 | 107    | 6.2  | 0.03 | 203 | 7.6               | 0.07 | 68                              | 8.1  | 0.02 | 94      | 6.64  | 0.03 |
| Thiuram mix                | 143   | 23   | 2.2    | 0.01 | 21     | 1.2  | 0.01 | 69  | 2.6               | 0.02 | 18                              | 2.2  | 0.01 | 12      | 0.85  | 0.00 |
| Wood tars                  | 400   | 85   | 8.1    | 0.03 | 84     | 4.9  | 0.03 | 143 | 5.3               | 0.05 | 29                              | 3.5  | 0.01 | 59      | 4.17  | 0.02 |
| Parabene mixture           | 80    | 16   | 1.5    | 0.00 | 18     | 1.0  | 0.01 | 21  | 0.8               | 0.01 | 8                               | 1.0  | 0.00 | 17      | 1.20  | 0.01 |
| Neomycin sulfate           | 390   | 67   | 6.4    | 0.02 | 99     | 5.7  | 0.03 | 100 | 3.7               | 0.03 | 42                              | 5.0  | 0.01 | 82      | 5.79  | 0.03 |
| Quaternium 15              | 50    | 13   | 1.2    | 0.00 | 9      | 0.5  | 0.00 | 15  | 0.6               | 0.00 | 6                               | 0.7  | 0.00 | 7       | 0.49  | 0.00 |
| Thiomersal                 | 479   | 25   | 2.4    | 0.01 | 117    | 6.8  | 0.04 | 188 | 7.0               | 0.06 | 75                              | 9.0  | 0.02 | 74      | 5.23  | 0.02 |
| Detergents                 | 571   | 44   | 4.2    | 0.01 | 191    | 11.1 | 0.06 | 162 | 6.0               | 0.05 | 66                              | 7.9  | 0.02 | 108     | 7.63  | 0.03 |

**TABLE 4**DISTRIBUTION OF POSITIVE PATCH TEST REACTION IN 3293 PATIENTS ACCORDING CLINICAL DIAGNOSES 1999-2003.

|                            | TOTAL | AC   | D    | CD    |    |      | Al    | D   | )    |       | PV |      | S     | D  |      | OID   |    |      |       |
|----------------------------|-------|------|------|-------|----|------|-------|-----|------|-------|----|------|-------|----|------|-------|----|------|-------|
|                            |       | n    | %    | RR    | n  | %    | RR    | n   | %    | RR    | n  | %    | RR    | n  | %    | RR    | n  | %    | RR    |
| Potassium dichromate       | 598   | 445  | 7.9  | 0.156 | 13 | 7.5  | 0.004 | 94  | 8.0  | 0.029 | 16 | 6.3  | 0.005 | 16 | 6.6  | 0.005 | 14 | 6.3  | 0.004 |
| Cobalt chloride            | 1040  | 744  | 13.2 | 0.292 | 25 | 14.4 | 0.008 | 161 | 13.7 | 0.051 | 47 | 18.7 | 0.014 | 39 | 16.0 | 0.012 | 24 | 10.7 | 0.007 |
| Nickel sulfate             | 1353  | 1029 | 18.2 | 0.455 | 18 | 10.3 | 0.005 | 173 | 14.8 | 0.055 | 39 | 15.5 | 0.012 | 49 | 20.2 | 0.015 | 45 | 20.1 | 0.014 |
| Formaldehyde               | 63    | 43   | 0.8  | 0.013 | 1  | 0.6  | 0.000 | 14  | 1.2  | 0.004 | 1  | 0.4  | 0.000 | 1  | 0.4  | 0.000 | 3  | 1.3  | 0.001 |
| Urushiol                   | 136   | 107  | 1.9  | 0.034 | 3  | 1.7  | 0.001 | 12  | 1.0  | 0.004 | 6  | 2.4  | 0.002 | 4  | 1.6  | 0.001 | 4  | 1.8  | 0.001 |
| Balsam of Peru             | 346   | 268  | 4.7  | 0.089 | 5  | 2.9  | 0.002 | 40  | 3.4  | 0.012 | 16 | 6.3  | 0.005 | 8  | 3.3  | 0.002 | 9  | 4.0  | 0.003 |
| Epoxy resin                | 104   | 70   | 1.2  | 0.022 | 4  | 2.3  | 0.001 | 18  | 1.5  | 0.005 | 4  | 1.6  | 0.001 | 5  | 2.1  | 0.002 | 3  | 1.3  | 0.001 |
| Colophony                  | 56    | 43   | 0.8  | 0.013 | 1  | 0.6  | 0.000 | 8   | 0.7  | 0.002 | 1  | 0.4  | 0.000 | 1  | 0.4  | 0.000 | 2  | 0.9  | 0.001 |
| White mercury praecipitate | 361   | 275  | 4.9  | 0.091 | 7  | 4.0  | 0.002 | 52  | 4.4  | 0.016 | 8  | 3.2  | 0.002 | 9  | 3.7  | 0.003 | 10 | 4.5  | 0.003 |
| Benzocaine (anesthesine)   | 136   | 91   | 1.6  | 0.028 | 3  | 1.7  | 0.001 | 26  | 2.2  | 0.008 | 4  | 1.6  | 0.001 | 6  | 2.5  | 0.002 | 6  | 2.7  | 0.002 |
| Carba mix                  | 557   | 392  | 6.9  | 0.135 | 14 | 8.0  | 0.004 | 79  | 6.7  | 0.025 | 22 | 8.7  | 0.007 | 29 | 11.9 | 0.009 | 21 | 9.4  | 0.006 |
| Mercapto mix               | 69    | 45   | 0.8  | 0.014 | 1  | 0.6  | 0.000 | 19  | 1.6  | 0.006 | 1  | 0.4  | 0.000 | 3  | 1.2  | 0.001 | 0  | 0.0  | 0.000 |
| Rubber mixture (PPD mix)   | 170   | 130  | 2.3  | 0.041 | 3  | 1.7  | 0.001 | 25  | 2.1  | 0.008 | 5  | 2.0  | 0.002 | 2  | 0.8  | 0.001 | 5  | 2.2  | 0.002 |
| Fragrance mix              | 607   | 473  | 8.4  | 0.168 | 12 | 6.9  | 0.004 | 69  | 5.9  | 0.021 | 18 | 7.1  | 0.005 | 15 | 6.2  | 0.005 | 20 | 8.9  | 0.006 |
| Thiuram mix                | 143   | 118  | 2.1  | 0.037 | 2  | 1.1  | 0.001 | 20  | 1.7  | 0.006 | 3  | 1.2  | 0.001 | 0  | 0.0  | 0.000 | 0  | 0.0  | 0.000 |
| Wood tars                  | 400   | 307  | 5.4  | 0.103 | 12 | 6.9  | 0.004 | 33  | 2.8  | 0.010 | 22 | 8.7  | 0.007 | 15 | 6.2  | 0.005 | 11 | 4.9  | 0.003 |
| Parabene mixture           | 80    | 54   | 1.0  | 0.017 | 3  | 1.7  | 0.001 | 21  | 1.8  | 0.006 | 1  | 0.4  | 0.000 | 0  | 0.0  | 0.000 | 1  | 0.4  | 0.000 |
| Neomycin sulfate           | 390   | 274  | 4.9  | 0.091 | 9  | 5.2  | 0.003 | 75  | 6.4  | 0.023 | 4  | 1.6  | 0.001 | 14 | 5.8  | 0.004 | 14 | 6.3  | 0.004 |
| Quaternium 15              | 50    | 30   | 0.5  | 0.009 | 1  | 0.6  | 0.000 | 13  | 1.1  | 0.004 | 4  | 1.6  | 0.001 | 2  | 0.8  | 0.001 | 0  | 0.0  | 0.000 |
| Thiomersal                 | 479   | 341  | 6.0  | 0.116 | 16 | 9.2  | 0.005 | 73  | 6.2  | 0.023 | 20 | 7.9  | 0.006 | 14 | 5.8  | 0.004 | 15 | 6.7  | 0.004 |
| Detergents                 | 571   | 365  | 6.5  | 0.125 | 21 | 12.1 | 0.006 | 147 | 12.5 | 0.047 | 10 | 4.0  | 0.003 | 11 | 4.5  | 0.003 | 17 | 7.6  | 0.005 |

Legend: ACD - allergic contact dermatitis, CD - contact irritant dermatitis, AD - atopic dermatitis, PV - psoriasis vulgaris, SD - seborrhoeic

 $dermatitis, OID \hbox{ - other inflammatory dermatoses} \\$